

AGENDA

GREATER NOTTINGHAM JOINT PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD Tuesday 30 June 2020 2:00pm: via Microsoft Teams

- 1. Introductions and Apologies
- 2. Declaration of Interests
- 3. Approval of minutes of last meeting and matters arising
- 4. Presentations:

a. Toton Supplementary Planning Document	Ken Harrison
b. Growth Options Study	AECOM
5. Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan Update	MG
6. Waste and Minerals Local Plans Update	MG
7. Homes England Capacity Funding projects monitoring	PM
8. Joint Planning Advisory Board Budget 2020/21	MG
9. Any other business	ALL
10. Future Meetings	

















ITEM 3 MINUTES OF THE GREATER NOTTINGHAM JOINT PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD (JPAB) MEETING HELD AT 2PM ON TUESDAY

17th DECEMBER 2019 AT BROXTOWE BOROUGH COUNCIL

PRESENT

Ashfield: Councillor M Relf City: Councillor M Edwards Erewash: Councillor M Powell Gedling: Councillor J Hollingsworth

Nottinghamshire County: Councillor T Harper (Chair); Councillor G Wheeler

Officers in Attendance

Ashfield: Christine Sarris

Broxtowe: Ruth Hyde; Steffan Saunders; Tom Genway

Erewash: Steve Bikinshaw, Oliver Dove

Gedlina: Alison Gibson

Growth Point: Matthew Gregory; Peter McAnespie

Nottingham City: Paul Seddon Nottinghamshire County: Sally Gill

Rushcliffe: David Mitchell

Observers

Barratt Homes: Robert Galij

Environment Agency: Joe Drewry

Green4Architects: Steve Binch; Adrian Cox

Peverill Homes: Paul Stone

Apologies

City: Councillor L Woodings

Derbyshire: Councillor Tony King; Steve Buffery

Homes England: Sandhya Ward

Natural England: Laura Alvey; Louisa Aspden

Savills: David Bainbridge Rushcliffe: Councillor R Upton

1. Introductions and Apologies

Councillor T Harper (Chair) welcomed those attending and apologies noted.

2. **Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

3. Approval of Minutes of the Last Meeting and Matters Arising

3.1 The minutes of the meeting held on 24 September 2019 were approved by Councillor Harper and seconded by Councillor Powell.

4. <u>Local Plans Update</u> (Steffan Saunders)

Overall good news with BBC and RBC having now adopted their Local Plans.

4.1 Ashfield

ADC is working on their new Local Plan to prepare the necessary evidence. They have an 'emerging plan' for Hucknall town centre and two Neighbourhood Plans.

4.2 **Broxtowe**

BBC's Nuthall Neighbourhood Plan has now been adopted and a further nine Neighburhood Plans are emerging.

4.3 Erewash

EBC's Ilkeston Gateway SPD is in place, Stanton is awaiting a purchasing announcement. There are two emerging Neighbourhood Plans.

4.4 Gedling

GBC adopted their Local Plan two years ago. They have four Neighbourhood Plans with others emerging. An SPD for three housing allocations in Arnold including Willow Farm will be considered by Cabinet in the New Year.

4.5 **Nottingham City**

Nottingham City adopted their Part 2 Local Plan in January. The Waterside SPD was adopted in June and there are several SPDs emerging. There is one Neighbourhood Forum covering Sneinton.

4.6 Rushcliffe

RBC's Part 2 Local Plan has been adopted. There are three adopted Neighbourhood Plans, two nearing completion, and five emerging.

4.7 Minerals and Waste Plans

4.7.1 Nottinghamshire/Nottingham

Nottinghamshire County Council (NCC) is currently preparing a new Minerals Local Plan covering the period up to 2036. NCC and Nottingham City (N.City) are preparing a joint Waste Local Plan in 2020 which, on adoption, will replace the 2013 Waste Core Strategy.

4.7.2 Derbyshire/Derby

Work is progressing on a range of minerals topics for Derbyshire County and Derby City Councils with consultation programmed for 2020. Background and evidence papers on local and strategic waste are being consulted on early 2020 and will include drop in events around the County.

4.7.3 Implementation of Core Strategies and Delivery of Strategic Sites

We are generally on target. Gamston/North of Tollerton is subject to some delays due to land ownership. Other sites are making good or reasonable progress.

- 4.7.4 ME reported following his Joint Strategy and Planning Transport meeting that Nottingham universities were attracting more student numbers. This would require an additional 3,000 to 6,000 bedspaces within the next few years. He continued to say that we needed universities to provide provisions of required numbers of student bedspaces. He also suggested BBC to become more involved due to bordering the university in order to supply student accommodation.
- 4.7.5 MG advised that the problem is trying to be addressed with impacts on the city centre and university campuses. Ideally need to develop more student accommodation to free up existing HMOs.
- 4.7.6 TH was concerned that Nottingham University was putting pressure on local councils for student accommodation and wished to be kept updated.

Joint Planning Advisory Board was resolved to NOTE the progress with the Local Plans covering Greater Nottingham and the progress on the implementation of strategic sites included in the Local Plans covering Greater Nottingham.

5. Core Strategy (Strategic Planning Policies) Review (Matt Gregory)

- MG firstly reported a change in personnel with Derek Stebbing now providing PAS planning support and advice. He previously provided advice on a Statement of Common Ground for the partnership. He will be providing at least 8.5 day's support over the coming year.
- A timetable set out at para 2.2 envisages that the Strategic Plan will be submitted for Examination in January 2021. However, it is important to note that the key dates are reliant on evidence based studies being carried out/completed. Any slippage of this evidence may have an impact on the timetable. The red squares illustrated on the timeline indicated proposed JPAB meetings although, if necessary, these could be adjusted to highlight key elements.
- 5.3 The first public phase will be a draft Growth Options document in April 2020 with a round of council approvals prior to this.

- Following the Growth Options consultation the next formal consultation will be the Preferred Options consultation in the Summer of 2021. This is a very challenging timetable.
- 5.5 The HMA boundary study has been completed as has a Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment review.
- 5.6 AG will give a presentation on the Sustainable Appraisal during this meeting.
- 5.7 AECOM have been commissioned to undertake a Growth Options Study. BBC is leading on this study which is due for completion at end of February. This will inform the draft Growth Options consultation.
- 5.8 A Housing Needs Assessment is being undertaken by Iceni and it will look at housing need across Greater Nottingham and Ashfield, breaking down elements of this need such as affordable housing, student need and the needs of the elderly. Nottingham City Council is the lead authority for this work.
- 5.9 RBC will be leading on a Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Housing Needs Assessment. This is due to be completed Summer 2020.
- 5.10 A Green and Blue Infrastructure brief is also being prepared. This will be a two stage process and consultants will be appointed to undertake the elements of the work that cannot be completed in house.
- 5.11 Retail and Economic Land Requirements Studies will also be commissioned.
- 5.12 A consultation portal (Inovem) is being progressed to consult on strategic plans across Greater Nottingham. It was previously agreed that this is to be funded from JPAB's existing budget.
- It is intended to recruit a Temporary Conurbation Planning Manager as a secondment from partner councils early in the New Year. The post is intended to lead the progression of the Core Strategy/Strategies and will be part funded from the Planning Delivery Fund.
- 5.14 DM agreed it was a busy programme and to include ME's point to look at students' needs and to invite the university to the Group to understand how they can help.
- MG advised that the City Council already liaised with the two Nottingham universities and has excluded Nottingham City's student housing need from the brief. He suggested that Broxtowe can be part of this process due to its close proximity to the university campus.
- 5.16 MP was concerned that any slippage in the programme could have an affect later on and that we had already lost a few months. He continued that we needed to commit to making a start talking to developers and understanding their practices.
- 5.17 ME considered it was difficult to predict timeframes. He asked how many extra gypsies and travellers there were since the last census. If they had moved into private or council housing then we would be unaware of numbers and they may

have moved between authorities. MP also said that we were working with fluid figures as they could have even moved out of the area.

- MG explained that this matter had been raised previously. How many travellers were living in homes and other types of accommodation is part of the Gypsy and Traveller study. The census figures could be provided following the meeting. MG advised that the study would not be concluded until the summer to take into consideration the winter caravan count and also to allow time for consultations with the gypsy and traveller and travelling showman population in order to understand their needs.
- 5.19 The programme plan was approved unanimously.

Joint Planning Advisory Board resolved to:

- (a) NOTE the progress with the preparation of the Strategic Plan;
- (b) APPROVE the Project Plan set out below; and
- (c) NOTE that Executive Steering Group has agreed that a secondment be offered to existing planning colleagues in partner Councils for a temporary planner/project manager post to support the preparation of the Strategic Plan for a period of 24 months.
- 6. <u>Core Strategy Review Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report</u>
 (Alison Gibson)
- AG gave an update on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report. The process involves a series of Stages A to E in line with the government's planning practice guidance. Stage A has been completed (Stage A2 was completed from the Housing Delivery Workshop in February 2019).
- AG presented a list of 16 objectives which illustrated areas for improvement to help shape the SA Framework. Stages B and C will be covered in further workshops with key stakeholders. AG advised that good progress was being made and this would feed into the Growth Options process.
- 6.3 ME mentioned that target dates needed to be set to meet N.City's ambitious policy to be carbon neutral by 2028. Council emissions could be reduced by measures such as loft insulation, using mine water for heat capture/cooling, solar panels and greener cars. He suggested a presentation be given by N.City to share their best practices.
- 6.4 MG reflected on the recent Seminar for securing sustainable housing developments and asked that the work could be shared across the conurbation bearing in mind the Government's target to be carbon neutral by 2050.

Joint Planning Advisory Board was resolved to NOTE the report.

7. <u>Homes England Capacity Funding projects monitoring</u> (Peter McAnespie)

7.1 PMc reported each councils funding summaries for the second quarter of Year 3. Although the project bids had continued to rise there was no additional spend in the last quarter. There were variations how the money was to be spent which has been

agreed with Homes England. There has been a lack of progress with Stanton for EBC and GBC's Rolleston Drive. EBC will update early in the New Year. The proposed re-purposing of grant funding for Rolleston Drive, Gedling will be brought back to the Group at a future meeting for noting.

Joint Planning Advisory Board was resolved to NOTE the report.

- 8. **Joint Planning Advisory Board Future Funding** (Matt Gregory)
- 8.1 MG advised that ESG considered the current budget and agreed a proposal to increase contributions from £59k to approximately £71k.
- 8.2 The reasons for the increase were based on an increase of workload for the Strategic Plan preparation. MG explained that there had been considerable savings of approximately £1m made by joint working and with grant monies received. ESG sent the proposal round for councils to endorse. It was noted that ADC, BBC, GBC and RBC will be responding positively.

Joint Planning Advisory Board was resolved to NOTE the report.

- 9. <u>Housing Developers Forum verbal feedback</u> (Dave Mitchell/All)
- 9.1 DM reported that it was agreed to support, across the wider Nottingham area, a Housing Development Forum on 6 December. He has not yet received full feedback from the 80 attendees.
- 9.2 The responses he had received were positive including comments that there were excellent presentations. There was a mixed attendance from developers, council officers and agencies.
- 9.3 JH (ADC) gave her congratulations saying that the event was tremendous bearing in mind it was not straight forward with regards to carbon emissions. It was interesting and a learning exercise to hear from experts in their fields; it was exactly what was asked for.
- 9.4 Cllr Relf (ADC) focused on what was tangible and what differences could be made to be more efficient. He was aware that it will be difficult therefore time would be of the essence.
- 9.5 TH suggested inviting a Cabinet Minister to a future meeting.
- 9.6 Mr Galij (developer) found the workshop informative however the venue's acoustics could have been improved. The subject matter was very interesting with councils and industry playing their part going forward. He believed that we need to be realistic and pragmatic "delivery is everything".

Joint Planning Advisory Board recommended to REFLECT ON the Housing Developers Forum held in December 2019.

10. **Any other Business**

10.1 Cllr Powell advised that EBC would be responding to the call for sites in January.

11. <u>Future Meetings 2020</u>

DATE	TIME	VENUE
Tuesday 24 March	2.00 pm	Council Chamber, Ground Floor, Council Offices, Beeston
Tuesday 30 June	2.00 pm	Council Chamber, Ground Floor, Council Offices, Beeston
Tuesday 22 September	2.00 pm	Council Chamber, Ground Floor, Council Offices, Beeston
Tuesday 15 December	2.00 pm	Council Chamber, Ground Floor, Council Offices, Beeston

MEETING CLOSED AT 3.10 PM

ITEM 4 Presentations

1.0 **SUMMARY**

- 1.1 Arup consultants have been commissioned to prepare a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) to guide the development of land in the proximity of the proposed HS2 Station Hub at Toton. As well as being of wider interest to JPAB, it will be important that the Strategic Plan provides a strategic planning plucky framework for the SPD and in particular for its transport, green infrastructure and economic development links with the wider area.
- 1.2 The Greater Nottingham Growth Options Study under taken by AECOM consultants is now complete, and will be a key element of the evidence base underpinning future strategic planning policy in Greater Nottingham.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Joint Planning Advisory Board **RECEIVE** presentations on:

(a) Toton SPD Ken Harrison

(b) Greater Nottingham Growth Options Study AECOM

ITEM 5 Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan Update

2.0 **SUMMARY**

1.1 JPAB agreed to the principle of preparing a new Strategic Plan covering Greater Nottingham at its December 2017 meeting. This report updates on progress with the review.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Joint Planning Advisory Board:

- a) **NOTE** the consultation on the Growth Options is planned to commence on 6th July 2020; and
- b) **NOTE** the situation with the Erewash Growth Options Consultation.

2.0 Project Plan

- 2.1 The Project Plan as set out in the papers which were circulated in lieu of the last JPAB meeting has been significantly impacted by Coronavirus, officers having to work from home, and a delay in receiving the Growth Options Study. As a consequence, it has been necessary to delay the planned publication of the Growth Options Consultation, which it is now planned to commence on 6th July 2020, subject to council approvals. To allow for the disruption caused by the Coronavirus, and the fact that the consultation will take place over the summer holiday period, it is intended to extend the consultation period from six weeks to ten weeks.
- 2.2 The draft document has been circulated alongside these papers, and the key elements of the document will be presented at the meeting.
- 2.3 The Project Plan will need to be revisited in the light of the delay caused by Coronavirus, and in addition some study commissions required to support the draft Plan have been postponed, and will need to be reprogrammed into the timetable. A revised Project Plan will be prepared for the next meeting of JPAB.

3.0 Erewash Growth Options Consultation

3.1 As reported in the previous meeting's papers, Erewash BC began consultation on a separate "Options for Growth" document covering their Borough only. The document presents the Borough's preferred option, proposing sufficient sites to meet the housing needs of the Borough based on the government's current Standard Methodology. Consultation was due to end on Monday 20th April, however the process was been 'paused' due to Coronavirus. The consultation is now extended, and will end on Monday 20 July.

- 3.2 As noted in the papers circulated in lieu of the last meeting of JPAB, Erewash BC have indicated that they would like to 're-align' with a single Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan after the Growth Options consultation. This would be the best approach to mitigate risks, and accordingly, evidence continues to be collated on a Greater Nottingham geography, with the Sustainability Scoping Report for the other Greater Nottingham partners' Growth Options continuing to include Erewash.
- 3.3 Prior to the Coronavirus crisis, it was suggested that this JPAB would be an opportunity to hold a workshop to consider the outcomes of both the Erewash and Greater Nottingham Growth Options consultations, and the prospects for re-aligning the two plan making processes. Given the delayed consultation processes, the need for and timing of such a workshop will be kept under review.

4.0 Ashfield Local Plan

4.1 Ashfield has commissioned relevant evidence base work to support the production of their local plan and officers have been progressing the plan with members through the lockdown. Officers are currently awaiting the outcome of those reports in July, and also the shared evidence base to inform retail and economic policies (which has been delayed due to the pandemic, as noted at paragraph 5.8 below).

5.0 Strategic Plan Review Progress

5.1 A summary of progress is as follows:-

Background work

- 5.2 The Housing Market Area Boundary Study is complete and has been reported to JPAB.
- 5.3 The review of the Councils' Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessments (SHLAAs) in so far as they relate to the Main Built Up Area has completed and reported to JPAB. Decisions on its recommendations are being implemented where appropriate in order to align our respective SHLAAs as far as practicable, and a record created of whether recommendations are accepted or not, so that an audit trail is available to inform examinations and appeals.

Growth Options commission

5.4 AECOM has undertaken this study which identifies broad areas of potential growth based on a sieve analysis and more detailed assessments. Officers have reviewed advanced drafts and a final report is anticipated in late June 2020. Broxtowe Borough Council are the lead Council for this commission.

Housing Need Assessment

5.5 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires local authorities to support their Local Plans with an assessment of the need of different types of housing for

different parts of the Community, such as those requiring affordable housing, or the elderly (often referred to as Strategic Housing Market Area Assessments – SHMAAs). Iceni consultants were appointed to undertake this work. Officers have reviewed advanced drafts and a final report is anticipated in late June 2020.

Gypsy and Traveller Housing Need Assessment

5.6 A Gypsy and Traveller Housing Needs Assessment has been procured from specialist consultants separately from the rest of the Housing Needs Assessment. RRR consultants have been appointed to undertake the work and an inception meeting was held on 12th February. Four chapters of this study have been drafted outlining the current situation in respect of Traveller and Travelling Showpeople in Greater Nottingham, the results of initial stakeholder consultation and the methodology moving forward. The Coronavirus restrictions mean that interviews with the travelling community cannot currently be undertaken which will impact on the initial timetable delaying the final report to late 2020/early 2021. Rushcliffe Borough Council are leading on this commission.

Green and Blue Infrastructure Study

5.7 A scoping paper for a Green and Blue Infrastructure Study has been prepared. Officer meetings have been held by the Councils with a view to preparing a single mapping resource of GBI across the area, which will been supported with a detailed background paper. The findings of the study will be used to overlay with the potential growth options identified by the Growth Options study, and with the results of Growth Options consultation will help inform a preferred growth option. A decision will be required as to whether this work can be undertaken in house or if consultants will be best placed to progress it.

Other work

- 5.8 Briefs for an Economic Land Requirements Study and for a Town Centres study have been prepared, although commissioning of both has been postponed due to current Coronavirus restrictions and to uncertainty as to economic and town centre prospects in the short term.
- 5.9 The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) which will support the plan review has been scoped out, and contacts established with main infrastructure providers. This will provide the basis for a draft IDP for the Preferred Option/Consultation Draft.
- 5.10 A Sustainability Scoping (SA) report has been prepared, submitted to the statutory bodies for consultation, and updated accordingly. The next stage of the SA will accompany the draft Strategic Plan, following consultation on the Growth Options.
- 5.11 Drafting the Growth Options consultation document is now complete. It is currently envisaged that consultation on this document will commence on 6th July. This will allow for the principal elements of the evidence based to be in place alongside the consultation, which is considered to be the most appropriate approach to encouraging more meaningful responses. A website (www.gnplan.org.uk) has been established to provide information and links to consultation material.

- 5.12 One of the significant weaknesses of the approach to consultation on the last Core Strategy was the decision that each Council would undertake its own consultation. This resulted in significant duplication, and extra work in bring together all the responses into one place. Accordingly, the Inovem consultation portal has been procured, and is being prepared for the Growth Options consultation. As it is hosted on the web, it enables access by all authorities from their offices.
- 5.13 The partnership's 2017 Planning Delivery Fund (PDF) award includes funding provision for a 12 month temporary planner/project manager post to assist with the review. It was agreed that underspend from other PDF projects and reallocation of some Homes England (HE) funding would allow this to be extended to 24 months. Unfortunately, it has not been possible successfully recruit to the post to date, however a further invite for expressions of interest has been issued.

6.0 Next Steps

- 6.1 The next steps on the review of strategic policies are envisaged to be:
 - Complete the Growth Options consultation.
 - Completing other supporting studies (Housing Need, Gypsy and Travellers needs study).
 - Develop the GI Strategy, including procurement of later stages if required.
 - Review SHLAA study recommendations.
 - Continue SA process for the draft Local Plan.
 - Prepare a Preferred Options for consideration by partner Councils.

Lead Officer:

Matt Gregory, Greater Nottingham Planning Manager matt.gregory@nottinghamcity.gov.uk, 0115 876 3981

ITEM 6 Waste and Minerals Local Plans Update

1.0 **SUMMARY**

1.1 This report updates JPAB on progress with the Nottinghamshire/Nottingham and Derbyshire Waste and Minerals Local Plans.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Joint Planning Advisory Board **NOTE** the progress with the Nottinghamshire/Nottingham and Derbyshire Waste and Minerals Local Plans.

2.0 Plans Update

Nottinghamshire/Nottingham

- 2.1 Nottinghamshire County Council is preparing a new Minerals Local Plan for the period to 2036. Consultation on Issues and Options was undertaken between 20 November 2017 and 14 January 2018 and a Draft Local Plan was published for consultation between 27 July and 28 September 2018. The Plan was published for representations between 30 August and 11 October 2019, and was submitted to the Secretary of State in February 2020. Public hearing sessions, as part of the Independent Examination, were scheduled to take place in late April but have been postponed due to the Covid 19 situation. Members will be updated when a new timetable has been agreed by the Planning Inspectorate.
- 2.2 Nottinghamshire County and Nottingham City Councils have started preparing single Joint Waste Plan in 2019 to replace the 2013 Waste Core Strategy. A monitoring report and waste needs assessment have been prepared and consultation on the Scoping, Issues and Options document was completed in May 2020. Feedback from this consultation is now being analysed and will inform the preparation of the next stage of the Joint Waste Plan.
- 2.3 The County Council Communities and Places Committee was due to consider a revised Local Development Scheme on 7 March 2020 but this has been delayed to allow for possible revisions to the timetable for the preparation of both Mineral and Waste Local Plans in the light of Covid 19.

Derbyshire/Derby

2.4 Consultation on a range of minerals topic papers entitled 'Towards a Minerals Local Plan' – Proposed Approach was carried out in Spring 2018. Following publication of the NPPF in 2019 which now stipulates that local plans should cover a 15 year period from adoption of the plan the Councils are extending the Plan period to 2036. This means that the Councils have had to re-examine the situation regarding the supply of sand and gravel from the Plan area to determine the scale of additional provision that

the Plan must make and the amount that will be required from new sites. As part of this re-examination, the Councils have asked sand and gravel operators within the county if they wished to promote additional sites for working during the Plan period to 2036. This has resulted in three further sites being put forward. These sites are now being assessed alongside other sites that were previously considered. The Councils are hoping to consult on the potential sand and gravel sites in late summer (subject to Covid 19 considerations). A consultation on the full proposed draft Plan is anticipated in late Autumn/Winter 2020 with the publication plan and submission anticipated in 2021.

- 2.5 A series of background and evidence papers on local and strategic waste matters have been prepared. This includes an updated forecasting approach on waste capacity need across the plan period. It also provides a summary of the quantities of waste generated which now encompasses the period from 2006-2018. The papers include a series of questions or gaps in knowledge/evidence which will be used as the basis for the consultation roll out. The consultation will be a hybrid between issues and preferred approach
- 2.6 Consultation on the papers will take place in late summer 2020 and also include running some drop in events around the County to give residents the opportunity to view and comment. This will then be used to draw up the draft plan for consultation in Autumn / Winter 2020. Anticipated completion and adoption of the new plan is in 2021.

Lead Officer:

Matt Gregory, Greater Nottingham Planning Manager matt.gregory@nottinghamcity.gov.uk, 0115 876 3981

ITEM 7 HE Capacity Funding – Quarter 4 (Year 3) January to March 2020

1.0 Summary

1.1 To report to JPAB the progress made on Homes England (HE) Capacity Funding projects.

2.0 Recommendations

That Joint Planning Advisory Board:

- (a) **NOTE** this report: and
- (b) **NOTE** the decision of Executive Steering Group to re-purpose £45,201.73 of grant funding for Rushcliffe

3.0 Background

3.1 The Greater Nottingham Joint Planning Advisory Board successfully bid for £855,000 of HE grant funding in Spring 2017. Under the conditions of the grant award, the Partners are required to provide monitoring information to HE on a quarterly basis and identify key risks, issues and mitigation measures.

4.0 Progress – Quarter 4 (Year 3) – January to March 2020

4.1 Each authority's progress made on their respective projects for quarter 3 is summarised below.

Ashfield:

• Project Completed.

Broxtowe:

Project Completed.

Erewash: (no change)

• Stanton Regeneration site: Following the withdrawal of direct delivery interest in the site from Homes England, EBC is in negotiation with potential site developers over use of the funds for their originally approved purpose (further contamination / stability studies in order to establish a market value for the land).

Gedling:

 A60 corridor transport assessment: Detailed discussions with County Council and Systra regarding the procurement of transport modelling work to consider the capacity of the highways network to accommodate new development, if any. Implications of Transforming Cities funding under consideration.

• **Top Wighay Farm & Rolleston Drive:** GBC have re-purposed the remaining £45,457 of funding allocated for these two sites to support developments at Station Road and Burton Road. It is intended that any further underspends be used to progress a further site at Killisick with an overall capacity of around 140 homes.

Nottingham City:

• **Waterside**: Land acquisition progressing in order to release land for development (housing, school and roads). Master planning of the site has continued.

Rushcliffe:

- East of Gamston: RBC have met with Savills to discuss the approach to pre-application discussions in respect of the allocation. They are looking at masterplanning, various technical matters and the timescale for approaching submission of a planning application
- **South of Clifton:** The first Reserved Matters Application for the spine road, drainage and earthworks has been approved.

The Borough Council are continuing to liaise with the consortium regarding possible variations to the approved outline permission as a result of the consortiums master-planning of the development and met with the Notts Wildlife Trust (NWT). Additional information was submitted seeking to address NWT concerns ahead of the Borough Council assessing the proposal.

- North of Bingham: (no change from previous quarter) Approval on a Non-Material Amendment to reflect revisions in the house types was approved but otherwise development is progressing on site with circa 30 dwellings now complete
- Former RAF Newton: The S73 applications still pending consideration due to issues
 over drainage and highways access concerns linked to the proposed removal of the bus
 gate officers continue to work with the technical consultees and the applicants to seek
 a resolution. A Reserved Matters application was also submitted for consideration for the
 detailed layout of the entire site.

5.0 Anticipated Progress Next Quarter (April to June 2020)

Erewash:

• Stanton Regeneration site: A report will be taken to Council Executive on 7th July to authorise the receipt and expenditure of this grant on its original purpose.

Gedling:

 A60 corridor transport assessment: Transport modelling work to be commissioned if possible, given uncertainties around when normal traffic movements will resume.

Nottingham City:

 Waterside: Full commitment of Homes England funding anticipated. Remainder to be utilised to progress relocation of business strategy to release land for housing, or for feasibility work on a building for relocating existing occupiers. Kilpin Way (1st phase of the road) planning application to be submitted May 2020.

Rushcliffe:

Actual and committed spend to date totals £194,798. RBC are intending to utilise the remainder of their allocation, £45,201.73, towards extension of the SSDO post for a further 6 to 9 months. This will in particular enable continued support to be provided in the run up to the submission of the first planning application for the east Gamston strategic site and then during the application's determination. An application was due to be submitted in June but due to the pandemic, this has now been put back until November.

• East of Gamston: A further meeting will be arranged with Savills who are currently representing Taylor Wimpey and Barwood with the County Education and Highways Departments to better understand the onsite requirements for the development to assist them in masterplanning the site.

RBC Policy will be present to better understand how any SPD for the site might work and the timeframes to draft. It has also been arranged for the consortium to attend the Strategic Growth Board at the end of March to present the progress to relevant Members of the Borough Council.

- South of Clifton Strategic Allocation: (no change from previous quarter) The Borough
 Council anticipate the submission of further reserved matters applications, including
 phase 1 housing and additional infrastructure applications. The Borough Council will
 also need to make a decision on the proposed revisions to the layout sought by the
 developer.
- **North of Bingham:** (no change from previous quarter) Continued build out phase 1 of the site is expected. Full planning permission for the residential element is now approved so bar any revisions/variations no further residential planning applications are anticipated.
- **Former RAF Newton:** (no change from previous quarter) Determination of the S73 application and the REM application along with Discharge of condition applications.

6.0 Project Re-purposing

6.1 Rushcliffe requested that the remainder of their grant allocation be used to extend the duration of the SSDO post. It is clear that the proposal satisfies the original grant criteria in that it is intended to accelerate housing delivery, therefore at its meeting on

4th June, ESG approved the re-purposing of £45,201.73 towards the extension of Rushcliffe's SSDO post for a further 6 to 9 months.

7.0 Risks and Issues

7.1 The lack of progress made on Stanton Regeneration Site, Erewash is highlighted as amber until further evidence of progress is provided. In addition, JPAB agreed to work up some reserve projects for both underspend of the HE funding and also to have projects 'ready' should further opportunities for grant funding come forward. These will continue to be progressed.

8.0 Next Steps

8.1 Authorities will continue to populate the monitoring spreadsheet and work up reserve projects. Progress on quarter 1 and anticipated progress for quarter 2 of year 4 will be reported to the next JPAB meeting.

Contact Officer:

Peter McAnespie Partnerships and Local Plans Manager Nottingham City Council

Tel: 0115 876 4068

E-Mail: peter.mcanespie@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Item 8 Joint Planning Advisory Board Budget 2020/21

1.0 **SUMMARY**

1.1 This report updates Joint Planning Advisory Board on the Partnership's revenue budget.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Joint Planning Advisory Board:

- (a) **NOTE** the budget position at the close of 2019/20; and
- (b) **APPROVE** the budget for 2020/21; and
- (c) **NOTE** the partner contributions to the work of JPAB during 2020/21.

2.0 Financial Position at close of 2019/20

- 2.1 Nottingham City Council is the accountable body for the Greater Nottingham Planning Partnership (for which JPAB provides the political governance). Accordingly, Nottingham City Council is responsible for managing the partnership's budget.
- 2.2 Table 1 below shows the JPAB revenue budget position at the end of the financial year 2019/20. Those elements not spent during 2019/20 are available to roll forward to 2020/21.

Revenue Budget 2019/20 £307,142

Made up of:-

- Carry forward from 2018/19 of £208,142
- Partner contributions of £59,000
- Re-allocation of Homes England Capacity Fund grant funding to ACS support of £40,000 (agreed by JPAB)

2.5 Table 1: JPAB Revenue Budget for 2019/20

Anticipated expenditure: Description	Amount	Status
Salaries/Partnership Support	£55,000	Paid
NCC Ad hoc support	£2,000	Carry Forward
BBC Secretariat	£2,000	Paid
Audit	£1,000	Carry Forward
Admin Travel	£1,000	Carry Forward
Developer 'stalled sites' third workshop	£1,000	Carry Forward
BBC Masterplanning	£5,700	Carry Forward
HE Capacity Funding Monitoring (2018/19)	£3,420	Paid
HE Capacity Funding Monitoring (2019/20)	£3,420	Carry Forward

Councillor Workshop facilitation/report	£2,000	Paid
HMA Boundary Study	£6,000	Paid
SHLAA Audit Study	£14,875	Paid
Growth Options Study	£45,125	Carry Forward
INOVEM consultation database	£29,980	Carry Forward
Project Management/Planner support (PDF)*	£106,000	Carry Forward
Severence Risk Contingency	£10,000	Carry Forward
Total Expenditure	£83,295	
Unallocated Budget**	£223,847	Carry forward

^{*}Two year contract

3.0 Financial Position at beginning of 2020/21

3.1 The anticipated JPAB budget for 2020/21 is set out at table 2 below.

Revenue Budget 2020/21 £294,647

Made up of:-

- Carry forward from 2018/19 of £223,874
- Partner contributions of £70,800

3.2 Table 2: JPAB Revenue Budget for 2020/21

Anticipated expenditure: Description	Amount	Status
Salaries/Partnership Support	£62,258	Committed
NCC Ad hoc support	£2,000	Anticipated
BBC Secretariat	£2,000	Committed
Audit	£1,000	Anticipated
Admin Travel	£1,000	Anticipated
Developer 'stalled sites' fourth workshop	£1,000	Anticipated
BBC Masterplanning	£5,700	Committed
HE Capacity Funding Monitoring (2019/20)	£3,420	Committed
Growth Options Study	£45,125	Committed
INOVEM consultation database (3 years)	£29,980	Committed
Project Management/Planner support (PDF)*	£106,000	Committed
Severence Risk Contingency	£10,000	Contingency
Total Committed and Anticipated	C260 492	
Expenditure	£269,483	
Unallocated Budget**	£25,164	

^{*}Two year contract

3.3 The outstanding amount of £25,164 is available as a JPAB contribution to the work of preparing the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan.

^{**}Available for studies, evidence base work, etc

^{**}Available for studies, evidence base work, etc

Other Funding

3.4 Table 3 shows other funding attributed to some partners as part of the Brownfield Register pilot scheme in 2016. This funding is available to those Councils as individual contributions to future JPAB work.

Table 3: Other Funding

Other partnership funding	Amount	Status
4 x Brownfield Land Registers (BBC,		
GBC, NCC, RBC)	£37,811	Ongoing

4.0 <u>Future Funding</u>

4.1 Following the agreement of the partner Councils in 2016 to contribute to the ongoing work of the partnership, each Council makes annual contributions to the work of JPAB, which currently are:

Partner	Proposed Contribution	
Ashfield District Council	£4,800	
Broxtowe Borough Council	£9,600	
Derbyshire County Council	£0	
Erewash Borough Council	£9,600	
Gedling Borough Council	£9,600	
Nottingham City Council	£18,000	plus £5,000 in kind
Nottinghamshire County Council	£9,600	
Rushcliffe Borough Council	£9,600	
TOTAL	£70,800	

4.2 The 2020/21 contributions are now due and will be requested in due course.

Contact officer:-

Matt Gregory
Greater Nottingham Planning Manager
0115 876 3981
matt.gregory@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

ITEM 9 Any other business

ITEM 10 Future Meetings

Tuesday 22 September at 2.00 pm	Council Chamber, Council Offices, Beeston
Tuesday 15 December at 2.00 pm	Council Chamber, Council Offices, Beeston