

GREATER NOTTINGHAM GROWTH OPTIONS STUDY

Broxtowe, Gedling and Rushcliffe Boroughs, Nottinghamshire

Additional Landscape Assessments

Report Reference: BG22.246

November 2022





Brindle & Green Environmental Consultants specialise in delivering high quality and affordable landscape design, planning and management services - tailored for their suitability for informing planning applications.

Brindle & Green's consultants have the necessary experience, technical ability, qualifications and accreditations to meet the high demands increasingly enforced by Local Authorities and other stakeholders.

At Brindle & Green, our reports are uniquely designed to provide the reader with the best possible understanding of our client's proposals and to ensure that the information requested by the Local Planning Authority is easily found and understood.

www.brindlegreen.co.uk



Head Office Brindle & Green Limited Unit 3 Silverhill Court Radbourne Derby DE6 4LY Tel: 0800 222 9105

London Office Brindle & Green Limited Nutter Lane Wanstead London E11 2HZ

Kent Office Brindle & Green Limited Sandy Lane Sevenoaks Kent TN13 3TP

Document control and liability

Report	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared by	Rosemary Walker CMLI	RL Walker	06/10/2022
1st check by	Henry Collyer-Knight	Aunghte	06/10/2022
2nd check by	Leonie Brown	LBrom	06/10/2022
Issued by (PDF)	Rosemary Walker CMLI	RL Walker	06/10/2022
REV 1	Rosemary Walker CMLI	RL Walker	26/10/2022
REV 2	Rosemary Walker CMLI	RL Walker	22/11/2022

Brindle & Green has prepared this report for the sole use of:

Broxtowe Borough Council / Gedling Borough Council / Rushcliffe Borough Council

The report is in accordance with the agreement under which our services were performed. No warranty, express or implied, is made as to the advice in this report or any other service provided by us. This report may not be relied upon by any other party except the person, company, agent or any third party for whom the report is intended without the prior written permission of Brindle & Green.

The content of this report is, at least in part, based upon information provided by others and on the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested. Information obtained from any third party has not been independently verified by Brindle & Green unless otherwise stated in the report.

COPYRIGHT

© This report is the copyright of Brindle & Green. Unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person is prohibited.



Project details



Project carried out by:

Brindle & Green

Unit 3, Silverhill Court

Radbourne

Derby.

DE6 4LY

Head Office: 01332 825771 Email: info@brindlegreen.co.uk Website: www.brindlegreen.co.uk



Project carried out for:

Broxtowe Borough Council

Foster Avenue

Beeston

Nottingham

NG9 1AB





Table of contents

03 - Document control and liability	26 -	R14.2PA - L
04 - Project details	27 -	R14.2PA - L
05 - Table of contents	28 -	R18.1PA - V
06 - Introduction	29 -	R18.1PA - V
08 - Methodology	30 -	References
09 - Findings		
10 - B04.2PA - North of Watnall		
11 - B04.2PA - North of Watnall		
12 - B06.2PA - Bennerley Disposal Point Land be-		
tween A610 and Gin Close Way		
13 - B06.2PA - Bennerley Disposal Point Land be-		
tween A610 and Gin Close Way		
14 - G11.1PA - Land to the east of Teal Close		
15 - G11.1PA - Land to the east of Teal Close		
16 - R03.2PA - Land south-west of Car Colston		
17 - R03.2PA - Land south-west of Car Colston		
18 - R10.3PA - Land at Wilford Road		
19 - R10.3PA - Land at Wilford Road		
20 - R11.2PA - East of Tollerton		
21 - R11.2PA - East of Tollerton		
22 - R12.2PA - East of Ruddington		
23 - R12.2PA - East of Ruddington		
24 - R14.1PA - East of Stanton-on-the-Wolds		
25 - R14.1PA - East of Stanton-on-the-Wolds		

Land at Owthorpe Lane, Kinoulton Land at Owthorpe Lane, Kinoulton West of Cropwell Bishop West of Cropwell Bishop

Introduction

Brindle and Green Ltd were Rushcliffe: 7 sites commissioned by Broxtowe Borough Council on behalf of councils within Greater Nottingham (Broxtowe Borough Council, Gedling Borough Council and Rushcliffe Borough Council) in order to undertake a strategic study of the landscape and visual implications of including various potential growth options within the overall strategic plan for urban growth outside of the Nottingham.

This study builds upon work previous undertaken by AECOM Ltd in 20201, considering an additional ten growth option sites sites on top of those assessed by AECOM. It will be used, in conjunction with other considerations such as environmental, economic, transport, and social factors, to identify land which is suitable for strategicscale growth.

The growth option sites ('the sites')

The ten sites assessed are spread across three boroughs in the Greater Nottingham area as follows:

Broxtowe: 2 sites

- B04.2PA North of Watnall
- B06.2PA Bennerley Disposal Point • Land between A610 and Gin Close Way

Gedling: 1 site

• G11.1PA - Land east of Teal Close

- R03.2PA Land south-west of Car • Colston
- R10.3PA Land at Wilford Road ٠
- R11.2PA East of Tollerton
- R12.2PA East of Ruddington
- main built-up areas within Greater R14.1PA East of Stanton-on-the-Wolds
 - R14.2PA Land at Owthorpe Lane, ٠ Kinoulton
 - R18.1PA West of Cropwell Bishop ٠

The location and extent of each site is shown on the map on Page 7 overleaf.







Site boundary



Methodology

The methodology used for this landscape and visual study of strategic growth options mirrors that used in the 2020 AECOM study². A combination of desk study and a site visit has been undertaken to establish the baseline conditions of the sites. The site visit was undertaken on the 29th August 2022 in fair weather conditions. Each site was viewed from several viewpoints either within the site or on its boundary.

Baseline

The baseline information has been collated on factors relating to landscape and visual matters. These are:

• Landscape character

The site's location in relation to the policy zones published in the Greater Nottingham Landscape Character Assessment³, as well as notes from the published LCA on landscape condition, landscape strength and landscape strategy

Topography and landuse

The topography within the site and its immediate context, as well as the landuse within the site

Elements of value

Elements of landscape value within and immediately adjacent to the site, in relation to Box 5.1 of the Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment 3rd edition (GLVIA3)⁴

• Views and enclosure

The availability of views into and across the site and enclsoure within it

Green infrastructure links

The green infrastructure network in and around the site and the potential for any proposed development to link into and expand on the network

- Sense of place
- Cultural and historic assocations either with the site or nearby settlements, which would form an opportunity to create a sense of place within a new development
- Defensible boundaries

Whether the current boundaries of the site are formed by clear delineations or are more fluid

Figures and mapping

Figures and mapping are used to accompany and supplement the baseline information for each site. These comprise:

- Photographs obtained during the site visit
- A context plan of the site showing its boundaries, public rights of way and aerial mapping
- Mapping of published landscape policy zones from the Greater Nottingham Landscape Character Assessment⁵
- A Zone of Theoretical Visibility model, demonstrating where 8m high (approximate height of a 2-storey building) development within the site boundary has the

potential to be visible from

Judgements

From the baseline information, judgements were then made on the suitability of the site in landscape and visual terms for strategic growth. Suitability has been judged on a three-point scale as follows:

- Green high potential in landscape and visual terms for strategic growth
- Amber medium potential in landscape and visual terms for strategic growth
- Red low potential in landscape and visual terms for strategic growth

Mitigation and opportunities

Following the judgement on the suitability of the site in landscape and visual terms for strategic growth, potential landscape mitigation and opportunities for enhancement and placemaking have been identified. These can be used to inform allocations so that the most sensitive parts of the site in landscape and visual terms are retained, as well as facilitating good design within any proposed development of the sites.





The findings of the study for each site are summarised in the table below. For the full details of each site, please see the following pages.

Site name	LPA	Page	Strategic growth potential in landscape and visual terms	Notes
G11.1PA - Land east of Teal Close	Gedling	14-15	High	The site feels like an extension to Nottingham, but the character in and immediately around the works and recent urban development and the only accesses to the site are from more urban are is the potential to connect into Netherfield Lagoons Local Nature Reserve (LNR). The River Tre boundary to the east, which could incorporate a landscape buffer / extension to the nature rese
R10.3PA - Land at Wilford Road	Rushcliffe	18-19	High	Spatially, the site forms an extension to Wilford, crossing the existing defensible boundary of th Wilford and Ruddington are already eroding the character of site and its context, the only access The site has no coalescence issues and feels proportionate.
R11.2PA - East of Tollerton	Rushcliffe	20-21	High	The site feels like a logical extension to the settlement of Tollerton, and retains the village's sou There is the potential for enhancement of watercourse and pond and incorporation into POS wi like a relatively natural extension to Tollerton and would keep the village above the A606. Retai
B04.2PA - North of Watnall	Broxtowe	10-11	Medium	The site feels visually prominent and there is a pleasant rural character in the west. The topogr development would be visible from the west, development to the east of the site would be easier linear settlement pattern of Watnall, the site does not feel like a logical addition to the settlement settlement in the east and north-east of the site.
B06.2PA - Bennerley Disposal Point Land between A610 and Gin Close Way	Broxtowe	12-13	Medium	This site sits between four settlements, with potential for merging should the full site be built ou development (likely to be employment) linked directly to the A610. However, the south is more presence and setting of the Grade II* listed viaduct and the high recreational value. This area we development linked to the heritage, building on the existing work around the Bennerley Viaduct
R03.2PA - Land south-west of Car Colston	Rushcliffe	16-17	Medium	The site feels very rural in the east particularly, which would struggle to accommodate develop suitable for development due to scattered built form on the western boundary and proximity to t like creating a new settlement. The vegetated boundary to south separates the site from Bingha east buffers from Car Colston.
R12.2PA - East of Ruddington	Rushcliffe	22-23	Medium	The overall site feels large in comparison to existing settlement, and would form a notable exte settlement. There is also potential for coalescence with Bradmore in the south. This site does n 'medium potential' sites, the key issue for this site is its scale in comparison to nearby existing a
R14.1PA - East of Stanton-on- the-Wolds	Rushcliffe	24-25	Medium	Scale is an issue here given the rural context and lack of comparably-sized settlements locally. cumulative sprawl / expanse along the A46. The site would form new settlement, but caution is compared to locations further west. The A46 forms a good defensible boundary so the eastern The southern end of the site feels more visually contained and would likely be better for develo
R14.2PA - Land at Owthorpe Lane, Kinoulton	Rushcliffe	26-27	Medium	Whilst the site is relatively large in scale compared to the existing village, it still feels that the so full site would, however, alter the form of the village from east-west and north-south linear village to more of a block within the two axis.
R18.1PA - West of Cropwell Bishop	Rushcliffe	28-29	Medium	This site has a good shape in addition to the existing village, but forms a large scale addition in and other villages in the area – there are few settlements of the proposed size in the local contents of the proposed size in the local contents.

ne site is already affected by the sewage areas (Netherfield and Burton Joyce). There rent potentially forms a better defensible serve.

the A52. Adjacent urban influences such as esses to the site are from these urban areas.

outhern defensible boundary of the A606. within the site. The developed site would feel ain the hedgerows and riparian vegetation.

graphy of the site would mean that sier to accommodate. Due to the existing ent, it would be better to form a new

but. The north of the site could accommodate e sensitive to development due to the would be better used for more limited ct.

pment. The west of the site feels more the busy A46 - building this out would feel ham, and the strong vegetation line in north-

tension to the south-east of the existing not feel as sensitive as some of the other g settlement.

ly. In addition, there is the risk of perceived is advised as the area feels rural, particularly n section of the site feels at odds with this. lopment.

scale is 'comfortable'. Development of the age along Main Street and Owthorpe Lane

in comparison to both the existing village ntext.



Nottinghamshire landscape character policy	ML16 - Nuthall Lowland Wooded Farmland (moderate condition, moderate strength, enhance landscape strategy)	Defensible boundaries:	The site has a fairly strong defensible boundaries and lanes. Gilt Brook forms strongest boundary is the M1 to the east.
zone:	NC04 - Moorgreen Rolling Woodland (moderate condition, strong strength, conserve and enhance landscape strategy)		
Topography and landuse:	For the most part, the site is relatively flat, it slopes gently to the west towards the Gilt Brook which is adjacent to part of the western boundary. Sledder Wood in the west of the site is in a localised dip in landform. The site is currently used as arable farmland with a mix of large and medium fields. There are some scattered isolated dwellings within the site. The M1 is to the east, parallel to the site's eastern boundary.		
Elements of value:	There is limited recreational value from one public right of way (PRoW) within the site, although Narrow Lane is used for recreational purposes. The site is representative of the published key characteristics. Whilst it felt relatively rural with elements of tranquility, tranqulity was limited due to noise from the M1. There are managed hedgerows with a few gaps. Sledder Wood within the site is a SSSI and a scheduled monument is located a short distance from the western boundary.	Suitability for development in landscape and visual terms:	There would be medium to low potential feels visually prominent and there is a ple topography of the site would mean that of west, development to the east of the site w the existing linear settlement pattern of Wa addition to the settlement, it would be bett and north-east of the site.
Views and enclosure:	The site offers long distance views to Newthorpe, Eastwood and back to Watnall. The topography slopes down around Sledder Wood and therefore there are views over the woodland too. The hedgerow field boundaries are managed so the site feels relatively open, although where woodland or hedgerow trees are apparent, they offer some sense of enclosure.	Mitigation:	Development within the west of the site wo the sloping topography of the site and ava is also recommended to the south of the s development from Watnall and the B6009 the M1.
Green infrastructure links:	There are Green Infrastructure (GI) links along hedgerows. These links are limited in the east of the site, but in the west the hedgerows are stonger and connect into Sledder Wood. There is also riparian vegetation along Gilt Brook.	Opportunities:	There are opportunities to maximise the re example, the addition of more PRoW within

Sense of place: The nearby village of Watnall has associations with the former Watnall Hall⁶ (now demolished) and with the former RAF Watnall⁷, which is now used as a vehicle testing centre and Met Office weather station.

le boundary comprising hedgerow field s a clear boundary in the west, but the

ial for strategic growth within the site. It pleasant rural character in the west. The t development would be visible from the would be easier to accommodate. Due to Vatnall, the site does not feel like a logical etter to form a new settlement in the east

would be would be hard to mitigate due to availability of views to the west. Mitigation e site to buffer and separate any proposed 09 that connects Watnall to Hucknall over

e recreational value of the site through, for thin it.

















Sense of place: Associations with industry including coal mining, the former iron works and the Nottingham Canal¹⁰. Bennerley Viaduct is one of the few remaining industrial features and is a key industrial heritage feature locally¹¹.

B06.2PA - Bennerley Disposal Point Land between A610 and **Gin Close Way**

> Viewpoint at W3W: pulsing.tube.skyrocket in.







0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 km



	Site boundary
Pub	lic Rights of Way
	Byway Open to All Traffic
	Bridleway
	Footpath

0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 km

Site boundary
Woodland
Public Rights of Way
► → Byway Open to All Traffic
Bridleway
Footpath
HI→ Restricted Byway
Zone of Theoretical Visibility (modelled at 8m height)
Theoretically visible

Not theoretically visible



G11.1PA - Land to the east of Teal Close



Nottinghamshire landscape character policy zone:	TW05 Stoke Bardolph Village Farmlands (poor condition, low sensitivity and create landscape strategy)	Defensible boundaries:	Within the site there are fairly strong def hedgerows and ditches, and Stoke Lane.
Topography and landuse:	The site has almost flat topography due to its proximity to the River Trent floodplain. It is currently used as arable farmland, in large fields separated by hedgerows. There is some scattered settlement nearby, but the site feels largely rural, with some urban influences such as the nearby sewage works.		
Elements of value:	Within the site, there is some recreational value from the PRoW. It is representative of the published key characteristics and felt relatively tranquil and quiet. Hedgerows within the site are unmanaged and slightly gappy and adjacent urban influences reduce scenic quality. The site is part of the Greenwood Community Forest, and sits adjacent to the Netherfield Lagoons LNR.	Suitability for development in landscape and visual terms:	The site has high potential for strategic Nottingham, but the character in and immed by the sewage works and recent urban of the site are from more urban areas (Neth potential to connect into Netherfield Lago forms a better defensible boundary to the ea buffer / extension to the nature reserve.
Views and enclosure:	Unmanaged hedgerows provided some sense of enclosure within the site, as well as tall crops in fields (albeit the latter is temporary). Google StreetView ¹² shows open views in winter to surrounding urban areas. The site is semi-enclosed.	Mitigation:	Key mitigation for development within the s vegetated northern and north-eastern bound edge of Stoke Bardolph and to reduce risk and Netherfield.
Green infrastructure links:	There are some GI links along hedgerows and connections to some woodland blocks associated with infrastructure (the nearby substation, pylons and sewage works). The site however feels separate from the River Trent and its riparian GI.	Opportunities:	There are opportunities for more PRoW Netherfield Lagoons Nature Reserve, the Victoria Retail Park.
Sense of place:	Stoke Bardolph is small riverside village, with a sense of remoteness.		

place: Stoke Bardolph is small riverside village, with a sense of remoteness. Its origins are within medieval times¹³ and there are some historic elements within the village¹⁴. The River Trent adds to the sense of place. Developing the site would change the small, remote village feel, but this is already affected by the adjacent sewage works. efensible boundaries formed by existing

ic growth. It feels like an extension to ediately around the site is already affected a development and the only accesses to therfield and Burton Joyce). There is the agoons LNR. The River Trent potentially east, which could incorporate a landscape

e site would be to create / retain a strongly indary to keep the rural feel to the southern sk of coalesence between Stoke Bardolph

N provision, including connecting to the the River Trent and potentially also the

G11.1PA - Land to the east of Teal Close

Viewpoint at W3W: prompting.trips.inches



Site boundary Nottinghamshire landscape character **SN04 SN05** 77 TW02 777 TW03 77 TW04 // TW05 🚧 TW054 777 TW51

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 km





Site boundary
Woodland
Public Rights of Way
H→ Byway Open to All Traffic
Bridleway
Footpath
HIN Restricted Byway
Zone of Theoretical Visibility (modelled at 8m height)



earthworks.

R03.2PA - Land south-west of Car Colston

Viewpoint at W3W: gushes.showcases.amicably



Site boundary Nottinghamshire landscape character **SN04 SN05 SN06**

a the second

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 km













Site boundary Nottinghamshire landscape character SN03



0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 km





Sense of place: Tollerton Hall to the north of the site used to be associated with a large area of parkland¹⁹²⁰, but this has been much reduced and the village does not have any other particular associations / sense of place.





Site boundary Nottinghamshire landscape character 📈 NW04 **SN03** Z SN04 /// TW01





Site boundary Public Rights of Way -- Bridleway ---- Footpath

0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 km

Site boundary
Woodland
Public Rights of Way
H→ Byway Open to All Traffic
Bridleway
Footpath
Here Restricted Byway
Zone of Theoretical Visibility (modelled at 8m height)

Theoretically visible

Not theoretically visible











Site boundary Nottinghamshire landscape character 🕢 NW03 **SN02 SN03 SN04 TW01**

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 km







There are some links between the nearby Stanton-on-the-Wolds²⁵ Sense of place: and Widmerpool²⁶ with Roman history due to the nearby course of the Fosse Way Roman road, however there is little other of note in terms of sense of place.





Viewpoint at W3W: fractions.spice.interview



Site boundary Nottinghamshire landscape character // NW03

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 km





Mitigation:

	some recreational value.
Views and enclosure:	This site is relatively visually contained; its boundaries are formed by relatively dense hedgerows and there are few views into it. Within the site itself, the dense hedgerows on field boundaries restrict views between different fields in the site.
Green infrastructure links:	The site has strong hedgerow boundaries, which are typically interlinked. The watercourse through the north of the site and associated riparian vegetation also links in to the wider GI network.

Opportunities: An opportunity is available to use the existing PRoW in the site for the siting of the public open space, creating a central and well connected recreational resource.

There is a line of poplars along Vimy Ridge, which is the northern Sense of place: boundary of the site. Poplars were originally planted along this route to commemorate soldiers who died in WWI, although these were felled for safety reasons in 1998 and subsequently replaced with saplings²⁸.

Retention of the allotments in the north is recommended, with development limited to the southern half of the site to accord with the linear form of the village.

R14.2PA - Land at Owthorpe Lane, Kinoulton





Site boundary Nottinghamshire landscape character 🕢 NW03

0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 km







Site boundary Public Rights of Way -- Bridleway ---- Footpath

0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 km

Site boundary
Woodland
Public Rights of Way
HI Byway Open to All Traffic
Bridleway
Footpath
Here Restricted Byway
Zone of Theoretical Visibility (modelled at 8m height)
Theoretically visible

Theoretically visible

Not theoretically visible



R18.1PA - West of Cropwell Bishop



Nottinghamshire landscape character policy zone: SN06 Aslockton Village Farmlands (moderate condition, strong strength, conserve and enhance landscape strategy)

Defensible boundaries:

Defensible boundaries are formed at the west, south and east of the site by the A46, Nottingham Road and the western urban edge of Cropwell Bishop. The northern boundary is formed by multiple field boundaries, but is slightly weaker than the other site boundaries.

Topography and landuse:	The site comprises localised undulations within it, with a gently sloping landform from the high point of Hoe Hill in the woodland down towards the east, south and west. The land use is primarily arable farmland, taking place in large regular or semi-regular fields; there is a large farm complex in the far south-west. Features such as the Grantham Canal and the woodland block in the north locally affect the regularity of the field pattern. In the far south-east of the site, there are two smaller fields. The fields within the site are separated by hedgerows.		
Elements of value:	The site is typically in good condition, although there has been notable field boundary loss ²⁹ . The A46 influences the west of the site and reduces any sense of tranquillity. A listed building is in the far north- east of the site, with several others nearby in Cropwell Bishop. The Grantham Canal is a recreational feature with some scenic quality; scenic quality across the site is limited by adjacent urban areas and the A46. The PRoW which cross the site and the open space adjacent to the south-eastern boundary give recreational value.	Suitability for development in landscape and visual terms:	This site has a medium potential for strategi to the existing village, but forms a large s existing village and other villages in the a proposed size in the local context.
Views and enclosure:	The views across the site from the west are relatively open due to a combination of the gently undulating topography and low hedgerows. To the east, these views are more restricted by taller, dense hedgerows, the presence of the woodland on Hoe Hill and the adjacent urban edge of Cropwell Bishop.	Mitigation:	It would be recommended to concentrate east of the site to reduce its overall scale However, the proposed western boundary v such as the Grantham Canal or the woodla
Green infrastructure links:	There are links to the wider GI network along the Grantham Canal and the vegetation adjacent to the A46 in the west of the site. The woodland on Hoe Hill forms a key GI feature.	Opportunities:	There are opportunities to maximise the re to existing recreational features such as th in the far south-east. There are also oppor into the wider GI network.

Sense of place: Cropwell Bishop is synonymous with cheese-making, mainly Stilton³⁰. There are few other associations which give a sense of place.

egic growth. It has a good shape in addition e scale addition in comparison to both the e area – there are few settlements of the

te the proposed development towards the le and knit in with the existing settlement. y would need a strong defensible boundary dland on Hoe Hill.

e recreational value of the site by linking in the Grantham Canal and the open space portunities to link the woodland on Hoe Hill

R18.1PA - West of **Cropwell Bishop**





Site boundary Nottinghamshire landscape character 🔀 NW04 **SN04 SN05 SN06**

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 km





References

Endnotes

https://www.gnplan.org.uk/media/3332953/growth-1 options-study.pdf

https://www.gnplan.org.uk/media/3332953/growth-2 options-study.pdf

3 (Broxtowe) https://www.broxtowe.gov.uk/media/7088 part-2-local-plan-adopted-october-2019.pdf / (Gedling) https://www.gedling.gov.uk/lpdexamination/media/ documents/planningbuildingcontrol/localplanningdocument/ EX-25%20Extract%20of%20Greater%20Nottingham%20 LCA%20for%20GBC%20(Dec%202016).pdf / (Rushcliffe) https://www.rushcliffe.gov.uk/planningpolicy/ localplan/localplanpart2landandplanningpolicies/ localplan2submission/#d.en.48515

4 3rd Edition Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment by Landscape Institute, I.E.M.A.

5 (Broxtowe) https://www.broxtowe.gov.uk/media/7088/ part-2-local-plan-adopted-october-2019.pdf / (Gedling) https://www.gedling.gov.uk/lpdexamination/media/ documents/planningbuildingcontrol/localplanningdocument/ EX-25%20Extract%20of%20Greater%20Nottingham%20 LCA%20for%20GBC%20(Dec%202016).pdf / (Rushcliffe) https://www.rushcliffe.gov.uk/planningpolicy/ localplan/localplanpart2landandplanningpolicies/ localplan2submission/#d.en.48515

6 http://www.nottshistory.org.uk/Jacks1881/watnall.htm

7 https://www.forces-war-records.co.uk/units/719/rafwatnall

8 https://www.bennerleyviaduct.org.uk/?page id=1714

9 https://maps.nls.uk/view/115391894

https://maps.nls.uk/view/189227748 10

11 https://www.bennerleyviaduct.org.uk/

https://www.google.com/maps/@52.9666731,-12 1.0480698,3a,75y,208.12h,94.63t/

		!3m7!1e1!3m5!1svxBAfH1tob82-RaqelBUkw!2e0!5s2 301T000000!7i13312!8i6656	28 village	https://sit e/home/ab
	13	https://gedlingheritage.co.uk/villages/stoke-bardolph/	29	https://m
8/ t/	14 https://historicengland.org.uk/ listing/the-list/results/?searchType=NHLE+ Simple&search=stoke+bardolph / https://www.gedling.gov. uk/media/gedlingboroughcouncil/documents/planningpolicy/ heritage/Local%20List%20of%20Non%20Designated%20 Heritage%20Assets%20March%202022.pdf			https://cr sources //www.bro
	15	https://maps.nls.uk/view/115392164	adopted-octobe	
	16 conse	https://www.rushcliffe.gov.uk/conservation/ ervationareasinrushcliffe/carcolston/	-	//www.rus ingpolicyp
	17 conse	https://www.rushcliffe.gov.uk/conservation/ ervationareasinrushcliffe/ruddington/	https://www.rus localplan/localp localplan2subm	
8/ t/	18	https://maps.nls.uk/view/115392770		//www.geo
	19 http://www.nottsheritagegateway.org.uk/places/ tollerton.htm#:~:text=Tollerton%20was%20described%20 in%20the,of%20which%20is%20arable%20farmland		planningandbui naturalenvironn https://magic.de	
	20 tollert	http://www.nottsheritagegateway.org.uk/places/ on/tollertonstructural.htm	https://explore.	
	21	https://maps.nls.uk/view/115392797		
m	22 conse	https://www.rushcliffe.gov.uk/conservation/ ervationareasinrushcliffe/ruddington/		
	23	http://www.gcrn.co.uk/		
4	24	https://maps.nls.uk/view/115392947		
	25 histor	https://www.stantononthewoldsparishcouncil.org.uk/ y		
	26 widme	https://southwellchurches.nottingham.ac.uk/ erpool/hhistory.php		

https://maps.nls.uk/view/115392956 27

sites.google.com/a/kinoulton.com/kinoultonabout-kinoulton

maps.nls.uk/view/115392605

cropwellbishopstilton.co.uk/

S

oxtowe.gov.uk/media/7088/part-2-local-planper-2019.pdf

shcliffe.gov.uk/media/corestrategy/ page/WTRweb2 Part5.pdf

shcliffe.gov.uk/planningpolicy/ planpart2landandplanningpolicies/ mission/#d.en.52625

dling.gov.uk/resident/ uildingcontrol/planningpolicy/ ment/landscapecharacter/

lefra.gov.uk/

.osmaps.com/

