

Greater Nottingham Planning Partnership

AGENDA

GREATER NOTTINGHAM JOINT PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD Tuesday, 6 June 2023 2:00pm: via Microsoft Teams

1. Introductions and Apologies
2. Declaration of Interests
3. Presentation: background to and work of the Joint Planning Advisory Board **MG**
4. Joint Planning Advisory Board Terms of Reference and appointment of Chair and Vice Chair **RH**
5. Approval of minutes of last meeting and matters arising
6. Presentation: East Midlands Development Company **KH**
7. Greater Nottingham Strategic Planning Update **MG/JK**
8. Homes England Capacity Funding projects monitoring **KS**
9. Waste and Minerals Local Plans Update **SG/SB**
10. Greater Nottingham Planning Partnership – Budget 2023/24 **KS**
11. Future Meetings
12. Any other business (previously notified to the Chair) **ALL**



ITEM 3	PRESENTATION: BACKGROUND TO AND WORK OF THE JOINT PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD
---------------	--

1.0 SUMMARY

1.1 Presentation by Matt Gregory of the Greater Nottingham Planning Partnership, highlighting the origin of the partnership, its past, present and future priorities.

**ITEM 4 JOINT PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD TERMS OF REFERENCE
AND APPOINTMENT OF CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR**

1 SUMMARY

- 1.1 Draft Terms of Reference of the Joint Board are included at Appendix 1 for information and approval. For continuity, it is recommended that Broxtowe Borough Council (Councillor Radulovic) continues to Chair the Joint Board, and that for political balance, Rushcliffe Borough Council (Councillor Upton) be Vice Chair.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Joint Planning Advisory Board:

- (a) **APPROVE** the Joint Planning Advisory Board Terms of Reference; and
- (b) **AGREE** that the Chair of JPAB be Broxtowe Borough Council, and the Vice Chair be Gedling Borough Council

2.0 Background

- 2.1 Terms of Reference for the JPAB were originally adopted in 2008, and are subject to period review. JPAB last considered the Terms of Reference in 2018, and accordingly JPAB is asked to approve the Terms of Reference (subject to any amendments agreed at the meeting). The draft Terms of Reference are at Appendix 1.
- 2.2 Historically, Broxtowe Borough Council has held the Chair of JPAB (which should be a District Council), and for continuity, this arrangement is recommended to carry on. It is also recommended that the Vice Chair be Gedling Borough Council.

Appendix 1

Greater Nottingham Joint Planning Advisory Board - Draft Terms of Reference – June 2023

1. Role

- 1.1 To facilitate the sustainable development and growth of Greater Nottingham by discharging the Duty to Cooperate (S110 of the Localism Act), or any successor “Alignment Test”, preparing a Statement of Common Ground on key Strategic Planning issues, and advising the constituent Councils on the alignment of planning work across the Greater Nottingham area and other spatial planning and transport matters of mutual concern.

The Board Secretariat function will be provided by Broxtowe Borough Council.

2. Key Tasks

- 2.1 To advise on the preparation of coordinated and aligned Local Plans to provide a coherent and consistent strategic planning framework across Greater Nottingham, including:

- To prepare and agree Statements of Common Ground which identify the key strategic planning issues in Greater Nottingham and to advise on the review of strategic policies which address those issues in aligned Local Plans, including:
 - Agreeing the appropriate geography over which the Joint Board operates, and reviewing the geography if appropriate;
 - Agreeing the objectively assessed housing needs of Greater Nottingham;
 - In the light of this housing need, agreeing future housing provision levels for each Council on which to base Local Plan reviews;
 - Commissioning further evidence on matters such as the future of the Greater Nottingham economy, environmental matters and infrastructure requirements;
 - Liaising with other Duty to Cooperate bodies;
 - Working with the D2N2 Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP), and with the proposed East Midlands Combined County Authority, to ensure that new Local Plans and LEP objectives are aligned.

- 2.2 To ensure effective implementation and monitoring of prepared plans, particularly through:

- the preparation of site specific part 2 Local Plans where appropriate;

- sharing best practice and experience in Development Management of significant proposals contained in the aligned plans, including joint working between Councils where those proposals have cross boundary implications;
- identifying and addressing barriers to delivery of sites on which Local Plans rely;
- working with the development industry and Government agencies to facilitate delivery of sites;
- ensuring approaches to the Community Infrastructure Levy, the proposed national Infrastructure Levy, and planning obligations across the area are complimentary;
- monitor the effectiveness of the aligned Plans in a consistent way, to ensure the aims and objectives are met;
- ensuring the provision of infrastructure to support future growth, especially where this has impacts on more than one council area, particularly social, blue and green infrastructure.

2.3 To identify and make links to other local funding sources and public / private investment programmes to further the work of the Joint Planning Advisory Board.

2.4 To ensure coordination and delivery of individual, joint or cross boundary projects funded from partnership or other sources.

2.5 To maximise and where appropriate advise on the best use of planning contributions arising from development.

2.6 To disseminate progress updates, information on latest Government guidance and related initiatives, and national and local best practice, to all partners.

2.7 To receive reports from the Executive Steering Group, and to advise on and review the activities of the Greater Nottingham Planning Manager.

2.8 To provide strategic advice and direction to underpin transport modelling for growth proposals in Local Plans.

2.9 To advise the strategic planning of the HS2 East Midlands Hub station, in order to maximise economic growth arising from the station, and to maximise connectivity opportunities with other parts of Greater Nottingham, and the wider area including Derbyshire, Leicestershire and Nottinghamshire and constituent District Councils.

3. Membership

3.1 One Council member covering each of the following remits:-

Ashfield District Council - Planning
Broxtowe Borough Council - Planning
Derbyshire County Council - Planning
Derbyshire County Council - Transport
Erewash Borough Council - Planning
Gedling Borough Council - Planning
Nottingham City Council - Planning
Nottingham City Council - Transport
Nottinghamshire County Council - Planning
Nottinghamshire County Council - Transport
Rushcliffe Borough Council - Planning

Member substitutes will be allowed.

3.2 Additional observer members as required (who may participate in discussion but will not be eligible to vote), to include bodies such as: LEP, Homes and Communities Agency, Natural England, Heritage England, Highways England, Environment Agency, Nottingham Regeneration Ltd, and other representatives by invitation as required.

4. Context

4.1 The views of the Board will be communicated to the appropriate executive or other bodies of the constituent Councils as soon as possible following resolution by the Board. Where the Board has expressed a view on particular matters that is the subject of a report to any parent executive bodies, the recommendation of the Board will be included in the report.

4.2 Membership of the Board does not take over any responsibilities for any functions of the Councils which are properly dealt with elsewhere nor does it fetter any decisions constituent authorities make wish to make.

4.3 Nottingham City Council is the Responsible Body for the Board's funds and hosts the Joint Planning Advisory Board secretariat.

- 4.4 Nottingham City and Nottinghamshire County Councils also operate a Joint Committee on Strategic Planning and Transport. The terms of reference of the Joint Committee will be kept under review to ensure minimisation of overlap between the two bodies.
- 4.5 The Joint Planning Advisory Board may advise on matters relating to strategic planning and transport delivery for consideration and determination by the Joint Committee.
5. Frequency of Meetings
 - 5.1 The Board will normally meet on a quarterly basis, based on a timetable of key milestones. Board meetings will not be held if there is no business to conclude, equally additional Board meetings will be organised to meet specific programme deadlines/ requirements if needed.
6. Chair and Vice Chair
 - 6.1 The Chair will be provided by Broxtowe Borough Council here for the next 3 years of the programme, the Vice Chair will be Gedling Borough Council.
7. Organisation and Conduct of Meetings
 - 7.1 Secretariat, notice of meetings, circulation of papers, conduct of business at meetings and voting arrangements will follow the Standing Orders of the authority which holds the Chair, or such Standing Orders which may be approved by the constituent authorities. Meetings will be open to members of the public.
8. Officer Support
 - 8.1 The work of the Board will be advised by an Executive Steering Group which will assist the Chair and Vice Chair in setting agendas and brief them prior to meetings. The Executive Steering Group will be chaired by Nottinghamshire County Council and serviced by the Greater Nottingham Planning Manager.
9. Disagreement Between Constituent Councils
 - 9.1 Where the members of the Board cannot arrive at a view on a particular issue which enjoys the support of the majority of Members, that issue should be referred back to the relevant executive bodies of the constituent Councils.
 - 9.2 Participation in the Board will not deter any Council from expressing a dissenting opinion on any specific issue. The right to make representations at any formal

preparation stage of the development plan making process will not in any way be curtailed by membership of the Board.

10 Review

- 10.1 The operation and Terms of Reference of the Board will be formally reviewed no later than July 2026 (3 years following the meeting of the Board reviewing the Terms of Reference).

**ITEM 5 MINUTES OF THE GREATER NOTTINGHAM JOINT PLANNING
ADVISORY BOARD (JPAB) VIRTUAL MEETING HELD ON
TUESDAY 7 MARCH 2023 VIA MS TEAMS**

PRESENT

Broxtowe: Councillor M Radulovic (Chair);
Gedling: Councillor J Hollingsworth
Erewash: Councillor M Powell (Vice Chair)
Nottingham City: Councillor P Kotsonis
Nottinghamshire County: Councillor N Clarke, Councillor R Jackson

Officers in Attendance

Ashfield: Christine Sarris
Broxtowe: Ruth Hyde; Dave Lawson; Mark Thompson; Becky Hatton
Derbyshire: Alison Richards
Erewash: Oliver Dove; Adam Reddish
Gedling: Alison Gibson; Mike Avery, Graeme Foster
Growth Point: Matt Gregory; John King
Nottingham City: Paul Seddon; Karen Shaw
Nottinghamshire County: Steve Pointer; Jonathan Smith
Rushcliffe: Leanne Ashmore; Richard Mapletoft
Apologies

Ashfield: Cllr Williamson
Broxtowe: Cllr David Watts, Ryan Dawson
Derbyshire County: Steve Buffery
Nottingham City: Councillor Sally Longford; Councillor Tony Neal
Nottinghamshire County Council: Adrian Smith
Rushcliffe: Councillor Roger Upton

1. Introductions and Apologies

The Chair welcomed everyone to the virtual meeting and apologies were noted.

2. Declarations of Interest

The Chair wished to place on record that he was involved in a number of applications outside of the JPAB area.

3. Approval of Minutes of the Last Meeting and Matters Arising

Agreed it was a correct record. No matters arising.

**4. Presentation on the Strategic Logistics “Call for Sites”
(John King (JK) and Graeme Foster (GF))**

4.1 GF provided an overview of the Nottingham Core and Outer Logistic Study undertaken by Icen and outlined the key recommendations from the study.

4.2 JK outlined the approach to assessing potential logistic sites. This started with a Call for Sites and JK showed maps for submitted sites within each authority area. He then provided an overview of the assessment criteria which is going to be applied to assess sites.

- 4.3 Cllr Kotsonis queried how archaeology would be considered.
- 4.4 JK confirmed archaeology was part of the criteria and that statutory consultees, such as Historic England, would also have input.
- 4.5 Oliver Dove clarified that Land South West of M1 Junction 25 is not an existing allocation in Erewash.
- 4.6 Cllr Radulovic outlined his concern about the design of some existing logistic developments and requested that design and sustainability factors are considered as part of the assessment process.
- 4.7 JK stated that once the sites were allocated, policies could outline design and sustainability standards.
- 4.8 Cllr Radulovic stated that developers need to be aware that they will need to accord with set design codes and will have to adhere to the sustainability criteria.
- 4.9 Cllr Powell highlighted that there is existing rail access at Stanton which may help to reduce the impact on existing highways.
- 4.10 Nick Wakefield (Environment Agency) stated that they would support the requirement for design codes.

5. Greater Nottingham Strategic Planning Update
(Matt Gregory (MG) and John King (JK))

- 5.1 MG provided an update on progress with the Strategic Plan. The Preferred Approach consultation closed in February and the comments are now being summarised.
- 5.2 JK provided an overview of the consultation response. Approximately 250 stakeholders responded with 800 individual comments. Key points made included a need to increase the plan period, the need to consider additional sites and a support for the 20 minute neighbourhood concept. Other comments related to how the housing and employment numbers had been calculated and the distribution of development.
- 5.3 Cllr Radulovic expressed disappointment about the lack of responses regarding sustainability and the environment. He considered this was vital to future planning.
- 5.4 JK considered that this was due to the focused nature of the consultation.
- 5.5 Cllr Kotsonis queried the relationship with work connected to the Integrated Rail Plan and the revised growth strategy.
- 5.6 MG outlined the relationship between the growth model and transport modelling which is currently ongoing. An update should be available in June.
- 5.7 Cllr Clarke outlined that Rushcliffe would not be prepared to meet City's unmet need and agreed with Cllr Radulovic's comments regarding the importance of sustainability in future development.
- 5.8 Cllr Kotsonis expressed disappointment that the Government was proposing that the 35% uplift was to be retained.
- 5.9 Cllr Powell confirmed that Erewash's Core Strategy has been submitted to the Inspector and that they have received questions from the Inspector which have been responded to.

Joint Planning Advisory Board **NOTED** the progress made on the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan, Erewash Core Strategy Review and Ashfield Local Plan.

6. **Homes England Capacity Funding** Quarter 3 (Year 6) September to December 2022

- 6.1 MG outlined that the funding was now coming to a close with the majority already spent. A full summary will be provided in June.

Joint Planning Advisory Board **NOTED** the report and the details set out in Appendix 1.

7. **Waste and Minerals Local Plans Update**

- 7.1 Steve Pointer outlined progress with the Nottingham City and Nottinghamshire Waste Plan with the intention that the final Plan will be presented to both councils in Spring 2023 and published shortly after. They are making sure the plan aligns with the Local Plans in each authority.
- 7.2 Alison Richards outlined that approval was sought from Derby City and Derbyshire County Councils' Cabinets to go out for consultation on the pre submission Minerals Plan. The consultation has started today for an 8-week period. The intention is to submit the plan later in the year. In respect of the Waste Plan, they are moving towards an issues and options consultation later this year.

Joint Planning Advisory Board **NOTED** the progress with the Nottinghamshire/Nottingham and Derbyshire Waste and Minerals Local Plans.

8. **Government Consultation on Reforms to National Planning Policy**
(Karen Shaw)

- 8.1 Karen Shaw presented an overview of the proposed reforms, focussing on points which will have an impact on strategic planning. The next steps were also outlined.
- 8.2 Cllr Kotsonis outlined some key concerns including the removal of Supplementary Planning Documents which would have significant resource implications. The 30-month deadline for preparing plans and design guides are also key concerns if there are not additional resources. The consideration of applicant's behaviour is also not an appropriate consideration for the planning system.
- 8.3 Cllr Radulovic expressed concern regarding the additional costs to small developers and requested that the slides are shared.

Joint Planning Advisory Board **NOTE** the content of this report.

9. **Future Meetings 2022/2023**

DATE	TIME	VENUE
Tuesday 6 June	2.00 pm	Microsoft Teams Virtual meeting
Tuesday 26 September	2.00 pm	Microsoft Teams Virtual meeting

Tuesday 12 December	2.00 pm	Microsoft Teams Virtual meeting
---------------------	---------	---------------------------------

10. **AOB**

Cllr Radulovic expressed thanks to officers and Members and emphasised the importance of ongoing cooperation.

A training session should take place to inform new members and officers of the role of JPAB. There should also be a briefing session for Leaders and Deputy Leaders to highlight the importance of joint working.

MEETING CLOSED AT 3:08PM

1.0 SUMMARY

- 1.0 Presentation by Ken Harrison of the East Midlands Development Company, highlighting progress to date on the creation of an East Midlands Development Corporation, and progressing the three sites (Toton/Chetwynd, Ratcliffe on Soar power station and East Midlands Airport), the first two of which are fall within the Greater Nottingham area.

ITEM 7 GREATER NOTTINGHAM STRATEGIC PLAN UPDATE

1.0 SUMMARY

- 1.1 JPAB agreed to the principle of preparing a new Strategic Plan covering Greater Nottingham at its December 2017 meeting. This report updates on progress on strategic plans across the area.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Joint Planning Advisory Board **NOTE** the progress made on the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan, Erewash Core Strategy Review and Ashfield Local Plan.

2.0 **Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan**

- 2.1 A 'Preferred Approach' version of the Strategic Plan was published for consultation in January. In total 191 bodies responded, and a total of 830 individual responses/comments.
- 2.2 All documentation associated with the consultation is available at <https://www.gnplan.org.uk/preferredapproach> and in addition to the Preferred Approach itself, the following documents were published to support the proposed consultation:

- Sustainability Appraisal Document
- Site Selection Report
- Heritage Assets Assessment
- Housing Background Paper
- Assessment of Housing Need and Capacity in Nottingham City
- Employment Background Paper
- Green Belt Review
- Green Belt Background Paper
- Infrastructure Delivery Plan Baseline assessment
- Habitats Regulations Assessment Review Paper
- Report of Consultation Responses: Growth Options
- Response to the Growth Options Consultation

- 2.3 Responses to the consultation are currently being considered alongside drafting the next version of the Strategic Plan. A brief summary of responses as reported to the March meeting of JPAB is included at Appendix 1. Engagement with Duty to Cooperate partners is ongoing, as is liaison with key infrastructure providers (see para 5.4 below).

- 2.4 Many consultees made the point that the end date of the Plan, which was 2038, did not give a 15 year plan period from anticipated adoption date, as is required by the National Planning Policy Framework. Consideration is being given to extending the Plan period to 2041 to conform with national guidance.
- 2.5 Subject to completion of key elements of the evidence base, it is intended to publish a full Pre Submission (Regulation 19) version of the Strategic Plan in late 2023, prior to submission for examination. This timetable may have to flex in response to planning reform, as the Government's response to the recent consultation is expected shortly.

3.0 Erewash Core Strategy Review

- 3.1 The council submitted the Erewash Core Strategy Review to the Planning Inspectorate on 30th November 2022 and has been providing written responses to the inspector's questions. Timetable for Examination in Public (EiP) to be confirmed.

4.0 Ashfield Local Plan

- 4.1 The Council's has resolved to move forward the Local Plan reflecting the standard method of housing need; providing a minimum of a 10-year housing supply and to remove the new settlements at Whyburn Farm and Cauldwell Road from the emerging Plan. The Council is currently working on completing evidence base studies to support the Plan before it undertakes a Regulation 19 Consultation.

5.0 Ongoing work

Strategic Transport Modelling

- 5.1 Transport modelling is a key piece of evidence to support any chosen development strategy. The East Midlands Gateway Model covers the whole of Greater Nottingham and it is proposed that it be used to provide an assessment of the strategic transport impacts of the selected draft growth scenario.
- 5.2 The Gateway Model was built around the assumption of the HS2 Hub at Toton, and therefore requires re-basing taking into account the Integrated Rail Plan (IRP) proposals before it can be used to assess accurately the transport impacts of new development. The government has agreed funding to renew the HS2 Growth Plan in the light of the IRP. Officers are seeking to align Strategic Plan transport modelling with that being undertaken for the Growth Plan, supported by both Nottingham City and Nottinghamshire County strategic transport teams. This would achieve significant cost savings. Officers representing the Partnership and Nottingham City Council have met those undertaking the modelling work and the East Midlands Development Corporation to discuss how the baseline work undertaken for the Growth Point can be used to determine the effects of the strategic plan upon the highway network. A revised brief has been drafted by the consultants for agreement by the Partnership authorities.

Other work:

- 5.3 All comments on the Preferred Approach have been summarised within the Report of Responses.
- 5.4 The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) - Meetings with infrastructure and service providers (notably education, health and transport) have been taking place during April and May. These will establish the infrastructure required, costs and sources of funding. Following consultation, the baseline analysis will be reviewed and revised, identifying where infrastructure issues exist and where, in order to ensure delivery, strategic development will be required to contribute to new or improved provision.
- 5.5 A revised brief for a Town Centres and Retail Study has been prepared. The brief recognises and addresses issues currently affecting city, towns and local centres. Specifically, the changes occurring as a result of the pandemic and increased online shopping which require centres to diversify, providing leisure and cultural attractions. Consequently, it seeks recommended policy approaches that will enhance the vitality and viability of our centres, rather than simply quantitative retail floorspace requirements.
- 5.6 A brief has also been drafted for the Plan Wide Viability Appraisal. Final agreements on the contributions which will be included in the appraisal for each authority are being sought.
- 5.7 The partnership authorities are currently working together on a Strategic Distribution and Logistics Background Paper to inform their respective local plans. The 25 sites submitted during the call for sites are currently being reviewed and stage 1 of this process has identified 11 sites that are 'reasonable alternatives.' These alternatives have undergone a more detailed assessment that includes advice from highways authorities and National Highways. Sites within the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan area will also be assessed within the Plan's Sustainability Appraisal.
- 5.8 The policies contained within the Core Strategies have been reviewed and are being redrafted in the light of current national policy and guidance and updated evidence, as it becomes available. Comments on the Preferred Approach will inform policies on the strategic distribution of development and sites. This policy drafting is taking place in conjunction with the Sustainability Appraisal process. Environmental and transport policies have been sent to key stakeholders for comment. The draft policies will be subject to consultation as part of the final publication draft plan which will be submitted for examination.
- 5.9 Following advice from the Planning Advisory Service (PAS), in addition to the evidence documents currently being developed, the Partnership is now considering the scope of additional evidence that would:
- support carbon reduction policies beyond national requirements;
 - support a biodiversity net-gain policy of 20%;
 - inform the completion of a water cycle study; and
 - inform a review of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.
- 5.10 Given the delays in plan making, the Housing Needs Assessment will be over 3 years old at the time of submission and critically Census data for 2021 has been published. Consequently, the Partnership is also considering an update of the Housing Needs Assessment.

5.11 The next steps on the review of strategic policies are to continue to:

- develop the evidence base including undertaking SA, transport modelling, viability assessment, strategic distribution paper, infrastructure delivery plan and habitats regulations assessment.
- review and update policies for the Strategic Plan.
- develop the Publication Version of the Strategic Plan, taking into account consultation responses received.

Lead Officer:

Matt Gregory, Greater Nottingham Planning Manager

matt.gregory@nottinghamcity.gov.uk, 0790 805 9515

Appendix 1

Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan: Preferred Approach - Summary of Responses

- A significant proportion from representatives of development industry and landowners.
- Majority of representations made using the consultation portal.
- Comments spread across the document, with most submitted on chapters 3 (Vision and Objectives), 4 (Strategy), 5 (Housing Need), 6 (Alternative Sites).
- Rushcliffe's Preferred Sites received the most comments.

Summary of Comments - Strategy

- Need to increase plan-period.
- Reduced dependence on brownfield and strategic sites and broader range of locations, types and size required.
- Wider distribution of development, including Key Settlements and other villages.
- Not pro-growth.
- Overall support for 20 minute neighbourhoods.
- Protection of Green Belt and countryside.
- Plan must combat climate change and biodiversity loss.
- Need to deliver supporting infrastructure.

Summary of Comments - Housing

- Standard method should be the starting point and minimum housing need figure. Various figures suggested, the standard method plus 10% (buffer) would equate to 57,753 (+5,449).
- City's unmet need should be met within GBC, BBC and/or RBC.
- Delayed delivery of some strategic sites should be off-set by additional sites.
- Increased job creation resulting from the Freeport and HS2 will increase housing demand.
- Larger buffers.
- Numerous additional/alternative sites submitted across the plan area.

Summary of Comments – Employment

- Provision of strategic logistics sites should have been included within the Preferred Approach and not left for the next stage.
- Imbalanced distribution of employment. Only RBC have a surplus supply. More employment should be provided in the other Boroughs.
- Distribution of employment does not reflect the aims of the plan to regenerate deprived areas especially in Nottingham City.

- Over reliance on strategic sites at Ratcliffe-on-Soar Power Station and Toton that could be delayed.
- Specific objections to Ratcliffe-on-Soar Power Station site being extended to the south of the A453 on Green Belt grounds.

ITEM 8 HE CAPACITY FUNDING

1.0 Summary

- 1.1 To report to JPAB the progress made on Homes England (HE) Capacity Funding projects.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Joint Planning Advisory Board **NOTE** this report.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 The Greater Nottingham Joint Planning Advisory Board successfully bid for £855,000 of HE “Large Sites and Housing Zones Capacity Fund” grant funding in Spring 2017. Under the conditions of the grant award, the Partners are required to provide regular monitoring information to HE and identify key risks, issues and mitigation measures.
- 2.2 The purpose of the grant funding is to allow local authorities to procure consultancy support, including via the HCA panels, to assist with key pieces of work such as: site investigations; market testing/studies; master planning; and work to support achieving planning certainty, viability appraisals, etc. Funding can also be used to establish and/or support a bespoke Local Authority led local delivery team which has a clear and singular purpose to accelerate delivery of key projects that result in new homes.

3.0 Progress/updates – Quarter 4 (Year 6) January to March 2023

- 3.1 Note that there has been no change since the report to December JPAB, and there is £152,126 outstanding as follows:

Ashfield District Council	projects complete.
Broxtowe Borough Council	projects complete.
Erewash Borough Council	£98,662 outstanding, spend committed.
Gedling Borough Council	£33,195 outstanding, spend to be agreed.
Nottingham City Council	£20,269 outstanding, spend committed.
Rushcliffe Borough Council	projects complete.

- 3.2 Erewash anticipate completing their project imminently, and the aim is to close the programme in the 2023/24 financial year.
- 3.3 Outstanding and completed projects are listed at Appendix 1.

4.0 Risks and Issues

- 4.1 JPAB agreed to work up some reserve projects for both any underspend of the HCA funding and also to have projects 'oven ready' should further opportunities for grant funding come forward. These will continue to be progressed.

5.0 Next Steps

- 5.1 Spend against the HE grant will continue to be monitored. Progress on Quarter 1, Year 7 will be reported to the next JPAB meeting.

Contact Officer:

Karen Shaw
Partnerships and Local Plans Manager
Nottingham City Council
karen.shaw@nottinghamcity.gov.uk, 0115 876 3969

Appendix 1

Erewash:

- At its meeting of 3 June 2021, ESG approved the repurposing of £98,684 funding, initially secured for Stanton Regeneration site, for studies in relation to accelerating housing delivery on Land South West of Kirk Hallam. A report recommending this project was approved at its April 22 Executive meeting.
- The funding will support 3 studies in relation to the Kirk Hallam Relief Road
 - a. Hydraulic Modelling
 - b. Transport planning
 - c. Spine Road and Access Junction DesignThe council is awaiting invoices from the Developer.
- Remaining funding: £98,684. Anticipated full spend imminent.

Gedling:

- Remaining funding: £33,195. Full commitment of funds anticipated.

NCC:

- **Waterside:** The City Council has capital funding to demolish Innovation House in the Waterside and it is currently intending to use the remaining funding to undertake ecological reports etc to maximise the regeneration potential of the site prior to sale. The work is likely to be completed this calendar year.
- Remaining funding: £20,269 . Full commitment of funds anticipated.

Closed Projects: Homes England funded element of work complete:

- Ashfield: Harrier Park/Rolls Royce. Broomhill Farm – part of funding repurposed to procure Conurbation Planning Policy Manager post.
- Broxtowe: Walker Street
- Gedling: A60 corridor transport assessment, and repurposed funding for a temporary post to support the delivery of housing: Station Road and Burton Road.
- Nottingham City: Island, River Leen and Padstow sites. Remaining Island Site funding repurposed for Waterside site.
- Rushcliffe: SSDO to support delivery of housing at Former RAF Newton, North of Bingham, South of Clifton Strategic Allocation, East of Gamston.

ITEM 9 Waste and Minerals Local Plans Update

1.0 Summary

- 1.1 This report updates JPAB on progress with the Nottinghamshire/Nottingham and Derbyshire Waste and Minerals Local Plans.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Joint Planning Advisory Board **NOTE** the progress with the Nottinghamshire/Nottingham and Derbyshire Waste and Minerals Local Plans.

2.0 Plans Update

Nottinghamshire/Nottingham

- 2.1 The Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan covering the period to 2036 was adopted by the County Council at its meeting on 25 March 2021. The Plan will be subject to its first statutory review point in 2026.
- 2.2 The County and City Councils are preparing a single joint Waste Local Plan to replace the Waste Core Strategy adopted by both Councils in December 2013. An initial consultation on the new Local Plan, including a 'Call for Sites' was completed in May 2020. A Draft Waste Local Plan was prepared and consulted on between the 7th February and 4th April 2022.
- 2.3 Following an assessment of representations received on the Draft Waste Local Plan, the Plan has been updated . AECOM have been engaged to provide additional support to help respond to technical comments made in respect of the Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Waste Needs Assessment and also to update the Assessment by reference to the latest data on waste flows and also existing capacity.
- 2.4 A revised Waste Needs Assessment and Draft Plan was considered by Joint Waste Planning Members Steering Group on 28 March 2023. Members of both Councils requested re-consideration of projected commercial and industrial recycling rates which is being addressed by AECOM. The final Plan is expected to be presented to both Councils to seek formal approval to publish the Joint Plan in June and July 2023.

Derbyshire/Derby

- 2.5 Consultation on a range of minerals topic papers entitled 'Towards a Minerals Local Plan' – Proposed Approach was carried out in Spring 2018. Consultation on a Regulation 18 Joint Derbyshire and Derby Draft Minerals Local Plan was published on 2nd March 2022 and ran for a period of 8 weeks to 26th April 2022. A Pre-Submission Draft Regulation 19 Joint Derbyshire and Derby Local Plan was published for consultation on 7th March 2023 and ran for 8 weeks until 2nd May 2023, this included six public drop-in events across a range locations in the County. Responses to the consultation are currently being logged by officers at the County Council. Reports will be presented to the Councils' Joint Advisory Committee and each council's Cabinet and Full Council between June and August, providing details of the consultation responses and a recommended timescale for submission of the Plan to the Secretary of State, which is likely to be at the end of 2023. A Planning Performance Agreement has been agreed with the Planning Inspectorate and a number of potential Programme Officers have been contacted to seek their availability for the Local Plan Examination, which is likely to take place in the Spring of 2024. Work on updating the Joint Minerals and Waste Local Development Scheme is also in progress.
- 2.6 A series of background and evidence papers on local and strategic waste matters have been prepared. This includes an updated forecasting approach on waste capacity need across the plan period. It also provides a summary of the quantities of waste generated. The papers include a series of questions or gaps in knowledge/evidence which will be used as the basis for the consultation roll out. The consultation will be a hybrid between issues and preferred approach.
- 2.7 Subject to agreement by the Derby and Derbyshire Joint Advisory Committee for a timetable to progress the Plan, it is anticipated that consultation on the papers could take place later in 2023 and will also include running some drop in events around the County to give residents the opportunity to view and comment. This will then be used to draw up the draft plan for consultation.

Lead Officers:

Matt Gregory, Greater Nottingham Planning Manager
matt.gregory@nottinghamcity.gov.uk, 0115 876 3981

Stephen Pointer, Team Manager Planning Policy,
Nottinghamshire County Council
stephen.pointer@nottscc.gov.uk, 0115 993 9388

Steve Buffery, Team Leader Policy and Monitoring
Derbyshire County Council
Steven.Buffery@derbyshire.gov.uk, 01629 539808

**Item 10 GREATER NOTTINGHAM PLANNING PARTNERSHIP – BUDGET
2023/24**

1.0 SUMMARY

1.1 This report updates Joint Planning Advisory Board on the Partnership's revenue budget.

Recommendations

It is recommended that Joint Planning Advisory Board:

- (a) **NOTE** the budget position at the close of 2022/23; and
- (b) **APPROVE** the budget for 2023/24; and
- (c) **NOTE** the partner contributions to the work of JPAB during 2023/24.

2.0 Financial Position at close of 2022/23

2.1 Nottingham City Council is the accountable body for the Greater Nottingham Planning Partnership (for which JPAB provides the political governance). Accordingly, Nottingham City Council is responsible for managing the partnership's budget.

2.2 **Table 1** below shows the JPAB revenue budget position at the end of the financial year 2022/23. Those elements not spent during 2022/23 are available to roll forward to 2023/24.

2.3 The anticipated JPAB budget for 2022/23 is set out at **table 2** below.

Revenue Budget 2022/23 £229,274

Made up of:-

- Carry forward from 2021/22 of £158,474
- Partner contributions of £70,800

2.4 **Table 1: JPAB Revenue Budget at end of 2022/23**

Anticipated expenditure: Description	Amount	Status
Salaries/Partnership Support	£47,834	Paid
Salaries/Partnership Support	£14,424	Carry forward (for future support)*
NCC Ad hoc support (Workshop facilitation)	£2,000	Carry forward
BBC Secretariat	£2,000	Paid
Audit	£1,000	Carry Forward
Admin Travel	£1,000	Carry Forward
BBC Masterplanning	£5,700	Carry Forward
INOVEM consultation database	£7,020	Paid
Project Management/Planner support (PDF)	£43,806	Paid
Severence Risk Contingency	£10,000	Carry Forward
Total Paid	£100,660	
Total Carry Forward to 2022/23	£128,614	

* Instead of the normal salary support, NCC has decided to carry this funding forward to provide longer term Project Management/Planner support.

2.5 The remaining budget of £128,614 is carried forward to 2023/24.

3.0 Financial Position at beginning of 2023/24

3.1 The anticipated JPAB budget for 2023/24 is set out at table 2 below.

Revenue Budget 2023/24 £199,414

Made up of:-

- Carry forward from 2022/23 of £128,614
- Partner contributions of £70,800

3.2 Table 2: JPAB Revenue Budget for 2023/24

Anticipated expenditure: Description	Amount	Status
Salaries/Partnership Support	£62,258	Committed
NCC Ad hoc support	£2,000	Anticipated
BBC Secretariat	£2,000	Committed
Audit	£1,000	Anticipated
Admin Travel	£1,000	Anticipated
BBC Masterplanning	£5,700	Committed
INOVEM consultation database	£7,020	Committed
Project Management/Planner support	£55,500	Committed (over 2 years - year 1)
Project Management/Planner support	£50,222	Committed (over 2 years - year 2)
Severence Risk Contingency	£10,000	Contingency
Total Committed and Anticipated Expenditure	£196,700	
Unallocated Budget	£2,714	

3.3 The outstanding amount of £2,714 is available as a JPAB contribution to the work of preparing Greater Nottingham strategic planning policies, for instance a contribution to evidence studies. Costs for year 2 (2024/25) of the Project

Management/Planner support have been included in the budget for 2023/24 for prudent financial planning reasons. If partnership contributions are agreed to continue for 2024/25, the budget position at the end of the financial year will be reported re-allocating the year 2 expenditure to 2024/25.

Other Funding

- 3.4 Table 3 below shows other funding attributed to some partners as part of the Brownfield Register pilot scheme in 2016. This funding is available to those Councils as individual contributions to future JPAB work.

Table 3: Other Funding

Other partnership funding	Amount	Status
4 x Brownfield Land Registers (BBC, GBC, NCC, RBC)	£37,811	Ongoing

4.0 Partner Contributions

- 4.1 Following the agreement of the partner Councils in 2016 to contribute to the ongoing work of the partnership, each Council makes annual contributions to the work of JPAB, which currently are:

Partner	Proposed Contribution
Ashfield District Council	£4,800
Broxtowe Borough Council	£9,600
Derbyshire County Council	£0
Erewash Borough Council	£9,600
Gedling Borough Council	£9,600
Nottingham City Council	£18,000
Nottinghamshire County Council	£9,600
Rushcliffe Borough Council	£9,600
TOTAL	£70,800

- 4.2 The 2023/24 contributions are now due and will be requested in due course. If unspent, they are carried forward to the next financial year.

Contact officer:-

Matt Gregory, Greater Nottingham Planning Manager
matt.gregory@nottinghamcity.gov.uk, 0790 805 9515

ITEM 11 ANY OTHER BUSINESS PREVIOUSLY NOTIFIED TO THE CHAIR

ITEM 12 FUTURE MEETINGS

JPAB	Time and Venue
Tuesday 26 September, 2023	2.00 pm, MS Teams
Tuesday 12 December, 2023	2.00 pm, MS Teams