Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan



Publication Draft:
Sustainability Appraisal
Appendix D: Appraisal of
Objectives and Policies

September 2024











Contents

| NTRODUCTION | 3 |
|--|------|
| APPRAISAL OF OBJECTIVES | 3 |
| APPRAISAL OF POLICIES | . 17 |
| POLICY 1: CLIMATE CHANGE, SUSTAINABLE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, | 40 |
| ENERGY AND MANAGING FLOOD RISK | |
| POLICY 2: SPATIAL STRATEGY | . 32 |
| POLICY 3: HOUSING REQUIREMENTS, DISTRIBUTION AND STRATEGIC SITES | 52 |
| DELIVERING THE IDENTIFIED HOUSING TARGETS | |
| REDISTRIBUTION OF NOTTINGHAM CITY'S UNMET NEED | |
| HOUSING NEED AND TARGETS | |
| POLICY 4: THE GREEN BELT | |
| POLICY 5: EMPLOYMENT PROVISION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT | |
| DELIVERING THE IDENTIFIED EMPLOYMENT TARGET | 113 |
| OFFICE DEVELOPMENT | 123 |
| DISTRIBUTION AND LOGISTICS | 145 |
| POLICY 6: NOTTINGHAM CITY CENTRE | 158 |
| POLICY 7: ROLE OF TOWN AND DISTRICT CENTRES | 169 |
| POLICY 8: HOUSING SIZE, MIX AND CHOICE | 178 |
| POLICY 9: GYPSIES, TRAVELLERS AND TRAVELLING SHOWPEOPLE 2 | 206 |
| POLICY 10: DESIGN AND ENHANCING LOCAL IDENTITY | 223 |
| POLICY 11: THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT | 237 |
| POLICY 12: LOCAL SERVICES AND HEALTHY LIFESTYLES2 | 247 |
| POLICY 13: CULTURE, TOURISM AND SPORT2 | 258 |
| POLICY 14: MANAGING TRAVEL DEMAND2 | 270 |
| POLICY 15: TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITIES2 | 280 |
| POLICY 16: BLUE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE AND LANDSCAPE 2 | 289 |
| BLUE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE | 290 |
| LANDSCAPE | 299 |
| POLICY 17: BIODIVERSITY | 309 |
| POLICY 18: INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS | |
| FINAL POLICIES | 341 |
| POLICY 1: CLIMATE CHANGE | 343 |

| POLICY 2: THE SPATIAL STRATEGY | 352 |
|--|-----|
| POLICY 3: HOUSING TARGET | 361 |
| POLICY 4: THE GREEN BELT | 370 |
| POLICY 5: EMPLOYMENT PROVISION | 378 |
| POLICY 6: NOTTINGHAM CITY CENTRE | 387 |
| POLICY 7: ROLE OF TOWN AND LOCAL CENTRES | 394 |
| POLICY 8: HOUSING SIZE, MIX AND CHOICE | 400 |
| POLICY 9: GYPSIES, TRAVELLERS AND TRAVELLING SHOWPEOPLE | 411 |
| POLICY 10: DESIGN AND ENHANCING LOCAL IDENTITY | 420 |
| POLICY 11: THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT | 429 |
| POLICY 12: LOCAL SERVICES AND HEALTHY LIFESTYLES | 438 |
| POLICY 13: CULTURE, TOURISM AND SPORT | 446 |
| POLICY 14: MANAGING TRAVEL DEMAND | 457 |
| POLICY 15: TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITIES | 467 |
| POLICY 16: BLUE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE, PARKS AND OPEN | |
| SPACE | 476 |
| POLICY 17: BIODIVERSITY | 484 |
| POLICY 18: DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE | 493 |

INTRODUCTION

This appendix contains the assessment of:

- Plan Objectives;
- Policy Options and Reasonable Alternatives;
- Final Strategic Plan Policies (for Regulation 19 Publication Draft).

APPRAISAL OF OBJECTIVES

The objectives contained within the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are listed below.

Spatial Objectives

The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan contains the following strategic objectives:

- 1. Environmentally responsible development addressing climate change: to reduce the causes of climate change and to minimise its impacts, by locating development where it can be accessed by sustainable transport; requiring environmentally sensitive design and construction; reducing the risk of flooding; conserving and improving water quality; contributing to carbon neutrality; and addressing air, noise and other types of pollution.
- 2. **High quality new housing:** to manage an increase in the supply of housing and ensure the targets of the Plan are met and delivered in sustainable locations that maximise brownfield opportunities, deliver regeneration aims, and create and support mixed and balanced communities. In doing so, there will be a rebalancing of the housing mix to maximise choice and support people into home ownership, providing affordable, family, and self and custom build housing, and housing opportunities for older people, people with disabilities and Gypsies and Travellers.
- 3. **Economic prosperity for all:** to ensure economic growth is equitable and includes the knowledge-based economy. The City Centre will be enhanced by providing for new office, commercial, residential and leisure uses. In addition, opportunities will be maximised at the Boots Campus, Beeston Business Park, Nottingham Science Park, Bennerley Logistics Site and the Development Corporation sites at Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station and Toton together with other employment sites. Create the conditions for all people to participate in the economy, by providing local employment opportunities, encouraging rural enterprise, improving access to training opportunities, and supporting educational developments, including the expansion of the Universities and other higher education establishments. Where appropriate further development of tourism facilities will be supported.
- 4. **Flourishing and vibrant centres:** to create the conditions for the protection and enhancement of a balanced hierarchy and network of City, town and other centres. Responding to the changes in retail and leisure industries, including the

growth of internet shopping by increasing leisure, residential, tourism, cultural and local services at a scale appropriate to the centre's position in the hierarchy in addition to accessibility improvements, environmental improvements, and town centre regeneration measures.

- 5. **Regeneration:** to maximise brownfield regeneration opportunities, to encourage the recycling of derelict land and ensure that regeneration supports and enhances opportunities for local communities and residents, leading to all neighbourhoods being neighbourhoods of choice, where people want to live.
- 6. **Protecting and enhancing the area's individual and historic character and local distinctiveness:** to achieve sustainable well-designed development by promoting high quality locally distinct buildings and places that respect local character. To preserve and enhance the distinctive natural and built heritage, by protecting and enhancing the historic environment, including nationally recognised heritage assets, and by valuing the countryside for its productive qualities and ensuring its landscape character is maintained and enhanced.
- 7. Achieving well-designed places in Greater Nottingham: to create a strong sense of place with its own identity. Protecting and enhancing townscape and landscape character by responding to and reinforcing locally distinctive patterns of development and design. Ensure places are sustainable, functional, inclusive and are easy to get to, to navigate around and well-integrated with the existing community. Engage with the community, using appropriate planning tools such as design codes.
- 8. **Strong, safe, healthy and cohesive communities:** to plan positively for the provision and use of shared spaces and to design out crime, promote social interaction and create the conditions for communities to become strong, safe, healthy and cohesive. To address environmental factors underpinning health and wellbeing and promote social interaction and inclusivity by design. Work with healthcare partners to deliver new and improved health and social care facilities. Integrating health and service provision, and improving access to cultural, sport and leisure and lifelong learning activities.
- 9. **Opportunities for all:** to give all children and young people the best possible start in life by providing the highest quality educational, community, cultural, leisure and sport facilities, for instance through improving existing or providing new schools, further education establishments and Universities. Meet the needs of older and disabled people, especially through providing appropriate housing and employment opportunities and prevent the unnecessary loss of valued services and facilities.
- 10. **Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel**: to ensure access to jobs, leisure and services is improved in a sustainable and equitable way, addressing air and noise pollution, reducing the need to travel by private car, by encouraging convenient and reliable transport systems, particularly those focused on walking, cycling and public transport, by maximising opportunities for mixed use development. To support growth by expanded use of

transport data systems aimed at reducing congestion and encouraging the electrification of vehicles and improving air quality.

- 11. **Protecting and improving natural assets:** to improve and provide new Blue and Green Infrastructure, including open spaces, by enhancing and developing the network of multi-functional green spaces for the benefit of people and wildlife. To improve their connectivity, accessibility and environmental quality, increasing ecosystem services, biodiversity and contributions to the Nature Recovery Network. Protecting and enhancing nature conservation sites and priority habitats, and their connectivity within the ecological network.
- 12. **Timely and viable infrastructure:** to make the best use of existing infrastructure and provide new and improved infrastructure which supports sustainable housing and economic growth. This will be achieved through ongoing engagement with infrastructure providers. A possible new station at Toton will become a part of a key transport interchange and focus for related growth. The expansion of the tram network will be explored, including potential new routes. Opportunities provided by existing transport infrastructure will be maximised and additional strategic transport improvements including capacity improvements to strategic highway junctions will be completed.

Outcome of the SA assessment

The SA assessment of the GNSP objectives have been reviewed as they have been developed. These reviews have led to amendments to the plan's objectives to ensure they are compatible with the SA objectives. The outcome of the SA assessment of the GNSP objectives compatibility with the SA objectives are shown below.

Compatible key

| ++ | Strongly compatible This means that the SA objective and the Greater Nottingham Strategy Plan objective are strongly compatible. |
|----|---|
| + | Compatible This means that the SA objective and the Greater Nottingham Strategy Plan objective are compatible. |
| ? | Uncertain This means that it is not known (or uncertain) on the relationship between the SA objective and Greater Nottingham Strategy Plan objective. |
| 0 | No relationship This means that there is no relationship (or no impact) between the SA objective and Greater Nottingham Strategy Plan objective. |
| - | Incompatible This means that the SA objective and the Greater Nottingham Strategy Plan objective are not compatible. |

Compatibility table

| | Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan objectives | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| SA objectives | 1. Climate change | 2. High quality new housing | 3. Economic prosperity for all | 4. Town centres | 5. Regeneration | 6. Local distinctiveness | 7. Well-designed places | 8. Communities | 9. Opportunities for all | 10. Sustainable transport systems | 11. Natural assets | 12. Infrastructure |
| 1. Housing | + | ++ | + | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| 2. Employment and Jobs | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | 0 | + | ++ | ++ | 0 | ++ |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation | + | + | ++ | + | + | + | ? | + | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| 4. Shopping Centres | + | + | + | ++ | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + |
| 5. Health and Well Being | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + |
| 6. Community Safety | 0 | + | 0 | ++ | ++ | 0 | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | + |
| 7. Social Inclusion | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + |
| 8. Transport | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| 9. Brownfield Land | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | ++ | + | 0 | ++ | ++ | ? |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality | ++ | ? | ? | + | ? | 0 | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ? |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality | ++ | ? | ? | 0 | ? | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | ++ | ++ |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure | ++ | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ++ | 0 |
| 14. Landscape | + | ? | ? | + | + | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | + | ++ | ? |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment | + | + | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | + |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management | ++ | + | + | + | ++ | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | ++ | ++ | 0 |

Compatibility commentary table

| SA objective | Assessment findings |
|--|---|
| 1. Housing | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham |
| To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA's objectives: ii. High quality new housing; vii. Achieving well-designed places; viii. Strong, safe healthy and cohesive communities; x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel; and xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans for housing growth to ensure that housing needs are met, including provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. The Plan aims to achieve well-designed places which include consideration on how new housing is planned. There is a connection between well-designed places and strong, safe, healthy and cohesive communities. The Plan promotes sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel which means that housing growth will be in sustainable and accessible locations. The Plan seeks to make best use |
| | of existing and provide new infrastructure to support |
| | housing growth. |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA's objective: - ii. High quality new housing; - iii. Economic prosperity for all; - iv. Flourishing and vibrant town centres; - ix. Opportunities for all; - x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel; and - xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. |
| | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans for economic growth and job opportunities in construction sector including house building industry as well as business parks, employment areas and city, town and local centres. The Plan promotes sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel which means that most job opportunities will be in sustainable and accessible locations such as town centres and transport hubs. However, it is noted some employment uses such as warehouse type uses tend to be in less sustainable locations and some employment uses will be incompatible with existing uses at sustainable locations. The Plan seeks to make best use of existing |

| SA objective | Assessment findings |
|---|---|
| OA ODJOGUVE | and provide new infrastructure to support economic |
| | growth. |
| | The following objectives have been assessed to have no relationship with this SA objective: - vi. Protecting and enhancing the area's individual and historic character and local distinctiveness; - vii. Achieving well-designed places; and - xi. Protecting and improving natural assets. |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a high quality modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA objectives: iii. Economic prosperity for all; x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel; and xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans for economic growth including high quality modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. The Plan promotes sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel which means that economic growth will be in sustainable locations. The Plan seeks to make best use of existing and provide new infrastructure to support |
| | There is one objective where the impact is not known: |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | vii. Achieving well-designed places. The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA objectives: iv. Flourishing and vibrant town centres; vii. Achieving well-designed places; viii. Strong, safe healthy and cohesive communities; ix. Opportunities for all; and x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel. |
| | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans for protection and enhancement of shopping centres supported by sustainable transport systems. The vitality and viability of shopping centres can survive if centres are well-designed. There is a connection between well-designed places and strong, safe, healthy and cohesive communities. The design of public realm including the safety of shopping centres attract shoppers and businesses. The Plan also plans for opportunities for all to provide the highest quality |

| SA objective | Assessment findings |
|---|--|
| | inclusive educational, community, cultural, leisure and sport facilities and to prevent the unnecessary loss of valued services and facilities. The shopping centres are where those services and facilities tend to be. |
| 5. Health and Well-Being To improve health and well-being and reduce health inequalities. | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan strongly support this SA objective: ii. High quality new housing; iii. Economic prosperity for all; iv. Flourishing and vibrant town centres; vii. Achieving well-designed places; viii. Strong, safe healthy and cohesive communities; ix. Opportunities for all; x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel; and xi. Protecting and improving natural assets. The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to improve health and well-being. The provision of good quality housing is of critical importance for people's health and well-being. The Plan also plans for opportunities for all to provide the highest quality inclusive educational, community, cultural, leisure and sport facilities and to prevent the unnecessary loss of valued services and facilities. The shopping centres are where those health services and facilities tend to be. The Plan seeks well-designed places to be sustainable, functional, inclusive and are easy to get to, to navigate around and well-integrated with the existing community. The Plan seeks to plan positively for the provision and use of shared spaces and promote social interaction and inclusivity by design. The Plan seeks to ensure access to jobs, leisure and services is improved in a sustainable way by encouraging sustainable transport systems, particularly walking, cycling and public transport. The Plan also plans to improve and provide new network of multi-functional green spaces, woodlands, rivers, canals and water bodies for the |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community | benefit of people. The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA |
| safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | objectives: iv. Flourishing and vibrant town centres; v. Regeneration; vii. Well-designed places; viii. Strong, safe healthy and cohesive communities; and x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel. |

| SA objective | Assessment findings |
|--|--|
| SA objective | Assessment findings |
| | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to improve community safety. The Plan encourages the re-use of brownfield land by bringing back derelict land back into use and town centre regeneration measures. The Plan seeks well-designed places to be sustainable, functional, inclusive and are easy to get to, to navigate around and well-integrated with the existing community. The Plan promotes social interaction and inclusivity using share spaces and good design including designing out crime. Natural surveillance is key to community safety. The Plan encourages sustainable transport systems, particularly walking, cycling and public transport through implementing behavioural change measures. |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | The following objectives have been assessed to have no relationship with this SA objective: - i. Environmentally responsible development addressing climate change; - iii. Economic prosperity for all; and - vi. Protecting and enhancing the area's individual and historic character and local distinctiveness. |
| | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan strongly compatible with these SA objectives: - ii. High quality new housing; - iii. Economic prosperity for all; - iv. Flourishing and vibrant town centres; - vii. Achieving well-designed places; - viii. Strong, safe healthy and cohesive communities; - ix. Opportunities for all; and - x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel. |
| | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion. The Plan plans for housing growth to ensure that needs are met and to support mixed and balanced communities. The Plan also seeks people to participate in the economy by providing new and protecting existing local employment opportunities, encouraging rural enterprise, improving access to training opportunities and supporting educational developments at all levels. The Plan also plans to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. The Plan seeks well-designed places to be sustainable, functional, inclusive and are easy to get to, to navigate around and well-integrated with the existing community. The Plan seeks to plan positively for the |

| SA objective | Assessment findings |
|--|---|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of | provision and use of shared spaces and promote social interaction and inclusivity by design. The Plan also plans for opportunities for all to provide the highest quality inclusive educational, community, cultural, leisure and sport facilities and to prevent the unnecessary loss of valued services and facilities. The Plan seeks to ensure access to jobs, leisure and services is improved in a sustainable way by encouraging sustainable transport systems, particularly walking, cycling and public transport. The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA |
| the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | objectives: - i. Environmentally responsible development addressing climate change; - ii. High quality new housing; - vii. Achieving well-designed places; - viii. Strong, safe healthy and cohesive communities; - x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel; and - xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. |
| | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure and to improve travel choice and accessibility to jobs and services as well as cultural, sport and leisure and lifelong learning activities. The Plan aims to reduce the causes of climate change and to minimise its impacts through locating development where it can be highly accessible by sustainable transport. The Plan seeks high quality new homes located in sustainable locations. The Plan seeks well-designed places to be sustainable, functional, inclusive and are easy to get to, to navigate around and well-integrated with the existing community. The Plan seeks to improve access to cultural, sport and leisure and lifelong learning activities. The Plan also plans to make the best use of existing and provide new infrastructure, where required to support housing and economic growth, and make sure it is sustainable. |
| 9. Brownfield Land | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham |
| To make efficient use of brownfield land and | Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA objectives: |
| recognise biodiversity | objectives. - ii. High quality new housing; |
| value where | - iii. Economic prosperity for all; |
| appropriate. | - iv. Flourishing and vibrant town centres; |
| | - v. Regeneration; |
| | - vii. Achieving well-designed places; |
| | - viii. Strong, safe healthy and cohesive communities; |

| SA abjective | Accoment findings |
|--|---|
| SA objective | - x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and |
| | reducing the need to travel; and |
| | - xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. |
| | - XII. Tilllely and viable illitastructure. |
| | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to make efficient use of brownfield land by ensuring brownfield regeneration opportunities are maximised and to encourage the recycling of derelict and other urban land. The Plan seeks that brownfield opportunities are maximised when planning for new housing and employment development and promotes town centre regeneration measures. Well-designed places on brownfield land create strong sense of place with its own identity. The Plan seeks to plan positively for the provision and use of shared spaces and promote social interaction and inclusivity by design. The Plan also plans to make the best use of existing and provide new |
| | infrastructure, where required to support housing and |
| | economic growth, and make sure it is sustainable. |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA objectives: - i. Environmentally responsible development addressing climate change; - vii. Achieving well-designed places; - x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel; and - xi. Protecting and improving natural assets. The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to reduce the causes of climate change and to minimise its impacts, through well-designed places including environmentally sensitive design and construction. The Plan encourages the use of sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel will benefit climate change. The Plan plans to increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change by enhancing and developing the network of multifunctional green spaces. |
| | There is only one objective where the compatibility is not known: – xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. There is only one objective which has been assessed to |
| | have no relationship with this SA objective: – viii. Strong, safe healthy and cohesive communities. |

| CA shipstire | Accessed the discre |
|---|--|
| SA objective | Assessment findings |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA objectives: i. Environmentally responsible development addressing climate change; x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel; and xi. Protecting and improving natural assets. The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to reduce the causes of climate change and to minimise its impacts, through well-designed places including environmentally sensitive design and construction and addressing air, noise and other types of pollution. The Plan plans to manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution by promoting sustainable transport systems which will reduce air and noise pollution. The Plan also plans to improve and provide new blue-green infrastructure, including open spaces, by enhancing and developing the network of multi-functional green spaces which will reduce pollution. |
| | The following objectives where the compatibility is not known are: - ii. High quality new housing; - iii. Economic prosperity for all; - v. Regeneration; and - xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. There is only one objective which has been assessed to have no relationship with this SA objective: - vi. Protecting and enhancing the area's individual |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | and historic character and local distinctiveness. The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA objectives: i. Environmentally responsible development addressing climate change; xi. Protecting and improving natural assets; and xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. |
| | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to reduce the risk of flooding, conserve and improve water quality. The Plan plans to improve and provide new blue infrastructure and to protect and enhance the ecological network of nature conservation sites and priority habitats including rivers, canals and water bodies, woodlands and areas of natural and semi natural |

| SA objective | Assessment findings |
|--|---|
| | grassland. The Plan also plans to make the best use of existing and provide new and improved blue-green infrastructure, where required to support housing and economic growth, and make sure it is sustainable. |
| | The following objectives where the compatibility is not known are: |
| | ii. High quality new housing; |
| | iii. Economic prosperity for all; and |
| | v. Regeneration. |
| | The following objectives have been assessed to have no relationship with this SA objective: - iv. Flourishing and vibrant town centres; - viii. Strong, safe healthy and cohesive communities; - ix. Opportunities for all; and - x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and |
| | reducing the need to travel. |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA objectives: |
| Green Infrastructure | - i. Environmentally responsible development |
| To increase biodiversity levels and protect and | addressing climate change; andxi. Protecting and improving natural assets. |
| enhance blue-green | - xi. Protecting and improving natural assets. |
| infrastructure and the natural environment. | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to improve and provide new blue-green infrastructure, to protect and enhance the ecological network of nature conservation sites and priority habitats including rivers, canals and water bodies, woodlands and areas of natural and semi natural grassland. The Plan also plans to increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change by enhancing and developing the network of multi-functional green spaces. |
| | The following objectives have been assessed to have |
| | no relationship with these SA objectives: |
| | - iii. Economic prosperity for all; and |
| 14 Landacana | - xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. |
| To protect and enhance the landscape | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA objectives: |
| character. | vi. Protecting and enhancing the area's individual and historic character and local distinctiveness; |
| | vii. Achieving well-designed places; and |
| | xi. Protecting and improving natural assets. |
| | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to enhance and maintain the landscape character and to |

| SA objective | Assessment findings |
|---|--|
| | promote character in townscape and landscape by responding to and reinforcing locally distinctive patterns of development, character, landscape and culture. The Plan also plans to improve and provide new network of multi-functional green spaces, woodlands, rivers, canals and water bodies. |
| | The following objectives where the impact is not known are: |
| | - ii. High quality new housing; |
| | - iii. Economic prosperity for all; and |
| | xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. |
| | There is only one objective which has been assessed to have no relationship with this SA objective: — ix. Opportunities for all. |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA objectives: - vi. Protecting and enhancing the area's individual and historic character and local distinctiveness; and - vii. Achieving well-designed places. |
| through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to protect and enhance the townscape character and historic character. The Plans requires new development to be well-designed which should respect local character and to preserve and enhance the distinctive built heritage. |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, | The following objectives in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan are strongly compatible with these SA objectives: i. Environmentally responsible development addressing climate change; v. Regeneration; |
| safeguarding minerals and waste. | x. Promoting sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel; and xi. Protecting and improving natural assets. |
| | The Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan plans to reduce the causes of climate change and to minimise its impacts, through locating development where it can be highly accessible by sustainable transport, requiring environmentally sensitive design and construction, reducing the risk of flooding, and contributing to carbon neutrality in line with local targets which would have positive use of natural resources. The Plan also seeks to ensure that brownfield regeneration opportunities are |

| SA objective | Assessment findings |
|--------------|---|
| | maximised which again represent a positive use of natural resources and prioritisation of this would protect greenfield land. The Plan promotes sustainable transport systems and reducing the need to travel will reduce the levels of fossil fuels consumed through car use. The Plan also plans to improve and provide new network of multi-functional green spaces, woodlands, rivers, canals and water bodies. |
| | The following objectives have been assessed to have no relationship with this SA objective: vi. Protecting and enhancing the area's individual and historic character and local distinctiveness; viii. Strong, safe healthy and cohesive communities; ix. Opportunities for all; and xii. Timely and viable infrastructure. |

APPRAISAL OF POLICIES

The appraisal of policy options has been undertaken in four stages, mirroring and appraising the Strategic Plan as it progresses. The first stage involved the identification of policy topics, the identification of broad policy options and their appraisal. These options were consulted upon with key stakeholders. A summary of this stage is included in the Preferred Approach: Sustainability Appraisal Report with the appraisals themselves included in the Policy Options document. Consultee comments can be found in Appendix C. Stage one was completed prior to consultation on the Preferred Approach consultations.

The second stage supported the Preferred Approach Consultation and therefore focused on the vision and spatial objectives, spatial strategy, housing distribution and employment provision and economic development. These appraisals can be found within the Preferred Approach: Sustainability Appraisal Report.

The third set of appraisals have developed these assessments further, appraising alternative policy approaches.

The fourth set of assessments appraise the final policies contained within the Strategic Plan.

Sustainability Appraisal Scoring Table

The table below provides guide on how to provide a score for each SA objective.

| Major | Minor | Uncertain (?) | Minor negative | Major negative |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| positive | positive | or | - | |
| ++ | + | No impact (0) | | |
| The policy | The policy | Unknown effect | The policy | The policy |
| would have a | would have a | or the policy has | would have a | would have a |
| significant | minor positive | no implications | minor negative | significant |
| positive impact | impact on at | for the objective | impact on at | negative impact |
| on one or more | least one of the | | least one of the | on one or more |
| of the policy | policy criteria | | policy criteria | of the policy |
| criteria | questions | | questions | criteria |
| questions or a | | | | questions or a |
| minor positive | | | | minor negative |
| impact on a | | | | impact on a |
| significant | | | | significant |
| number of the | | | | number of the |
| questions | | | | questions |

POLICY 1: CLIMATE CHANGE, SUSTAINABLE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, ENERGY AND MANAGING FLOOD RISK

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

| Options | Is it a reasonable alternative? |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Retain existing policy approach as set out in the | Yes |
| Core Strategy. | |
| Publication Draft policy approach of retaining the | Yes |
| existing Core Strategy policy approach but also | |
| recognising the role of BGI in addressing climate | |
| change and requiring new buildings to achieve | |
| net zero regulated operational emissions. | |
| As above but requiring new buildings to meet | Yes |
| standards that sets higher energy use intensity | |
| and space heating demand limits and limits on | |
| embodied carbon. | |
| Do not have a policy | No – Paragraph 11 of the NPPF |
| | states that all plans should mitigate |
| | climate change and adapt to its |
| | effects. |

POLICY 1: CLIMATE CHANGE, SUSTAINABLE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, ENERGY AND MANAGING FLOOD RISK REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Retain existing policy approach as set out in the Core Strategy.
- B Publication Draft policy approach of retaining the existing Core Strategy policy approach but also recognising the role of BGI in addressing climate change and requiring new buildings to achieve net zero regulated operational emissions.
- C As above but requiring new buildings to meet standards that sets higher energy use intensity and space heating demand limits and limits on embodied carbon.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------|------------|
| 1. Housing | Will it increase | + | + | ? | All options will have a | |
| To ensure that the | the range and | | | | positive impact on the | |
| housing stock | affordability of housing | | | | quality of housing | |
| meets the housing | for all social groups? | | | | stock. The impact of | |
| needs, including | Will it provide | | | | option C, whilst | |
| gypsies, travellers | sufficient pitches and | | | | achieving higher | |
| and travelling | plots for gypsies and | | | | standards, may be to | |
| showpeople. | travellers and travelling | | | | decrease the | |
| | showpeople? | | | | affordability of new | |
| | Will it reduce | | | | housing due to | |
| | homelessness? | | | | significantly higher | |
| | Will it reduce the | | | | build costs. As such | |
| | number of unfit/vacant | | | | the impact of option C | |
| | homes? | | | | is uncertain. | |
| | Will it provide the | | | | | |
| | required infrastructure? | | | | | |
| 2. Employment | Will it improve the | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options are likely to | |
| and Jobs | diversity and quality of | | | | have a neutral impact | |
| | jobs? | | | | | |

| To create employment opportunities. | Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | | | | on jobs and productivity. | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | | ? | Option A is likely to have a neutral impact. However, uncertain for options B and C as it may result in more attractive units with lower running costs but option C may significantly increase the build costs thereby making new development less attractive. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | ? | ? | Option A is likely to have a neutral impact. However, uncertain for options B and C as it may be that higher standards in relation to | |

| | | | | | emissions either increase the build costs thereby making redevelopment less attractive, or result in more attractive units with lower running costs. | |
|--------------------|---|---|----|----|--|---------------------------|
| 5. Health and Well | Will it reduce | + | ++ | ++ | All options promote | |
| Being | health inequalities? | | | | health and wellbeing by | |
| To improve health | Will it improve | | | | mitigating the impacts | |
| and well being and | access to health | | | | of climate changes. | |
| reduce health | services? | | | | Options B and C | |
| inequalities. | Will it increase | | | | provide opportunities to | |
| | the opportunities for | | | | improve access | |
| | recreational physical | | | | generally, through | |
| | activity? | | | | promoting active travel, | |
| | Will it provide new | | | | and improving access | |
| | open space or improve | | | | to BGI. These options | |
| | the quality of existing | | | | also seek to minimise | |
| | open space? | | | | energy demand for | |
| | Will it improve | | | | heating, lighting and | |
| | access to local food | | | | cooling. | |
| | growing opportunities? | | | | | |
| 6. Community | Will it reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options will have a | Other strategies policies |
| Safety | crime and the fear of | | | | neutral effect on this | should include criteria |
| | crime? | | | | objective. Policies that | that address design and |

| To improve | Will it contribute | | | | manage the design and | ' ' |
|----------------------|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|--------------|
| community safety, | to a safe secure built | | | | ' | communities. |
| reduce crime and | environment? | | | | development would | |
| the fear of crime. | | | | | have a greater impact | |
| | | | | | on achieving this | |
| | | | | | objective. | |
| 7. Social | Will it protect and | 0 | ? | ? | As for objective 4, in | |
| Inclusion | enhance existing cultural | | | | relation to the number | |
| To promote and | assets? | | | | of facilities, option A is | |
| support the | Will it improve | | | | likely to have a neutral | |
| development and | access to, encourage | | | | impact. However, | |
| growth of social | engagement with and | | | | uncertain for options B | |
| capital and to | residents' satisfaction in | | | | and C as it may be that | |
| improve social | community activities? | | | | higher standards in | |
| inclusion and to | Will it increase | | | | relation to emissions | |
| close the gap | the number of facilities | | | | either increase the | |
| between the most | e.g. shops, community | | | | build costs thereby | |
| deprived areas | centres? | | | | making redevelopment | |
| within the plan | Will it provide for | | | | less attractive, or result | |
| area. | the educational needs? | | | | in more attractive units | |
| | | | | | with lower running | |
| | | | | | costs. | |
| 8. Transport | Will it use and | 0 | + | + | Options B and C make | |
| To make efficient | enhance existing | | | | specific reference to | |
| use of the existing | transport infrastructure? | | | | measures that enable | |
| transport | Will it help to | | | | sustainable lifestyles | |
| infrastructure, help | develop a transport | | | | such as promoting | |
| reduce the need to | network that minimises | | | | active travel through | |

| travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | the impact on the environment? • Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? • Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | | | | design and layout to ensure accessibility to everyday services and facilities on foot, by bicycle or public transport. | |
|---|--|----|----|----|--|--|
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | + | + | development to | Other policies within the Strategic Plan should seek to minimize impact on biodiversity. |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? | ++ | ++ | ++ | All three options will have a major positive impact on this objective. Options B and C will have a greater impact than option A as all policy criteria are likely to be met. Option C sets | |

| solutions to climate | Will it support the | | limits on energy use, | |
|----------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| change. | development of | | space heating demand | |
| change. | community energy | | and embodied carbon | |
| | , ,, | | | |
| | systems? | | and the impact will be | |
| | Will it ensure that | | greater than for option | |
| | buildings are able to | | B which requires new | |
| | deal with future changes | | buildings to achieve net | |
| | in climate? | | zero (regulated | |
| | Will it reduce | | operational emissions). | |
| | greenhouse gas | | | |
| | emissions or promote | | | |
| | sequestration of | | | |
| | carbon? | | | |
| | Will it increase | | | |
| | the resilience of | | | |
| | biodiversity to climate | | | |
| | change? | | | |
| | Will it help people | | | |
| | adapt to climate | | | |
| | change? | | | |
| | Will it maintain or | | | |
| | increase the provision of | | | |
| | ecosystem services on | | | |
| | which local people | | | |
| | depend, including water, | | | |
| | food, and materials, now | | | |
| | and under future | | | |
| | climates? | | | |

| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | + | ++ | All three options will have a positive impact on this objective. Options B and C will have a greater impact than option A, resulting from a reduction in the use of fossil fuels, promotion of active travel and enhanced linkages to BGI. | Strategic policies should ensure sites incorporate principles of 20-minute neighbourhoods, including the provision of local services and facilities, and the provision of non-car based transport infrastructure to these facilities and those outside the site. |
|---|--|---|----|--|--|
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? | + | + | All three options support the precautionary principle and sequential test. Options 2 and 3 also address surface water runoff in accordance with the NPPF. | Need a policy relating to flooding and water quality for new development, in particular to ensure new development is suitably located outside of flood risk areas, conserve water and avoid impact of new development upon water quality. |

| | Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green | + | Option A will have a neutral impact on this objective, albeit that an indirect impact of this policy will be to reduce the impact of climate change and improve the natural environment. Options B and C will have a positive impact as reference is made to integration with existing BGI and contribution to offsite networks. | |

| | Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | + | | objective, albeit that an indirect impact of this | Landscape protection and enhancement policy criteria should be included in the Strategic Plan. |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the | 0 | + | + | Options 2 and 3 include specific reference to the neee for the | All options would benefit from strategic policies which address the protection and |

| To protect and | townscape or settlement | | SuDS on the setting of | enhancement of local |
|---------------------|--|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| enhance the | character? | | heritage assets. | character, |
| townscape | Will it conserve | | | distinctiveness and |
| character and | and enhance designated | | | heritage assets |
| enhancing the | and non-designated | | | |
| place through good | heritage assets and their | | | |
| design. To | setting? | | | |
| conserve | Will it provide | | | |
| designated and | better opportunities for | | | |
| non-designated | people to access and | | | |
| heritage assets and | understand local | | | |
| their setting and | heritage and to | | | |
| provide better | participate in cultural | | | |
| opportunities for | activities? | | | |
| people to enjoy | Will it protect or | | | |
| culture and | improve access and | | | |
| heritage. | enjoyment of the historic | | | |
| | environment? | | | |
| | Will it contribute | | | |
| | to the better | | | |
| | management of heritage | | | |
| | assets and tackle | | | |
| | heritage at risk? | | | |
| | Will it foster | | | |
| | heritage-led | | | |
| | regeneration? | | | |

| | Will it promote | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|----|----|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| | heritage based | | | | | |
| | sustainable tourism? | | | | | |
| 16. Natural | Will it lead to reduced | 0 | ++ | ++ | Options 2 and 3 require | All options would benefit |
| Resources and | consumption of raw | | | | development to | from strategic policies |
| Waste | materials? | | | | integrate with existing | which address the use of |
| Management | Will it promote the use of | | | | BGI, recognizing the | raw materials, promote |
| To prudently | sustainable design, | | | | role of BGI in | sustainable design and |
| manage the natural | materials and | | | | addressing climate | construction and the |
| resources of the | construction | | | | change. | protection of best and |
| area including | techniques? | | | | | most versatile land. |
| soils, safeguarding | Will it result in additional | | | | In addition, these | |
| minerals and | waste? | | | | options require waste | |
| waste. | Will it reduce hazardous | | | | minimization through | |
| | waste? | | | | using recycled/ | |
| | Will it protect the best | | | | secondary aggregates | |
| | and most versatile | | | | and reusing material | |
| | (BMV) agricultural land? | | | | from excavation. | |
| | Will it prevent the loss of | | | | | |
| | greenfield land to | | | | | |
| | development? | | | | | |

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS OF APPRAISALS

| Policy 1: Climate Change, Sustainable Design, Construction, Energy and Managing Flood Risk | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|---|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| A - Retain existing policy approach as set out in the Core Strategy | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ++ | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B - Publication Draft policy approach of retaining the existing Core Strategy policy approach but also recognising the role of BGI in addressing climate change and requiring new buildings to achieve net zero regulated operational emissions | + | 0 | ? | ? | ++ | 0 | ? | + | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | ++ |
| C - As above but requiring new buildings to meet standards that sets higher energy use intensity and space heating demand limits, sets net zero regulated and unregulated emissions targets and limits on embodied carbon. | ? | 0 | ? | ? | ++ | 0 | ? | + | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | ++ |

Summary

All options score positives against a number of objectives, with all scoring major positives in relation to energy and climate change.

However, Options B and C score positives against a number of additional objectives as they would set higher standards than the existing ACS policy. This includes higher scoring against objectives 8,9, 13, 14, 15 and 16. It is noted in the commentary text that there are relative differences between the options, for example option C has greater benefits in relation to objective 10, however they score the same due to the SA framework questions and criteria.

Options B and C score similar across all objectives However, option C would have an uncertain impact on housing as there may be a decrease in the affordability of new housing due to significantly higher build costs.

POLICY 2: SPATIAL STRATEGY

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Is it a reasonable alternative? |
|--|---|
| Retain existing policy approach as set out in the Core Strategy of urban concentration. Most development located within or adjoining the main built-up area (including adjacent to Hucknall) and Key Settlements identified for growth. | Yes |
| Do not have a spatial strategy policy. | No. Paragraph 17 of the NPPF states that development plans must include strategic policies to address each local planning authority's priorities for the development and use of land in its area. |
| Disperse development broadly beyond the principal urban area, within existing and new settlements that are within or beyond the Green Belt. | Yes |
| Prioritise new development that can protect and enhance the strategic river corridors, canal corridors, the Greenwood Community Forest and urban fringe areas, and/or prioritise other blue-green Infrastructure assets. | Yes |
| Focus on location of new development with regard to existing and proposed transport infrastructure. | Yes |
| Alongside the strategic location of development, include strategic policy criteria that should be applied to proposals to ensure sustainable development, such as maximising blue and green infrastructure, meeting identified housing needs, promoting public transport and active travel, delivering 20-minute neighbourhoods, creating attractive places, and delivering economic growth. | Yes |

POLICY 2: SPATIAL STRATEGY

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Retain existing policy approach as set out in the Core Strategy of urban concentration. Most development located within or adjoining the main built-up area (including adjacent to Hucknall) and Key Settlements identified for growth.
- B Disperse development broadly beyond the principal urban area, within existing or new settlements that are within or beyond the Green Belt.
- C Prioritise new development that can protect and enhance the strategic river corridors, canal corridors, the Greenwood Community Forest and urban fringe areas, and/or prioritise other blue-green Infrastructure assets.
- D Focus on location of new development with regard to existing and proposed transport infrastructure.
- E Alongside the strategic location of development, include strategic policy criteria that should be applied to proposals to ensure sustainable development, such as maximising blue and green infrastructure, meeting identified housing needs, promoting public transport and active travel, delivering compact and connected neighbourhoods, creating attractive places, and delivering economic growth.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|-----|---|---|----|-----|--|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + + | + | + | ++ | + + | These options address the strategic distribution of development across the plan area, including housing. All options will deliver the required housing stock. Major positive for options A and D because the location of new housing growth would be within and adjoining the main built up area and would have regard to existing and proposed transport infrastructure. Minor positive for options B and C because each option would provide policy approach for new housing growth to meet housing requirement, which would involve the range and affordability of new housing for all social groups. Option E includes the strategic priority that, where pertinent, developments meet identified housing needs. | In respect of option C, the policy would need to ensure that development is located outside of flood zones to allow an enhanced green space or buffer zone next to the river to ensure suitable biodiversity and environmental enhancements. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|-----|---|------------|
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | + | + | + | + + | These options address the strategic distribution of development across the plan area, including employment. Minor positive for all options because each option would provide policy approach for new economic development growth which should provide new jobs. Option E includes the consideration of economic growth as a strategic priority. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|---|---|----|----|--|--|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | + | + | ++ | ++ | All options perform positively against this objective. However, options A and D are identified as major positive because the location of new employment, retail and other types of development growth would be within and adjoining the main built up area and would have regard to existing and proposed transport infrastructure. Minor positive for options B and C because each option would provide policy approach for new economic development growth. Option E includes specific consideration of blue and green infrastructure, public transport, active travel, 20-minute neighbourhoods, and economic growth. | In respect of option C, the policy would need to ensure that development is located outside of flood zones to allow an enhanced green space or buffer zone next to the river to ensure suitable biodiversity and environmental enhancements. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|-----|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + + | + | ? | ? | + | Option A will deliver development within the main built-up area and key settlements, of which contain city, district, and local centres. Option B is similar however it would benefit centres within key settlements more, to the detriment of centres within the main built up area, as more development would be dispersed to these locations. | |
| | | | | | | | Uncertain for options C and D as it depends on the locations and proposals of new development, it is considered that the impact of the options on shopping centres is uncertain. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | ? | ? | ++ | ? | + | Prioritising new development that protects and enhances blue and green infrastructure (Option C) will have health and wellbeing benefits as this will provide accessible open space, including natural greenspace, recreational areas, sports pitches, and active travel routes. Uncertain for options A, B and D as it depends on the locations and proposals of new development. It is expected that large housing allocations would provide new open space or enhance the quality of existing open space or blue-green infrastructure which could provide opportunities for recreational physical activity. | Ensure that new development does not affect access to health services and provide new open space or enhance the quality of existing open space or blue-green infrastructure. Opportunities to promote the enhancement of blue green infrastructure should be encouraged. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options will have a neutral effect on this objective. Policies that manage the design and specific location of development would have a greater impact on achieving this objective. | Strategic policy criteria (Option E) should include criteria that address design and layout, providing safe communities. |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | Option A will direct development towards the main urban area where most deprived areas are located. Whilst Option B my direct development away from most deprived areas within the main urban area, overall it is considered neutral. Options C would, by preserving and enhancing BGI protect existing cultural assets, encourage community activities related to local BGI and provide educational environments. Option D is neutral as transport infrastructure will not influence this objective. | Strategic policy criteria (included in Option E) should include requirements that developments deliver sustainable and prosperous communities. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|---|---|----|----|--|--|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ++ | | + | ++ | ++ | Options A and D score major positive against this objective as they would utilise existing transport infrastructure within urban areas, minimise impacts on the environment and reduce journeys undertaken by private cars. Option D will help develop transport networks. Option B would, due to the reduced transport infrastructure beyond the main built up area and key settlements, not use existing infrastructure, minimise impacts on the environment, reduce journeys by private car or increase accessibility to services. Option C would help develop active travel infrastructure (walking and cycling). | Option B would be mitigated by policies in the strategic plan that require significant improvements to public transport and active travel infrastructure. This should be identified within the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and its viability tested within the viability appraisal. |

| Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. brownfield land? • Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity value where appropriate. brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. brownfield land and recognise biodiversity interests of the site? • Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? • Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? • Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? • Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? • Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? • Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? • Will the development would make the most employment targets, it would make the most of the most of target | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|----|---|---|--|
| up area. | To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value | brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the | | ? | Ŷ· | + | result in the use of greenfield land to deliver its housing and employment targets, it would make the most efficient use of brownfield land within the urban area Option B would require the use of significant areas of greenfield land as there is unlikely to be sufficient brownfield opportunities adjacent to existing settlements or within locations identified to deliver new settlements. Option C and D are unknown as it depends on the locations selected for development. Option D is likely to locate development within urban areas where transport infrastructure is located, however it may direct development to transport nodes within or closer to | of locations with brownfield land available would improve Option B's performance against this |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | Option C could direct | |
| | | | | | | | development towards | |
| | | | | | | | existing BGI where | |
| | | | | | | | brownfield opportunities | |
| | | | | | | | may or may not exist. | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Option E would include | |
| | | | | | | | criteria that promotes | |
| | | | | | | | sustainable development. | |
| | | | | | | | This would comprise the | |
| | | | | | | | use of brownfield land | |

| 10. Energy and | Τ_ | Will it regult in additional | 4 | ? | | | | Option C scores major | Policies within the |
|--------------------|----|---------------------------------|---|----------|---|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Climate Change | • | Will it result in additional | + | ' | + | + | + | positive against this | Strategic Plan should |
| To minimise | | energy use? | | | | | | objective as the | |
| | • | Will it improve energy | | | | | | • | encourage BGI, improve |
| energy usage and | | efficiency of new buildings? | | | | | | prioritisation of BGI will | the energy efficiency of |
| to develop low | • | Will it support the generation | | | | | | reduce urban heat island | new buildings, and |
| carbon energy | | and use of renewable | | | | | | effects, sequester carbon, | renewable energy |
| resource and | | energy? | | | | | | reduce carbon (by | generation. |
| encourage nature- | • | Will it support the | | | | | | increasing active travel | |
| based solutions to | | development of community | | | | | | opportunities), increase | |
| climate change. | | energy systems? | | | | | | resilience of biodiversity | |
| | • | Will it ensure that buildings | | | | | | to climate change, help | |
| | | are able to deal with future | | | | | | people adapt to climate | |
| | | changes in climate? | | | | | | change and increase | |
| | • | Will it reduce greenhouse | | | | | | ecosystem services. | |
| | | gas emissions or promote | | | | | | | |
| | | sequestration of carbon? | | | | | | Option B may result in a | |
| | • | Will it increase the resilience | | | | | | less sustainable | |
| | | of biodiversity to climate | | | | | | distribution of | |
| | | change? | | | | | | development and may | |
| | • | Will it help people adapt to | | | | | | increase private car use | |
| | • | climate change? | | | | | | and carbon emissions. | |
| | • | Will it maintain or increase | | | | | | However, this will depend | |
| | _ | the provision of ecosystem | | | | | | on the locations selected | |
| | | services on which local | | | | | | for growth. | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | people depend, including | | | | | | Option A would have a | |
| | | water, food, and materials, | | | | | | minor positive effect on | |
| | | now and under future | | | | | | this objective. It is likely to | |
| | | climates? | | | | | | deliver a more sustainable | |
| | • | Will it lead to the | | | | | | distribution of | |
| | | displacement of emissions to | | | | | | development. | |
| | | another location? | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Option D would have a | |
| | | | | | | | | positive impact as this | |
| | | | | | | | | option would have regard | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Ε | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|-----|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | to existing and proposed public transport infrastructure, particularly public transport. | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | | | + + | + | + | Option A would increase development within the Nottingham Urban Area Agglomeration zone. Option B is likely to increase the use of private car as development is distributed across a wider area, including outlying settlements where there is less public transport provision and private car journeys would be longer. The promotion of BGI within Option C will help mitigate air, noise and water pollution. Option D's impact on pollution will be minor positive as locating new development alongside new or existing public transport infrastructure will help manage air pollution. | Strategic policies should ensure sites incorporate principles of 20-minute neighbourhoods, including the provision of local services and facilities, and the provision of non-car based transport infrastructure to these facilities and those outside the site. Need a policy relating to pollution and air quality for new development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ? | ? | ++ | ? | + | Whilst option A would direct development to locations within or adjacent to the main urban area where there are significant areas of within Flood Zones 2 and 3 (primarily within the Trent Valley), the effects of this option remain uncertain as elevated areas outside these flood zones could provide locations for development. Option C would, through the provision of BGI, provide land for flood water storage and improvements in water quality. Options B and D's effects on this objective will also depend on the locations of development. | Need a policy relating to flooding and water quality for new development, in particular to ensure new development is suitably located outside of flood risk areas, conserve water and avoid impact of new development upon water quality. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Ε | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|-----|---|---|---|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ? | ? | + + | ? | + | For options A, B and D, it depends on the locations and proposals of new development. Option C would have a major positive effect on this objective as protection and enhancement of BGI would have clear benefits to the natural environment. | Strategic policies should embed BGI within development requirements. This should optimize opportunities to deliver environmental netgain, active travel, recreational open space and the other benefits that BGI brings. Priority habitats should be created, connected protected and enhanced to improve the ecological network. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 14. Landscape | Does it respect or preserve | + | - | ? | ? | + | Option B is likely to have | Informed by site |
| To protect and | identified landscape | | | | | | an adverse effect on | appraisals, strategic site |
| enhance the | character? | | | | | | landscape as the | policies in the Strategic |
| landscape | Does it have a positive | | | | | | dispersal of development | Plan should promote |
| character. | impact on visual amenity? | | | | | | across the plan area is | landscape protection and |
| | , | | | | | | likely to create more | enhancement. |
| | | | | | | | urban townscapes in | |
| | | | | | | | areas of more rural | Landscape protection and |
| | | | | | | | character. Whilst this | enhancement policy |
| | | | | | | | could be mitigated to a | criteria should be included |
| | | | | | | | degree through site | in the Strategic Plan. |
| | | | | | | | selection, the overall | |
| | | | | | | | effect will be an | Landscape and visual |
| | | | | | | | urbanisation of | impacts of development in |
| | | | | | | | landscapes locally. | urban fringe locations |
| | | | | | | | | could be reduced by |
| | | | | | | | Conversely, Option A | integrating it with the |
| | | | | | | | would focus development | existing urban area and |
| | | | | | | | within urban townscapes | by avoiding fringe |
| | | | | | | | where it would be easier | locations that have |
| | | | | | | | to accommodate visually. | sensitive landscape |
| | | | | | | | | features and/or are valued |
| | | | | | | | The effects of Options C | for their visual amenity. |
| | | | | | | | and D upon this objective | |
| | | | | | | | would depend on the | |
| | | | | | | | location, some of which | |
| | | | | | | | may be in less urbanised | |
| | | | | | | | environments. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and nondesignated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | ? | ? | + | ? | + | It depends on the locations and proposals of new development in relation to existing designated and non-designated heritage assets (including Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens, Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas, locally interest buildings and archaeology) and whether the proposals would respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character. There may be opportunities for heritage led regeneration projects to include housing. Promoting growth alongside BGI (Option C) would however, where appropriate to do so, enable the preservation of existing heritage assets and their setting. | All options would benefit from strategic policies which address the protection and enhancement of local character, distinctiveness and heritage assets |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Ε | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | + | | ? | ? | + | Option B would result in the loss of significantly more greenfield land if development is redirected away from the main urban area towards outlying settlements where there is less brownfield land available. Conversely, Option A would be minor positive. Option C is uncertain as it depends on the location development that is being delivered alongside existing and new BGI. This may or may not be brownfield. The effect of Option D is also unknown, as this will depend on the locations of development selected. Some may be located in more urban areas (for example close to railway stations in rural areas). | All options would benefit from strategic policies which address the use of raw materials, promote sustainable design and construction and the protection of best and most versatile land. |

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS OF APPRAISALS

| Policy 2: Spatial Strategy | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| A - Retain existing policy approach as set out in the Core Strategy of urban concentration. Most development located within or adjoining the main built-up area (including adjacent to Hucknall) and Key Settlements identified for growth. | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | ? | 0 | + | ++ | ++ | + | 1 | - | ? | + | ? | + |
| B - Disperse development broadly beyond the principal urban area, within existing or new settlements that are within or beyond the Green Belt. | + | + | + | + | ? | 0 | 0 | - | | ? | | ? | ? | - | ? | |
| C - Prioritise new development that can protect and enhance the strategic river corridors, canal corridors, the Greenwood Community Forest and urban fringe areas, and/or prioritise other blue-green Infrastructure assets. | + | + | + | ? | ++ | 0 | + | + | ? | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ? | + | ? |
| D - Focus on location of new development with regard to existing and proposed transport infrastructure. | ++ | + | ++ | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | ++ | ? | + | + | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| E - Alongside the strategic location of development, include strategic policy criteria that should be applied to proposals to ensure sustainable development, such as maximising blue and green infrastructure, meeting identified housing needs, promoting public transport and active travel, delivering 20-minute neighbourhoods, creating attractive places, and delivering economic growth. | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | 0 | + | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |

CONCLUSIONS

The appraisal of alternative approaches to Policy 2 and the spatial distribution of development across the plan area has highlighted the benefits of all options against those objectives that address housing and economic development. Regarding the distribution of development, Option B (a dispersed strategy), this scored minor or major negative against those objectives that seek to protect the environment, including the loss of greenfield land, increased pollution, harm to landscape and loss of natural resources. Retaining the current approach (urban concentration) performed better against these objectives, except for effects on pollution. This is understandable given the likelihood that Option A would focus development in less environmentally sensitive urban or urban edge locations.

The prioritisation of locating development where it can complement Green and Blue Infrastructure performed well against the environmental objectives. Whereas there was more uncertainty regarding the effects of Option D, focusing development in the vicinity of transport infrastructure. This uncertainty reflects the broad choice of locations that development could be directed too.

Option E did not address the distribution of development. This focused on whether the policy would benefit from strategic criteria that addressed the broad range of issues that combined ensure sustainable development. Unsurprisingly, given the criteria suggested, it scored well against all the SA objectives.

In conclusion, retaining the current approach of an urban concentration whilst seeking to integrate new developments with green infrastructure and existing or proposed transport infrastructure would comprise a sustainable strategy for the distribution of development.

POLICY 3: HOUSING REQUIREMENTS, DISTRIBUTION AND STRATEGIC SITES IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Is it a realistic option |
|---|--|
| Delivering the identified housing target | • |
| Deliver the identified housing target by allocating | Yes |
| additional strategic sites. | |
| Deliver the identified housing target by allocating | Yes |
| sites through future plan preparation. | |
| Deliver the identified housing target within a mix | Yes |
| of strategic sites (in the Strategic Plan) and non- | |
| strategic sites (in existing Part 2 Local Plans and | |
| through future plan preparation) | |
| Redistribution of Nottingham City's unmet | |
| need | |
| Nottingham City to meet their own housing need | Yes |
| (as determined by the Government's standard | |
| method) including the 35% uplift (applied for | |
| those urban local authorities in the top 20 cities | |
| and urban centres list). | |
| Nottingham City's unmet need split and included | Yes |
| within Broxtowe, Gedling and Rushcliffe's | |
| housing targets. | |
| Nottingham City to meet as much of the 35% | Yes |
| uplift as possible and any unmet need is not | |
| redistributed to Broxtowe, Gedling and | |
| Rushcliffe housing targets | |
| Housing need and target | |
| Retain existing housing target within the Core | No. Paragraph 61 of the NPPF |
| Strategy. | requires housing targets are informed |
| | by the standard method in national |
| | guidance unless exceptional |
| | circumstances justify an alternative approach. Both options would likely |
| | result in an alternative housing target. |
| Do not have a policy | No. Paragraph 66 of the NPPF |
| Do not have a policy | requires Strategic policy-making |
| | authorities establish a housing |
| | requirement figure for their whole |
| | area. |
| Use standard method as a minimum for housing | Yes. Paragraph 61 of the NPPF |
| targets. (NB this is medium growth option and | requires housing targets are informed |
| may require land release from the Green Belt). | by the standard method in national |
| , | guidance unless exceptional |
| | circumstances justify an alternative |
| | approach. |
| Reduce the amount of housing required in order | Yes. Paragraph 11 of the NPPF states |
| to avoid the loss of Green Belt, reduce harm to | that strategic policies do not have to |
| environmental assets and deliver a sustainable | provide for objectively assessed |
| distribution of development. | housing needs where the application |
| | of policies in the NPPF, including |
| | Green Belt and environmental policies |

| Options | Is it a realistic option |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| | would restrict the scale of |
| | development. |
| Increase the minimum amount of housing | Yes. Paragraph 67 of the NPPF states |
| required, if justified by using an alternative | that the housing requirement figure |
| methodology to the standard method for | may be higher than the identified |
| calculating housing need. This alternative | housing need if, for example, it |
| method would consider demographic trends, | includes provision for neighbouring |
| market signals and economic forecasts. | areas, or reflects growth ambitions |
| | linked to economic development or |
| | infrastructure investment. |

POLICY 3: HOUSING REQUIREMENTS, DISTRIBUTION AND STRATEGIC SITES DELIVERING THE IDENTIFIED HOUSING TARGETS

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Deliver the identified housing targets by allocating additional strategic sites.
- B Deliver the identified housing targets by allocating sites through future plan preparation.
- C Deliver the identified housing targets within a mix of strategic sites (in the Strategic Plan) and non-strategic sites (in existing Part 2 Local Plans and through future plan preparation)

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------------|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. Housing | Will it increase the range | + | + | + | All options will ensure that | Where required, Local Plans |
| To ensure that the | and affordability of housing | + | | + | the housing stock meets | (either the Strategic Plan or |
| housing stock | for all social groups? | | | | housing needs. | Local Plan Part 2s or through |
| meets the housing | Will it provide sufficient | | | | | future plan preparation) |
| needs, including | pitches and plots for gypsies | | | | Delivery within strategic | should identify sufficient plots |
| gypsies, travellers | and travellers and travelling | | | | sites either in part or in full | and pitches to meet travellers |
| and travelling | showpeople? | | | | (Options A and C) are likely | and showpeople's needs. |
| showpeople. | Will it reduce | | | | to provide greater | |
| | homelessness? | | | | opportunities to deliver | Infrastructure Delivery Plans |
| | Will it reduce the number of | | | | pitches and plots for | for both the strategic plan |
| | unfit/vacant homes? | | | | travellers and showpeople. | and Local Plan Part 2s and |
| | Will it provide the required | | | | The greater economies of | subsequent plans will identify |
| | infrastructure? | | | | scale will also provide the | required infrastructure, |
| | | | | | required infrastructure. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|-----|--|---|
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | ? | + + | All options are likely to have a minor positive effect on employment and jobs. Options A will provide opportunities for mixed use (employment and housing) strategic sites. Option B would be unknown as site selection is deferred to future plan preparation. Option C will provide employment opportunities within both strategic sites and non-strategic rural sites. | Employment policies within the strategic plan should deliver sufficient employment land to meet identified needs. |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | ? | + | Options A and C would deliver strategic scale development that would, to deliver sustainable communities, include a mix of uses and local employment. Option B would be unknown as site selection is deferred to subsequent plans. | Employment policies within the strategic plan should deliver sufficient employment land to meet identified needs. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|-----|----|---|--|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + | + + | ++ | All options are positive. However, option A will direct strategic sites away from some key settlements and their local centres. Options B and C will facilitate the distribution of sites across the plan area where it will benefit all centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | ? | + | Options A and C will deliver strategic scale development that could deliver health facilities and provide comprehensive green infrastructure. Option B could deliver these benefits however policy mechanisms will be required to co-ordinate and deliver infrastructure and services. | Informed by the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, policies in the strategic plan should deliver sufficient health facilities and green infrastructure to meet needs. |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | ? | ? | ? | The effects of all three options will depend on the location, design, and layout of developments. Consequently, their effects are unknown and uncertain. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | ? | + | The delivery of strategic sites within Options A and C could include community facilities and neighbourhood centres. Option B could deliver these benefits however policy mechanisms will be required to co-ordinate and deliver these facilities and services. | Informed by the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, policies in the strategic plan should deliver sufficient community facilities. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimises the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ? | - | ? | Option C would result in an increased number of nonstrategic sites. Distributed over a wider area this would have the potential to increase the journey distances of private car. Conversely, the delivery of larger strategic sites would enable the delivery of accessible and connected public transport and active travel infrastructure on-site. However, this is still uncertain, as it will depend on the selected sites (and their potential for connectivity) and the specific policy requirements. | Informed by Transport Modelling and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, site specific development requirements should ensure appropriate transport infrastructure is delivered. Strategic plan wide policies should ensure contributions for transport infrastructure are provided. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|------------|
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | - | ? | ? | Option A would focus development within larger strategic sites. This would provide less flexibility during site selection and would require predominantly greenfield land. Options B and C are both uncertain. They would include non-strategic sites allocated through future plan preparation that may or may not be brownfield. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | ? | ? | ? | The effects of all three options upon this objective will depend on other factors, notably policies which seek to manage the energy use of new development. Option B may result in a more dispersed pattern of non-strategic sites. Without sufficient transport infrastructure or continued transition to electric vehicles this could increase rather than reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Effects of these options on biodiversity's resilience to climate change and ecosystem services will depend on the sites selected. | Policies in the strategic plan should set out how developments will contribute to the achievement of netzero. Including reductions in emissions and the mitigation of causes and effects of climate change. Biodiversity and blue and green infrastructure policies should deliver net-gains in biodiversity and enhance the biodiversity network. This will enhance resilience to climate change. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | ? | ? | ? | The effects of all three options upon this objective will depend on other factors, notably policies which seek to manage air, noise and other types of pollution. | Policies should direct development to locations with access to public transport services and active travel infrastructure. Concepts of 20-minute neighbourhoods, where services and facilities are within easily accessible locations would mitigate the effect of new development on pollution levels. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ? | ? | ? | The effects of all options on this objective will depend on the specific locations of development. | Policies should direct development within flood zone 1 unless justified through the sequential and exception tests. Policies should also require SuDS and water efficiency measures (Nottinghamshire is water stressed area and currently reliant on the Sherwood aquifer for supply) |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ? | ? | ? | Effects of these options on biodiversity and blue and green infrastructure will depend on the sites selected. However, deferring site allocations to subsequent plans may provide more flexibility in selection and enable the avoidance of sites that have biodiversity or blue and green infrastructure value. | Strategic policies should embed BGI within development requirements. This should optimize opportunities to deliver environmental net-gain, active travel, recreational open space and the other benefits that BGI brings. Priority habitats should be created, connected protected and enhanced to improve the ecological network. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | - | + | 0 | Effects of these options on landscape will depend primarily on the sites selected. However, Option A is, due to the scale and number of strategic sites, likely to have greater landscape impacts. | Landscape considerations should inform strategic site selections. Informed by site appraisals, strategic site policies in the Strategic Plan should promote landscape protection and enhancement. |
| | | | | | Dispersing non-strategic sites through future plan preparation would provide more opportunities to integrate development within the landscape, unlike large strategic sites. | Landscape protection and enhancement policy criteria should be included in the Strategic Plan. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| 5. Built and distoric Environment To protect and enhance the cownscape character and enhancing the clace through good design. To conserve designated and con-designated deritage assets and their setting and provide better deportunities for decople to enjoy culture and deritage. | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage | ? | ? | ? | Effects of these options on the built and historic environment will depend primarily on the sites selected. Larger strategic sites would enable layouts and master planning that avoids or integrates heritage and built assets. Dispersing non-strategic sites through future plan preparation would provide more opportunities to avoid built and historic environment. | Mitigation |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ? | + | ? | Effects of these options on natural resources, specifically the loss of greenfield best and most versatile land, will depend primarily on the sites selected. However, Option B may allow, due to the greater flexibility of smaller nonstrategic sites within subsequent plans Local Plans, opportunities to avoid best and most versatile land. | |

REDISTRIBUTION OF NOTTINGHAM CITY'S UNMET NEED

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Nottingham City to meet their own housing need (as determined by the Government's standard method) including the 35% uplift (applied for those urban local authorities in the top 20 cities and urban centres list).
- B Nottingham City's unmet need split and included within Broxtowe, Gedling and Rushcliffe's housing targets.
- C Nottingham City to meet as much of the 35% uplift as possible and any unmet need is not redistributed to Broxtowe, Gedling and Rushcliffe housing targets.

.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|-----|---|---|---|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | + + | + | Major positive for Nottingham City regarding option A because the location of new housing growth would be within the main built up area and would have regard to existing transport infrastructure. Option C means reduced housing target within Nottingham City thus lesser impact compared to option A. | Ensure that, although the target is less than the requirement, the supply of homes continues to meet the plan area housing requirement. |
| | | | | | Major positive for option B because Broxtowe and Rushcliffe districts would further exceed their own housing targets, increasing the range and affordability of new housing for all social groups. Gedling would be able to fully meet their own housing target and possibly beyond. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | - | ? | ? | Option A relates to increased housing required to meet the 35% uplift which could result in the redevelopment of existing employment sites and other sites within Nottingham City that are used by businesses. Option B relates to increased housing required in other district council areas which could result in change in employment opportunities. Depending on option C, which is reduced begging | Ensure there are employment opportunities in or near area of new housing growth and accessible locations. |
| | | | | | which is reduced housing target within Nottingham City, this could still result in | |
| | | | | | change in employment opportunities. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | - | - | 0 | Option A relates to increased housing required to meet the 35% uplift which could result in the redevelopment of existing good quality employment sites as well as poor quality employment sites. Meeting Nottingham City's unmet need within the neighbouring authorities (Option B) would put more pressure on the release of employment sites in these areas for housing. Option C relates to reduced housing required. This would result in less pressure to release employment sites across the plan area. | Ensure demand for employment land not affected. Strategic policies should ensure developments provide a mix of house types and tenures that are attractive to employees, including graduates. Strategic allocations should, where appropriate, deliver mixed use developments that include employment uses (some class E uses, B2 and B8). |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ? | ? | ? | The impact of all options on this objective is unknown as this will depend on the location of development and the accessibility of residents to these centres. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | - | ? | ? | The impact of options B and C on this objective are unknown as this will depend on the location of development and the accessibility of residents to health facilities and blue and green infrastructure. It is expected that large housing allocations would provide new open space or enhance the quality of existing open space or blue-green infrastructure which could provide opportunities for recreational physical activity. However, to achieve the housing target including the 35% uplift within Nottingham City (option A) this could result in development of existing open spaces and parks in the city. | Ensure that new development does not affect access to health services and provide new open space or enhance the quality of existing open space or blue-green infrastructure. Opportunities to promote the enhancement of blue green infrastructure should be encouraged. Also need to ensure that increased access to existing open space or blue-green infrastructure does not cause harm to biodiversity if access is greater than the capacity that can be supported without disturbance to wildlife or damage to habitats. |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The impact of all options on this objective will depend on design policies within the strategic plan and subsequent plans. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | ? | ? | ? | It depends on the locations and proposals of new development. The impact of all options on this objective will also depend on strategic and subsequent plans policies that will protect, create and provide access to community facilities. | Ensure that new development does not affect existing cultural assets and facilities or provide opportunities for new assets and facilities. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|---|---|---|---|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimises the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ++ | ? | ? | Major positive for Option A because the location of new development within the Nottingham City area to achieve their housing target (including the 35% uplift or as much of the 35% uplift as possible) would have regard to existing transport infrastructure, particularly public transport, which should help to minimise the impact on the environment and also to provide access to services and facilities. Options B and C will have an unknown effect on this objective as less development is directed to the main urban area and strategic transport policies and site-specific development requirements will determine whether the level of housing will be accompanied by a reduction in car travel or improvement in accessibility. | Ensure that new development is located close to existing transport infrastructure, or a new transport network is established to minimise the impact on the environment. Ensure measures to encourage alternative modes of transport are in place and good accessibility to services and facilities. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 9. Brownfield | Will it make efficient use of | + | | + | Options A and C would both | |
| Land | brownfield land? | + | | | enable the most efficient | |
| To make efficient | Will the development | | | | use of brownfield land as | |
| use of brownfield | minimise the impact on the | | | | the unmet need would | |
| land and recognise | biodiversity interests of the | | | | either be delivered in | |
| biodiversity value | site? | | | | Nottingham or not. | |
| where appropriate. | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | As Option B directs this | |
| | | | | | unmet need to the other | |
| | | | | | local authorities it is likely to | |
| | | | | | require more greenfield land | |
| | | | | | to meet this additional need | |
| | | | | | (in particular Gedling). | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | C | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | | As Options A and C would result in an increase in homes, these options are likely to result in additional energy use. However, Option A would, as result of a greater concentration of development could contribute to reducing greenhouse gas emissions through reducing the need to travel, particularly by car because the location of new development would be within the Nottingham City area and would have regard to existing and proposed transport infrastructure. Option C would deliver less homes and therefore result in less energy use. | Strategic policies should address renewable energy generation, community energy systems, building efficiency, climate change resilience and adaptability, increasing biodiversity resilience, and ecosystem services. These will reduce the energy required by the new homes and offset the residual emissions. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | | | | Options A and B will, due to the higher number of homes, create more pollution than Option C. Option A may reduce pollution through reductions in the need to travel, particularly by car because the location of new development would be within the Nottingham City area and would have regard to existing and proposed transport infrastructure. However, Option A would also direct more development to locations within the Nottingham Urban Area Agglomeration Zone. | The principle of 20 minutes neighbourhoods, where developments can access services and facilities by foot or bicycle will help reduce private car use. Strategic policies should ensure developments are served by public transport and have active travel infrastructure. This will also help reduce air pollution. In addition, policies should address causes of air, water, and noise pollution. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ? | ? | ? | Impacts on flood risk will depend on the location of development. Whilst the housing target including the 35% uplift within Nottingham City (option A) could result in development of in flood risk areas, this remains uncertain as alternative locations outside the flood zones 2 and 3 exist within Nottingham City. | In accordance with the sequential approach, development should be directed away from locations that are at risk of flooding (flood zones 2 and 3). Strategic policies should ensure development does not increase flood risk elsewhere, using SuDs. Pollution policies should ensure water quality is protected. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | + | It will depend considerably on the locations and proposals of new development. Options A and C would both avoid the distribution of additional housing to the neighbouring boroughs, where it would likely require additional greenfield sites and potentially the loss of priority habitats. Option C is therefore positive. However, focusing more development in the urban area in Option A could result in the loss of more urban greenspaces. Conversely Option B may increase the need to release of greenfield land and loss of priority habitats. Particularly within Gedling where additional nonstrategic sites are required to meet their needs. | A policy would be required to provide the protection and enhancement of biodiversity, protected species and bluegreen infrastructure. Need to ensure that increased access to existing open space or blue-green infrastructure does not cause harm to biodiversity if access is greater than the capacity that can be supported without disturbance to wildlife or damage to habitats. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | + | - | + | Whilst it will depend considerably on the sites selected, options A and C would not distribute additional housing to the neighbouring boroughs, where, particularly in Gelding, it would likely require additional greenfield sites and affect landscape character and visual amenity. | Need a policy to ensure new development does not cause harm to landscape character. |
| | | | | | Conversely Option B may increase the need to release of greenfield land. Particularly within Gedling where additional nonstrategic sites are required to meet their needs. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|-----|---|---|---|--|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | A - | ? | ? | To achieve the housing target including the 35% uplift within Nottingham City (option A) this could result in some impacts on built and historic environment. It is recognised that the locations or number of homes could be limited due to heritage concerns, especially in the Nottingham City area (Option C) and in Broxtowe and Gedling areas where they need to accommodate more housing (Option B). This is however uncertain and unknown. Rushcliffe has an oversupply of homes permitted so heritage issue would have been considered as part of the planning application process. For Nottingham City there may be opportunities for heritage led regeneration projects to | Strategic policies should ensure developments protect and enhance the historic environment, foster heritage led regeneration and promotes sustainable tourism. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | | | + | All options will result in additional waste generation. Option A is minor negative as it may increase the release greenfield land within Nottingham City for additional housing to achieve their housing target. Option B will result in the loss of more greenfield and best and most versatile land. Option C will result in less greenfield land and best and most versatile land being lost. It will also result in less waste being generated. | Strategic sites should avoid best and most versatile land and policies should discourage the use of such land. Policies should promote the use of sustainable design and construction. |

HOUSING NEED AND TARGETS

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Use standard method as a minimum for housing targets. (NB this is medium growth option and may require land release from the Green Belt).
- B Reduce the amount of housing required in order to avoid the loss of Green Belt, reduce harm to environmental assets and deliver a sustainable distribution of development.
- C Increase the minimum amount of housing required, if justified by using an alternative methodology to the standard method for calculating housing need. This alternative method would consider demographic trends, market signals and economic forecasts.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|-----|---|-----|---|-------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + + | + | + + | Major positive for options A and C because they would meet the housing needs, involving the range and affordability of new housing for all social groups. Option B relates to reduced number of homes, however it is considered that it is minor positive because new housing would still be provided. | initigation |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2. Employment | Will it improve the diversity | ? | ? | ? | Option B relates to reduced | Policies should encourage |
| and Jobs | and quality of jobs? | | | | housing required to take | strategic scale developments |
| To create | Will it reduce | | | | account of the existing | to include construction |
| employment | unemployment? | | | | Green Belt and other | training programs for |
| opportunities. | Will it improve rural | | | | factors such as | residents. |
| | productivity in terms of | | | | demographic trends and | |
| | employment opportunities? | | | | market signals and option C | Ensure there are employment |
| | | | | | relates to increased housing | opportunities in or near area |
| | | | | | required to include | of new housing growth and |
| | | | | | additional buffer and take | accessible locations. |
| | | | | | account of the same factors | |
| | | | | | as Option B Both could | |
| | | | | | result in change in | |
| | | | | | employment opportunities. | |
| | | | | | Option A relates to | |
| | | | | | minimum housing | |
| | | | | | requirement, which is a | |
| | | | | | medium growth option | |
| | | | | | compared to the two other | |
| | | | | | options, and could result in | |
| | | | | | change in employment | |
| | | | | | opportunities. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ? | ? | ? | The effects of these options will depend on the sites selected. Option A could result in existing poor quality employment land being released for housing to meet the minimum housing requirement. Option B relates to reduced housing required to take account of the existing Green Belt and other factors such as demographic trends and market signals, this could still result in existing employment land being released for housing. Option C relates to increased housing required to include additional buffer and take account of other factors such as future demographic trends and market signals so there could be demand for new employment land. | Ensure demand for employment land not affected. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ? | ? | ? | All options would have an unknown effect on this objective as the location of development and accessibility to centres would determine whether they would increase the vitality of city, town, district, or local centres. | Ensure the vitality of existing centres not affected by new development. Policies should ensure appropriate levels of housing are located within or close to centers and that sufficient transport infrastructure or services are provided to link new residents to these centres. |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | ? | ? | ? | All options would have an unknown effect on this objective as the location of development, its scale and its layout and design will determine whether health facilities are required, open space will be provided, or existing spaces improved. It is expected that large housing allocations would provide new open space or enhance the quality of existing open space or bluegreen infrastructure which could provide opportunities for recreational physical activity. | Policies should require, where deficits exist, contributions to or the provision of health facilities. Blue and green Infrastructure policies should require the creation, protection and enhancement of BGI and set the context for subsequent development plans and supplementary planning documents. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options will have a neutral effect on this objective as the reduction in crime and fear of crime, and the contribution to a safe and secure environment will depend on the layout and design of new developments. | Design policies within the strategic plan should include consideration of crime reduction and set the context for subsequent development plans and supplementary planning documents. |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | ? | ? | ? | Impacts on existing cultural assets will depend on the location and scale of development. | Policies should require, where deficits exist, contributions to or the provision of community facilities, including education facilities. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimises the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ? | ? | ? | The effect of these options on transport infrastructure will depend on the scale and location of development. It will also depend on policies that require transport infrastructure where this is required to deliver sites and meet the needs of occupants. | Ensure that new development is located close to existing transport infrastructure or a new transport network is established to minimise the impact on the environment. Ensure measures to encourage alternative modes of transport are in place and good accessibility to services and facilities. Transport Modelling and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan should identify required transport infrastructure that will contribute to a reduction in the use of private car. Subsequent policies in the strategic plan should ensure their delivery. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | - | + | - | Option A will require the use of greenfield land and therefore potentially impact on biodiversity value and therefore minor negative. Option B would reduce pressure to release greenfield land for development and a minor positive. | Strategic policies should direct development to urban locations, where brownfield land is more likely to be available. Policies should also seek to reduce the loss greenfield land. |
| | | | | | Conversely Option C would require the release of more greenfield land to meet an increased housing target. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | + | | The effects of all three options upon this objective will depend on other factors, notably policies which seek to manage the energy use of new development and the location of development. However, Options B and C, which promote either less or more development, are likely to have different effects on ecosystem services and the resilience of biodiversity to climate change. | Policies in the strategic plan should set out how developments will contribute to the achievement of netzero. Including reductions in emissions and the mitigation of causes and effects of climate change. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | - | • | | All options will increase development within the plan area and consequently this is likely to increase pollution. Option C would deliver more development and is likely to have a major negative effect on this objective. | Policies should direct development to locations with access to public transport services and active travel infrastructure. Concepts of 20-minute neighbourhoods, where services and facilities are within easily accessible locations would mitigate the effect of new development on pollution levels. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | - | | | The effect of all three options on this objective on flooding are to a degree unknown as it will depend on the location of development. However, the provision of new housing would likely increase water consumption and could reduce water quality if pollution is not controlled. As Option C would deliver more housing, these effects would be greater. | To ensure housing development does not increase risks of flooding, policies should direct development away from areas of flood risk and reduce the risk of flooding elsewhere. Policies should also ensure development does not adversely affect the water quality of water courses. As the plan area is identified as being water stressed, policies should require new developments meet standards that reduce water consumption. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ? | ? | ? | It depends on the locations and proposals of new development. It is recognised that options A and C may require land release from the Green Belt so this would have impact on natural environment, biodiversity and blue-green infrastructure depending on location. Although it is expected that large housing allocations would provide new open space or green space, and would be subject to biodiversity net gain. The delivery of blue and green infrastructure is unknown as this will depend on policy requirements in the strategic plan. | A policy would be required to provide the protection and enhancement of biodiversity, protected species. Strategic policies should ensure developments consider and incorporate appropriate blue and green infrastructure as a priority. These should integrate with and enhance the wider blue and green infrastructure network. |

| 14. Landscape | • | Does it respect or preserve | _ | + | _ | Unlike effects on the natural | Strategic policies should |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| To protect and | | identified landscape | | | | environment and blue and | require consideration of |
| enhance the | | character? | | | | green infrastructure, where | landscape impacts and set |
| landscape | • | Does it have a positive | | | | site selection can avoid BGI | out the framework for |
| character. | | impact on visual amenity? | | | | assets, there is greater | landscape protection within |
| | | , | | | | certainty that the overall | subsequent development |
| | | | | | | number of new homes will | plans, supplementary |
| | | | | | | have an effect on | planning documents and |
| | | | | | | landscape. Especially | masterplans. |
| | | | | | | within Gedling and | |
| | | | | | | Broxtowe where an | |
| | | | | | | increased housing target | |
| | | | | | | could only be | |
| | | | | | | accommodated through | |
| | | | | | | further greenfield releases. | |
| | | | | | | Option C is likely to have a | |
| | | | | | | greater adverse effect on | |
| | | | | | | landscapes due to the | |
| | | | | | | increase in housing | |
| | | | | | | development and likelihood | |
| | | | | | | that it will require more | |
| | | | | | | greenfield land. | |
| | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | A reduction in the housing | |
| | | | | | | target within Option B | |
| | | | | | | (considering Green Belt and | |
| | | | | | | other environmental | |
| | | | | | | constraints) will have a | |
| | | | | | | minor positive effect on this | |
| | | | | | | objective. | |
| | | | | | | Option A would result in the | |
| | | | | | | loss of some greenfield land | |
| | | | | | | ioss of some greenileid famo | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | and is therefore minor | |
| | | | | | negative. | |

| 15. Built and |
|--------------------|
| Historic |
| Environment |

To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage.

- Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character?
- Will it conserve and enhance designated and nondesignated heritage assets and their setting?
- Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities?
- Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment?
- Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk?
- Will it foster heritage-led regeneration?
- Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism?

An increased housing target may require greater densities and loss of amenity open spaces within urban areas. The townscapes have the potential to be adversely affected. In addition, the character of outlying settlements (notably within Gedling) would be adversely affected by significant levels of housing that cannot be accommodated within the urban area.

However, impacts on specific heritage assets will depend on the locations and proposals of new development. It is recognised that the locations or number of homes could be limited due to heritage assets nearby. There may be opportunities for heritage-led regeneration projects to include housing.

Strategic policies should ensure developments protect and enhance the historic environment, foster heritage led regeneration and promotes sustainable tourism.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|--|------------|
| | | | | | Regarding the managing of assets, encouraging access, regeneration and heritage-based tourism, the effects of all three options on this objective are uncertain or neutral and achieving these aims will depend on the sites selected and heritage policies within the strategic plan. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | | | | Whichever option is selected, housing supply within the plan area will include significant quantities of greenfield land, some of which will be best and most versatile. Therefore, all are considered minor negative. Option C will result in more greenfield land and best and most versatile land. It will also result in more waste being generated from more households. Whilst Option B will result in less greenfield land and best and most versatile land being lost, losses will still occur. It will also still result in an increase in waste being generated. Option A would also result in the loss of some greenfield land and best and most versatile land. | Strategic sites should avoid best and most versatile land and policies should discourage the use of such land. Policies should promote the use of sustainable design and construction. |

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

| Policy 3: Housing Targets, Distribution and Strategic Sites | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Delivering the Identified Housing Targets | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A - Deliver the identified housing targets by allocating additional strategic sites. | ++ | + | + | + | + | ? | + | ? | - | ? | ? | ? | ? | - | ? | ? |
| B - Deliver the identified housing targets by allocating sites through future plan preparation. | + | ? | ? | ++ | ? | ? | ? | - | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | + | ? | + |
| C - Deliver the identified housing targets within a mix of strategic sites (in the Strategic Plan) and non-strategic sites (in Part 2 Local Plans and through future plan preparation) | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ? | + | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? |
| Redistribution of Nottingham City's Need | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A - Nottingham City to meet their own housing need (as determined by the Government's standard method) including the 35% uplift (applied for those urban local authorities in the top 20 cities and urban centres list). | ++ | - | - | ? | - | 0 | ? | ++ | ++ | | | ? | - | + | - | - |
| B - Nottingham City's unmet need split and included within Broxtowe, Gedling and Rushcliffe's housing targets. | ++ | ? | - | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | | 1 | - 1 | ? | - | - | ? | - |
| C - Nottingham City to meet as much of the 35% uplift as possible and any unmet need is not redistributed to Broxtowe, Gedling and Rushcliffe housing targets. | + | ? | 0 | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | + | - | - | ? | + | + | ? | + |

| Housing Need and Targets | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A - Use standard method as a minimum for housing targets. (NB this is medium growth option and may require land release from the Green Belt). | + | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | - | , | , | , | ? | - | - | - |
| B - Reduce the amount of housing required in order to avoid the loss of Green Belt, reduce harm to environmental assets and deliver a sustainable distribution of development. | | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | + | + | , | , | ? | + | + | - |
| C - Increase the minimum amount of housing required, if justified by using an alternative methodology to the standard method for calculating housing need. This alternative method would consider demographic trends, market signals and economic forecasts. | ++ | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | - | , | 1 | 1 | ? | - | - | |

CONCLUSIONS

The appraisal of Policy 3 (Housing Target, Distribution and Strategic Sites) looked at three key issues and reasonable alternatives. First, the delivery of housing either through the Strategic Plan, Part 2 Plans, through future plan preparation or a combination of plans; second, the redistribution of City's unmet housing need; and third, the methodology underpinning the housing need and the housing target within the strategy.

Delivering the identified housing

Regarding which local plan the housing target should be delivered within, overall, because of the uncertainty of where development would be allocated in either the strategic plan or through future plan preparation, there was a considerable amount of uncertainty as to the effects of each alternative upon the environmental objectives. However, Option B (delivery within Part 2 plans or through future plan preparation) did score positively against the landscape and natural resources objectives as it was likely there is greater flexibility when identifying non-strategic sites through future plan preparation. Options A (delivery within the Strategic Plan) and C (combination of delivery within the Strategic Plan and through future plan preparation) scored positively against the housing, economic, health and social inclusion objectives. Option B was uncertain or unknown as non-strategic sites will not be of a scale to deliver services, facilities and infrastructure on site. Options A and B did have negative effects on transport (Option B), brownfield land and landscape objectives (Option A). Specifically, Option A would, by virtue of the size of strategic sites be less likely to avoid greenfield sites or mitigate their landscape impacts.

In conclusion, notwithstanding the inherent uncertainty of all options, Option C, a mix of sites delivered through the strategic plan and through future plan preparation avoided any negative effects and had more major positive effects.

Redistribution of Nottingham City's Need

The appraisal of the three options highlights the negatives effect of Option B (redistributing the City's unmet need between the neighbouring plan making authorities) upon 7 of the 16 SA objectives. Most notably on the environmental objectives, where it would have a major negative effect on objectives that promote the use of brownfield land, address energy and climate change, reduce pollution and improve air quality. These negative effects reflect the loss of greenfield land and wider distribution of development, in less sustainable locations, that is likely to occur. Similarly, Option A (Nottingham City meeting their need, including the 35% uplift) would have major negative effects on energy and climate change and pollution and climate change objectives. Overall Option A is determined to have a negative effect on 8 of the objectives, as it will likely result in the loss of employment land and open green spaces and adversely affect the townscapes within Nottingham City's urban area. However, the increased number of homes being delivered within Nottingham City does have a major positive effect on transport and brownfield objectives.

In summary, Option C has less adverse effects on the SA's objectives, with no major adverse effects. The least sustainable option is Option B as this would result in a more unsustainable pattern of development.

Housing Need and Targets

Broadly, the effects of all three options upon the SA objectives are similar. Notably their effects upon economic, shopping centres, health and well-being, community safety, social inclusion and transport objectives are either uncertain or unknown as effects will depend on the location of development.

There is greater certainty that meeting the housing needs, either set by the standard method (Option A) or an increased need using a different methodology (Option C), is likely to have an adverse effect upon environmental objectives. Notably, Option C and an increase in housing need and target would, due to increased land requirements, have an adverse effect on many of these objectives.

Conversely, and unsurprisingly, reducing the target to avoid the loss of Green Belt and reduce harm to environmental assets has less adverse effects on the environmental objectives. However, a reduction in the housing would have a major negative effect on the housing objective.

Whilst meeting need identified through the standard method would have negative effects, a sustainable distribution of development and sensitive site selection (avoiding environmental or heritage assets, or sensitive townscapes or landscapes) could mitigate these effects.

POLICY 4: THE GREEN BELT

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Is it a realistic alternative? |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Retain the existing approach as set out in the Core Strategies which retains the principle of the Green Belt and reviews the Green Belt boundaries to meet development requirements. | Yes |
| Retain the existing approach as set out in the Core Strategies but include compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt land where Green Belt land has been lost. | Yes |
| Retain the existing approach as set out in the Core Strategies but include the designation of additional safeguarded land. | Yes |
| Retain the existing Green Belt and do not undertake a review of Green Belt boundaries. | Yes |

POLICY 4: THE GREEN BELT

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Retain the existing approach as set out in the Core Strategies which retains the principle of the Green Belt and reviews the Green Belt boundaries to meet development requirements.
- B- Retain the existing approach as set out in the Core Strategies but include compensatory improvements to the environmental quality and accessibility of remaining Green Belt land where Green Belt land has been lost.
- C Retain the existing approach as set out in the Core Strategies but include the designation of additional safeguarded land.
- D Retain the existing Green Belt and do not undertake a review of Green Belt boundaries.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|----|----|---|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | ++ | + | | Options A to C would provide the mechanism to review Green Belt boundaries to meet development requirements which would include meeting housing need. Additional safeguarded land would provide potential flexibility but this would be beyond the plan period. Option D would reduce the ability to meet housing needs. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | ? | ? | ? | | It is considered that all options would have an uncertain impact on this objective. It would depend on the type and location of development. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | ++ | ++ | | Options A to C would provide the mechanism to review Green Belt boundaries to meet development requirements which would include providing the land required to meet economic and business need. Option D would reduce the ability to meet economic and business needs. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|----|---|---|--|---|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ? | ? | ? | ? | It is considered that all options would have an uncertain impact on this objective. It would depend on the location and type of development and whether this resulted in benefits to existing shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | ? | ++ | ? | ? | of development. Option D is considered uncertain as it is unlikely to result in improvements to health, open space although it may retain food growing opportunities. Option B would include improvements to accessibility of Green Belt land which would increase opportunities for recreational activities and may also include provision of open space. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime?Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | It is considered that all options would have no implications for the objective. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | It is considered that Options A, C and D would have no implications for the objective. Option B may provide access to community activities but this is considered to be uncertain. | Ensure compensatory measures include consideration for how improved access can facilitate social inclusion opportunities. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | | | | | | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ? | ? | ? | ? | It is considered that all options would have an uncertain impact on this objective. It would depend on the location of development. | Ensure consideration is given to proximity to public transport and to existing facilities and services. |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | ? | ? | ? | ? | It is considered that all options would have an uncertain impact on this objective. Option D may result in a greater focus on brownfield land. However, there is also brownfield land within the Green Belt which may be released as part of a review. Therefore, the impact is considered to be uncertain for all options. | Ensure consideration is given to brownfield land within the Green Belt when reviewing Green Belt boundaries. |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? | ? | ? | ? | ? | It is considered that all options would have an uncertain impact on this objective. It depends on the type and location of the development. Option B, which includes compensatory improvements to environmental | Ensure that compensatory measures include improving resiliance of biodiversity to climate change. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Commentary | Mitigation |
|----------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|
| and encourage | Will it support the | | | | | quality, may increase resilience of | |
| nature-based | generation and use of | | | | | biodiversity to climate change but this | |
| solutions to climate | renewable energy? | | | | | is considered to be uncertain. | |
| change. | Will it support the | | | | | | |
| | development of community | | | | | | |
| | energy systems? | | | | | | |
| | Will it ensure that | | | | | | |
| | buildings are able to deal with | | | | | | |
| | future changes in climate? | | | | | | |
| | Will it reduce greenhouse | | | | | | |
| | gas emissions or promote | | | | | | |
| | sequestration of carbon? | | | | | | |
| | Will it increase the | | | | | | |
| | resilience of biodiversity to | | | | | | |
| | climate change? | | | | | | |
| | Will it help people adapt to alimete shangs? | | | | | | |
| | to climate change? • Will it maintain or increase | | | | | | |
| | the provision of ecosystem | | | | | | |
| | services on which local | | | | | | |
| | people depend, including | | | | | | |
| | water, food, and materials, | | | | | | |
| | now and under future | | | | | | |
| | climates? | | | | | | |
| | Will it lead to the | | | | | | |
| | displacement of emissions to | | | | | | |
| | another location? | | | | | | |
| 11. Pollution and | Will it increase levels of | ? | ? | ? | ? | It is considered that all options would | Ensure consideration is |
| Air Quality | air, noise and other types of | | | | | have an uncertain impact on this | given to pollution and air |
| To manage air | pollution? | | | | | objective. Development may increase | quality, for example |
| quality and | | | | | | air, noise and other types of pollution | through considering |
| minimise the risk | | | | | | but this depends on the type and | proximity to public |
| posed by air, noise | | | | | | location of development. | transport links and |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|------------|---|--|--|
| and other types of pollution. | | | | | | | accessibility to facilities and services. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ? | ? | ? | | It is considered that all options would have an uncertain impact on this objective. Option B may include improving to water quality through environmental quality improvements but this is considered to be uncertain. Option D may direct development towards areas of higher flood risk. | Ensure consideration is given to flood risk when considering Green Belt boundaries. Ensure compensatory improvements consider water quality. |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green | ? | + | ? · | | It is considered that Options A and C would have an uncertain impact on this objective. Removing land from the Green Belt for development or designating additional safeguarded land may have an impact on the natural environment, biodiversity and blue-green infrastructure but this depends on the location and characteristics of the development site. Option B would include compensatory improvements which would improve environmental quality and accessibility. Option D is more likely to protect and conserve biodiversity, blue and green infrastructure and the natural | Ensure consideration is given to the natural environment, biodiversity and blue-green infrastructure when considering Green Belt boundaries. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | | | environment but is unlikely to lead to any improvements. | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | ? | ? | + | It is considered that Options A, B and C would have an uncertain impact on this objective. Removing land from the Green Belt for development may have an impact on landscape but this depends on the location and character of the landscape. Option D is more likely to protect existing landscape character. | given to landscape character and quality when considering Green Belt boundaries. |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? | ? | ? | ? | ? | It is considered that all options would have an uncertain impact on this objective. Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns is one of the five purposes of the Green Belt and should be taken into account as part of reviewing Green Belt boundaries or designating additional safeguarded land. However, more development in town and cities may also have an impact on the built and historic environment. | Ensure consideration is given to preserving the setting and special character of historic towns. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|----|---|---|
| | Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ? | ? | ? | ++ | It is considered that Options A, B and C would have an uncertain impact on this objective as it would depend on which areas of Green Belt were removed and the type of development. Option D is likely to protect agricultural land and prevent loss of greenfield. | Consider whether the land is the best and most versatile agricultural land and consider whether the land is greenfield or brownfield when considering Green Belt boundaries and the designation of additional safeguarded land. |

SUMMARY TABLE

| Policy 4: Green Belt | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Option A – Retain existing ACS approach | + | ? | ++ | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Option B – Include compensatory improvements | ++ | ? | ++ | ? | ++ | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | + | ? | ? | ? |
| Option C – Designate additional safeguarded land | ‡ | ? | ++ | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Option D - Retain the existing Green Belt and do not undertake a review of Green Belt boundaries. | 1 | ? | 1 | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | + | + | ? | ++ |

CONCLUSIONS

Four options were considered as part of the appraisal.

Options A, B and C scored major positives for Objectives 1 and 3 as they would provide the mechanism to review Green Belt boundaries to meet development requirements for housing and economic development. However, Option D scored major negatives for these Objectives as it would reduce the likelihood of housing and economic needs being met.

For Objectives 2, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 15 the impact was considered uncertain for all Options as it would depend on the type and location of development. For Objective 7, Options A, C and D were considered to have no impact but Option B was an uncertain as it may provide access to community facilities which may help social inclusion.

Option B scored a major positive for Objective 5 as it would include improvements to accessibility of Green Belt land which would increase opportunities for recreational activities and have health and wellbeing benefits.

Option B scored a minor positive for Objective 13 as it would include compensatory improvements which would improve environmental quality and accessibility. Option D also scored a minor positive as it would be more likely to protect and conserve biodiversity, blue and green infrastructure and the natural environment.

Option D score a minor positive for Objective 14 as it would be likely to protect existing landscape character. Options A, B and C were uncertain as removing land from the Green Belt for development may have an impact on landscape but this depends on the location and character of the landscape.

For Objective 16, Option D scored a major positive as it is likely to protect agricultural land and prevent loss of greenfield land. It is considered that Options A, B and C would have an uncertain impact on this objective as it would depend on which areas of Green Belt were removed and the type of development.

POLICY 5: EMPLOYMENT PROVISION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Reasonable Alternative (Yes or |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| | No) |
| Do not have a policy | No |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy by | Yes |
| providing sites that are attractive to the market in terms of | |
| accessibility, environmental quality and size and establish the | |
| minimum amount of employment land and office space required | |
| as set out in the Employment Land Study 2021. | |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy by | Yes |
| establishing the minimum amount of employment land and office | |
| space required and amend policy to include a criteria-based | |
| policy approach for the determination of strategic employment | |
| sites for logistics and distribution. Office Development | |
| <u> </u> | Vac |
| Retain the existing policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategy which focuses office development in Nottingham | Yes |
| City Centre and in Sustainable Urban Extensions and at Toton. | |
| Retain the existing policy approach as set out in the Aligned | Yes |
| Core Strategy and amend policy to focus office development in | 165 |
| Nottingham City Centre only. | |
| Retain the existing policy approach as set out in the Aligned | Yes |
| Core Strategy and amend policy to focus office development at | 163 |
| Sustainable Urban Extensions only. | |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and | Yes |
| amend policy to focus office development at Toton only. | |
| Retain policy approach withing the Aligned Core Strategy which | Yes |
| also places emphasis on office development for the science and | |
| knowledge-based economy.—Allocate land specifically to meet | |
| the needs of high technology users and the use of partnership | |
| models such as Medi-City and Bio-City. | |
| Sites | |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and | Yes |
| amend to promote sites including Toton and Ratcliffe-on-Soar | |
| Power Station for research, science and knowledge-based | |
| businesses. | |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and | Yes |
| amend to refer to the need for a wide range of sites especially | |
| for small medium enterprises including start up and incubator | |
| space. Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy which | Yes |
| safeguards good quality existing employment sites and releases | 163 |
| the sites that do not meet the criteria. | |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and | Yes |
| amend policy to refer to the need to safeguard poor quality | |
| employment sites for regeneration purposes. | |
| Distribution and Logistics | |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

| Options | Reasonable Alternative (Yes or No) |
|---|--|
| Retain existing policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and in terms of strategic logistics uses, identify sites which meet all of the identified need for such uses. | Yes |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and, in terms of strategic logistics uses, meet <u>none</u> of the need for such uses. | Yes |
| In addition to addressing need for strategic distribution and logistics uses, make provision for new strategic logistics development in accordance with the wider strategy i.e. based on urban concentration. | Yes |
| In addition to addressing the need for strategic distribution and logistics uses, make provision for new strategic distribution and logistics development in areas that have good access to road and rail infrastructure. | Yes |

POLICY 5: EMPLOYMENT LAND AND STRATEGIC APPROACH DELIVERING THE IDENTIFIED EMPLOYMENT TARGET

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Assess policy approach that provides sites that are attractive to the market in terms of accessibility, environmental quality and size and establish the minimum amount of employment land and office space required as set out in the Employment Land Study 2021.
- B Assess policy approach that establishes the minimum amount of employment land and office space required and amend policy to include a criteria-based policy approach for the determination of strategic employment sites for logistics and distribution.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|---|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | Options A and B are neutral as delivering land for employment and offices is unlikely to directly influence the housing stock. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | ++ | + | Both options address the strategic distribution of development across the plan area, including employment. Both options will deliver the required employment land. Option B includes the criteria for providing a strategic logistics sites. strategic priority. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|-----|---|---|--|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | (++ | + | Both options perform positively against this objective. Major positive for option A because the preferred approach on employment provision and economic development encourages economic growth and allocate strategic sites across the plan area which would provide land and buildings required by businesses. However, it is unclear for option B whether land and buildings will be of a type required by businesses. | Include policy criteria for option B to ensure the required infrastructure is provided |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | 0 | It is considered that this would have no implications for the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | ? | ? | Depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, where new economic development would be provided it may have some impacts on health and well-being. It is expected that large economic development including strategic sites would provide new open space or enhance the quality of existing open space or blue-green infrastructure which could provide opportunities for recreational physical activity | Ensure that new development does not affect access to health services and provide new open space or enhance the quality of existing open space or bluegreen infrastructure. Ensure that increased access to existing open space or bluegreen infrastructure does not cause harm to biodiversity if access is greater than the capacity that can be supported without disturbance to wildlife or damage to habitats. |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | Options A and B are neutral as delivering land for employment and offices is unlikely to directly influence community safety. | Policies should include criteria that ensure safe routes for employees to access new employment provision. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | 0 | 0 | It is considered that this would have no implications for the objective. | Ensure that new development does not affect existing cultural assets and facilities or provide opportunities for new assets and facilities. |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ? | ? | Depending on the location of provision, both options have the potential to use and/or develop the transport network to minimize impact on the environment. | Policy should maximise use of existing infrstructure. |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | ? | ? | Depending on the location of provision, both options have the potential to make efficient use of brownfield land. | Policy and site selection should maximise use of existing brownfield land. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | ? | ? | Depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, however where new economic development would be provided it may have some impacts on energy and climate change. | A policy would be required in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan relating to energy and climate change for new development in order to reduce energy usage. Water efficiency measures would need to be incorporated. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | ? | ? | Depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, however where new economic development would be provided it may have some impacts on pollution and air quality. | A policy would be required in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan relating to pollution and air quality for new development. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ? | ? | Depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, however where new economic development would be provided it may have some impacts on flooding and water quality. | A policy would be required in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan relating to flooding and water quality for new development, in particular to ensure new development is suitably located outside of flood risk areas, conserve water and avoid impact of new development upon water quality. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ? | ? | Depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, however where new economic development would be provided it may have some impacts on natural environment, biodiversity, blue-green infrastructure. | A policy would be required in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan to provide the protection and enhancement of biodiversity, protected species and blue-green infrastructure. Need to ensure that increased access to existing open space or blue-green infrastructure does not cause harm to biodiversity if access is greater than the capacity that can be supported without disturbance to wildlife or damage to habitats. |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | ? | Depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, however where new economic development would be provided it may have some impacts on landscape. , A policy would be required in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan to ensure new development does not cause harm to landscape character | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based | ? | ? | Depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, however where new economic development would be provided it may have some impacts on built and historic environment. It is recognised that the form and layout or size of economic development could be limited due to heritage assets nearby. There may be opportunities for heritage-led regeneration projects. | A policy would be required in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan to protect heritage assets from new development and include opportunities for enhancement of the historic environment. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ? | ? | Depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, which require land release from the greenfield land as not all could be provided on brownfield land. | Ensure the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques in new development and avoid loss of best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land to development. |

POLICY 5: EMPLOYMENT LAND AND STRATEGIC APPROACH OFFICE DEVELOPMENT

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- C Assess policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategies which focuses office development in Nottingham City Centre and in Sustainable Urban Extensions and at Toton.
- D Assess policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategies and amend policy to focus office development in Nottingham City Centre only.
- E Assess policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategies and amend policy to focus office development at Sustainable Urban Extensions only.
- F Assess policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategies and amend policy to focus office development at Toton only.
- G Assess policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategies which also places emphasis on office development for the science and knowledge-based economy. Allocate land specifically to meet the needs of high technology users and the use of partnership models such as Medi-City and Bio-City.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | С | D | Ε | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options are neutral as delivering land for employment and offices is unlikely to directly influence the housing stock. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + + | + + | + + | + + | + + | Major positive as all options encourage economic growth and allocate strategic sites across the plan area which would create new jobs, involving the diversity and quality of jobs. All options will deliver the required employment land for office use. Option G specifically seeks to meet the needs of the science and knowledge-based economy. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | С | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|------------|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + + | + + | + + | + + | + + | Major positive as all options encourage economic growth and allocate strategic sites across the plan area which would create new jobs, involving the diversity and quality of jobs. Option G specifically seeks to meet the needs of the science and knowledge-based economy. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | С | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|-----|-----|-----|---|--|------------|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ++ | + + | + + | + + | ? | Options C, D, E and F will deliver development in sustainable locations including the City Centre and in SUEs and therefore likely to support the vitality of existing and proposed shopping centres. Option G will have an uncertain impact as the focus is on the type of development delivered rather than the location, so development may not be focused on the city centre. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | С | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | Depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, where new economic development would be provided it may have some impacts on health and well-being. It is expected that large economic development including strategic sites would provide new open space or enhance the quality of existing open space or blue-green infrastructure which could provide opportunities for recreational physical activity. | Ensure that new development does not affect access to health services and provide new open space or enhance the quality of existing open space or blue-green infrastructure. Ensure that increased access to existing open space or blue-green infrastructure does not cause harm to biodiversity if access is greater than the capacity that can be supported without disturbance to wildlife or damage to habitats. |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options will have a neutral effect on this objective. Policies that manage the design of new development would have a greater impact on achieving this objective. | |

| SA objectives | Po | olicy criteria questions | С | D | Ε | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|----|---|-----|-----|---|---|---|--|---|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | • | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | For options C, D, E and F it is considered that there would have no implications for the objective. Option G will have an uncertain impact as the focus is on the type of development delivered rather than the location. | Strategic policy criteria should include requirements that developments deliver sustainable and prosperous communities. |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | • | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + + | + + | + | + | ? | Options C and D score major positive against this objective as they would utilise existing transport infrastructure within the City Centre. Option E and F would help develop transport networks in sustainable locations. Option G will have an uncertain impact as the focus is on the type of development delivered rather than the location. | Ensure that new development of a lesser scale is located close to existing transport infrastructure or a new transport network is established to minimise the impact on the environment. Ensure measures to encourage alternative modes of transport are in place and good accessibility to services and facilities. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | С | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| 9. Brownfield | Will it make efficient use of | + | + | 0 | 0 | ? | Options C and D refer to | Strategic policies should |
| Land | brownfield land? | + | + | | | | the City Centre which | ensure that impacts on |
| To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise | Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the | | | | | | have the potential to make use of brownfield land within the urban | biodiversity interests are minimized. |
| biodiversity value where appropriate. | site? | | | | | | area. | |
| where арргорнаю. | | | | | | | Options E and F are likely to result in the use of greenfield land. | |
| | | | | | | | Option G will have an | |
| | | | | | | | uncertain impact as the | |
| | | | | | | | focus is on the type of | |
| | | | | | | | development delivered | |
| | | | | | | | rather than the location. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | С | D | Ε | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | ? | ? | ? | ? | + | All options relate to the location of development rather than design. Option G relates to meeting the needs of high technology users and is most likely to address the policy criteria. | A policy would be required in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan relating to energy and climate change for new development in order to reduce energy usage. Water efficiency measures would need to be incorporated. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | С | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 | Options C, D, E and F relate to the location rather than the nature of development. Option G relates to meeting the needs of high technology users and is least likely to increase levels of pollution. | A policy would be required in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan relating to pollution and air quality for new development. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | - | - | ? | ? | ? | Options C and D would direct development to locations within the main urban area, significant areas of which are within Flood Zone 3 (although protected by flood defenses). Options E and F's effects on this objective will depend on the locations of development. Option G will also have an uncertain impact as the focus is on the type of development delivered rather than the location. | A policy would be required in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan relating to flooding and water quality for new development, in particular to ensure new development is suitably located outside of flood risk areas, conserve water and avoid impact of new development upon water quality. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | C | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | Options C, D, E and F relate to the broad location of new development. Whilst locations within the main built up area would be less likely to contain woodland or green space, levels of biodiversity could still be high depending on the nature of the site. Development of greenfield sites at sustainable urban extensions could reduce the loss of greenfield land and priority habitats. Option G will also have an uncertain impact as the focus is on the type of development delivered rather than the location. | Strategic policies should embed BGI within development requirements. This should optimize opportunities to deliver environmental net-gain, recreational open space and the other benefits that BGI brings. Priority habitats should be created, connected protected and enhanced to improve the ecological network. |

| Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? Plandscape character. Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? Plandscape character, the impact on visual amenity will depend on the specific site for development. Options C, D, E and F relate to the broad location of new development. Whilst locations within the main built up area would be less likely to impact on landscape character, the impact on visual amenity will depend on the specific site for development. Option G will also have an uncertain impact as the focus is on the type of development delivered rather than the |
|---|
| l location. amenity. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | С | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | Options C, D, E and F relate to the broad location of new development. All locations have the potential to impact on townscapes and the setting of settlements depending on the site selected. Option G will also have an uncertain impact as the focus is on the type of development delivered rather than the location. | All options would benefit from strategic policies which address the protection and enhancement of local character, distinctiveness and heritage assets |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | С | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | + | + | ? | ? | ? | Options C and D would direct development to locations within the main urban area, least likely to comprise the best and most versatile agricultural land and the loss of greenfield land. Options E and F's effects on this objective will depend on the locations of development. Option G will also have an uncertain impact as the focus is on the type of development delivered rather than the location. | All options would benefit from strategic policies which address the use of raw materials, promote sustainable design and construction and the protection of best and most versatile land. |

POLICY 5: EMPLOYMENT LAND AND STRATEGIC APPROACH SITES

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- H Assess policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategies and amend to promote sites including Toton and Ratcliffe-on-Soar Power Station for research, science and knowledge-based businesses.
- I Assess policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategies and amend to refer to the need for a wide range of sites especially for small medium enterprises including start up and incubator space.
- J Assess policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategies which safeguards good quality existing employment sites and releases the sites that do not meet the criteria.
- K Assess policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategies and amend policy to refer to the need to safeguard poor quality employment sites for regeneration purposes.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Н | I | J | K | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options are neutral as delivering land for employment and offices is unlikely to directly influence the housing stock. | |

| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + + | + + | + | + | Options H and I seek to improve the diversity and quality of jobs. All options have the potential to reduce unemployment. | |
|--|---|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | + + | + | | Options H and I promotes sites for specific forms of development, option H specifically focusing on high knowledge sectors. Option J safeguards good quality sites that are likely to be attractive to business. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | It's considered that none of the options would impact on this objective. | A policy would be required in the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan to ensure the vitality of existing centres not affected by new development. |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | For options H and I, depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic | In order to improve the spatial strategy's benefits to health and wellbeing, the chose approach should incorporate |

| reduce health inequalities. | Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | | | | sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, where new economic development would be provided it may have some impacts on health and well-being. Options J and K are neutral as are unlikely to directly influence health and wellbeing. | requirements that development creates, protects and enhances blue and green infrastructure and that health facilities are provided where necessary. |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | + | - | Options H and I will have a neutral effect on this objective. Option J releases poor quality employment sites that may be contributing to an unsafe built environment. Option K specifically safeguards these sites and therefore scores a single negative. | Strategic policy criteria should include criteria that address design and layout, providing safe communities. |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options are neutral as are unlikely to directly influence social inclusion | Strategic policy criteria should include requirements that developments deliver sustainable and prosperous communities. |

| improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----|---|-----|-----|---|--|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | Option H is likely to have a negative impact as it promotes sites for development away from existing transport infrastructure around the city centre. Options I, J and K are neutral. | Option H could be mitigated by development transport infrastructure to minimise impact on the environment. |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + + | 0 | + + | + + | Option H directs new employment to Ratcliffe on Soar being a brownfield site. Option I is likely to have a neutral impact on reusing brownfield land. Option J releases poor quality employment land for other uses. Option K similarly safeguards poor quality employment land for regeneration. Both | Policy criteria should minimize the impact on biodiversity. |

| | | | | | | would have a positive impact on this criteria. | |
|--|--|----|---|---|---|--|--|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | ++ | + | 0 | 0 | Depending on the nature of new employment provision, it is likely that provision will result in additional energy use. There are opportunities for new provision through Options H and I to support renewable energy generation. Option H also relates to meeting the needs of high technology users and is most likely to address the policy criteria. | Policies within the Strategic Plan should improve the energy efficiency of new buildings, and renewable energy generation. |

| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | 0 | 0 | + | ? | Option H relates to meeting the needs of high technology users and is least likely to increase levels of pollution. Option I promotes start up and incubator space, which is also less likely to |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | increase levels of pollution. Option J releases poor quality employment |
| | | | | | | sites which is likely to improve pollution levels. Option K safeguards these sites for regeneration which would have an uncertain impact. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or | 0 | ? | ? | ? | Option H will have a neutral impact as any development in these locations will be required to not worsen the risk of flooding. Option I's effects on this objective will depend on the location of development. Options J and K G will |

| | potential of onsite watercourses? | | | | | also have an uncertain impact as it will depend on the location of sites and the nature of their reuse. | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | + | ? | ? | ? | The effects of Options I, J and K on this objective will depend on the locations of development and the policy criteria. For option H, the focus on Toton and Ratcliffe on Soar would have a minor positive impact on the natural environment. Being part of a SUE there would be opportunities to provide new open space and improve GBI. | Strategic policies should embed BGI within development requirements. This should optimize opportunities to deliver environmental net-gain, active travel, recreational open space and the other benefits that BGI brings. |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | Option H would have a neutral impact on the built and historic environment. The impact of Option I is uncertain depending on the sites selected. Poor quality employment sites are | Informed by site appraisals, strategic site policies in the Strategic Plan should promote landscape protection and enhancement. Landscape protection and enhancement policy criteria should be |

| | | | | | | more likely to be within the existing built up area and hence their redevelopment through options J and K would have a neutral impact on landscape. | included in the Strategic Plan. Landscape and visual impacts of development in urban fringe locations could be reduced by integrating it with the existing urban area and by avoiding fringe locations that have sensitive landscape features and/or are valued for their visual amenity. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage | 0 | ? | ? | ? | Option H would have a neutral impact on the built and historic environment. By redeveloping existing sites, options J and K would have an uncertain impact on the built and historic environment, depending on the nature of new development. Option I is also uncertain, depending on the sites selected. | All options would benefit from strategic policies which address the protection and enhancement of local character, distinctiveness and heritage assets |

| | assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----|---|-----|-----|---|---|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | + + | ? | + + | + + | Option H promotes high tech industries, more likely to promote sustainable design and construction techniques, and also includes the redevelopment of Ratcliffe on Soar, thereby protecting BMV and avoiding the loss of greenfield sites. The impact of option I is uncertain depending on the location of sites selected. Options J and K will positively impact on this objective as they reuse employment site for other purposes, thereby protecting BMV and avoiding the loss of greenfield land. | All options would benefit from strategic policies which address the use of raw materials, promote sustainable design and construction and the protection of best and most versatile land. |

POLICY 5: EMPLOYMENT LAND AND STRATEGIC APPROACH DISTRIBUTION AND LOGISTICS

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- L Retain existing policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and in terms of strategic logistics uses, identify sites which meet all of the identified need for such uses.
- M retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and, in terms of strategic logistics uses, meet none of the need for such uses.
- N In addition to addressing need for strategic distribution and logistics uses, make provision for new strategic logistics development in accordance with the wider strategy i.e. based on urban concentration.
- O In addition to addressing the need for strategic distribution and logistics uses, make provision for new strategic distribution and logistics development in areas that have good access to road and rail infrastructure.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | L | M | N | 0 | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options are neutral as delivering land for employment and offices is unlikely to directly influence the housing stock. | |

| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | All options increase employment opportunities. Options L, N and O also meet the need for strategic distribution and logistics sites. | |
|--|---|----|----|----|----|---|---|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | All options perform positively against this objective. Major positive for options A, C and D because the approach on employment provision and economic development encourages economic growth and allocate strategic sites across the plan area which would provide land and buildings required by businesses. Option B provides for new employment development, just not for strategic logistics uses, so still has a major positive impact. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | It's considered that none of the options | A policy would be required in the Greater |

| Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | centre, district centre or local centre? | | | | | would impact on this objective. | Nottingham Strategic Plan to ensure the vitality of existing centres not affected by new development. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | ? | ? | ? | ? | All options deliver new employment development. As such, depending on the form and layout of new economic development including strategic sites, existing allocations in Part 2 Local Plans and existing planning commitments, where new economic development would be provided it may have some impacts on health and well-being. | In order to improve the spatial strategy's benefits to health and wellbeing, the chose approach should incorporate requirements that development creates, protects and enhances blue and green infrastructure and that health facilities are provided where necessary. |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options will have a neutral effect on this objective. | Strategic policy criteria should include criteria that address design and layout, providing safe communities. |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options are neutral as are unlikely to directly influence social inclusion | Strategic policy criteria should include requirements that developments deliver |

| development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | | | | | | sustainable and prosperous communities. |
|--|--|---|---|---|----|---|---|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ? | ? | + | ++ | Neither options L or M refer to location and the impact is therefore uncertain. Both N and O have the opportunity to make efficient use of existing infrastructure in the city centre or elsewhere, but the specific reference in option O to infrastructure that is particularly suitable for logistics development results in a higher score. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | ? | ? | ? | ? | Neither options L and M refer to location and the impact on brownfield sites are therefore uncertain. | |

| biodiversity value where appropriate. | | | | | | The impact of options N and O depends on the sites selected so will again be uncertain. | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? | ? | ? | ? | ? | Depending on the nature of new employment provision, it is likely that provision will result in additional energy use. | Policies within the Strategic Plan should improve the energy efficiency of new buildings, and renewable energy generation. |

| | Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | ? | 0 | - | 0 | Option M would result in less new development (no strategic logistics) being delivered so the impact would be less. Option N is likely to have the greatest impact on existing areas of congestion and pollution around the city centre. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ? | ? | - | ? | Option N is likely to have the greatest impact on existing areas with a higer risk of flooding around the city centre. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? | ? | ? | ? | ? | The effect of all four options is uncertain as locations are either | Strategic policies should embed BGI within development requirements. This |

| Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | | | unspecified or specific sites are unknown. | should optimize opportunities to deliver environmental net-gain, active travel, recreational open space and the other benefits that BGI brings. |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | ? | ? | ? | The effect of all four options is uncertain as locations are either unspecified or specific sites are unknown. | |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local | ? | ? | ? | ? | The effect of all four options is uncertain as locations are either unspecified or specific sites are unknown. | |

| and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ? | ? | ? | ? | The effect of all four options is uncertain as locations are either unspecified or specific sites are unknown. | |

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS OF APPRAISALS

| Policy 5 – Employment Land and Strategic Approach | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| A – Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy by providing sites that are attractive to the market in terms of accessibility, environmental quality and size and establish the minimum amount of employment land and office space required as set out in the Employment Land Study 2021. | 0 | ++ | ++ | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| B – Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy by establishing the minimum amount of employment land and office space required and amend policy to include a criteria-based policy approach for the determination of strategic employment sites for logistics and distribution. | 0 | ++ | + | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Office development | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C - Retain the existing policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategy which focuses office development in Nottingham | 0 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ? | 0 | 0 | ++ | ++ | ? | ? | - | ? | ? | ? | + |

| City Centre and in_Sustainable Urban Extensions and at Toton. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----|----|----|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| D - Retain the existing policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategy and amend policy to focus office development in Nottingham City Centre only. | 0 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ? | 0 | 0 | ++ | ++ | ? | ? | | ? | ? | ? | + |
| E - Retain the existing policy approach as set out in the Aligned Core Strategy and amend policy to focus office development at Sustainable Urban Extensions only. | 0 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ? | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| F - Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and amend policy to focus office development at Toton only. | 0 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ? | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| G - Retain policy approach withing the Aligned Core Strategy which also places emphasis on office development for the science and knowledge-based economy. Allocate land specifically to meet the needs of high technology users and the use of partnership models such as Medi-City and Bio-City. | 0 | ++ | ++ | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | ? | + | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Sites | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| H - Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and amend to promote sites including Toton and Ratcliffe-on-Soar Power Station for | 0 | ++ | ++ | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | - | ++ | ++ | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | ++ |

| research, science and knowledge-based businesses. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| I - Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and amend to refer to the need for a wide range of sites especially for small medium enterprises including start up and incubator space. | 0 | ++ | ++ | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| J - Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy which safeguards good quality existing employment sites and releases the sites that do not meet the criteria. | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | ++ | 0 | + | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ++ |
| K - Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and amend policy to refer to the need to safeguard poor quality employment sites for regeneration purposes. | 0 | + | ? | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | ++ | 0 | ? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ++ |
| Distribution and Logistics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| L - Retain existing policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and in terms of strategic logistics uses, identify sites which meet <u>all</u> of the identified need for such uses. | 0 | ++ | ++ | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| M - Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy and, in terms of | 0 | ++ | ++ | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |

| strategic logistics uses, meet <u>none</u> of the need for such uses. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| N - In addition to addressing need for strategic distribution and logistics uses, make provision for new strategic logistics development in accordance with the wider strategy i.e. based on urban concentration. | 0 | ++ | ++ | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | + | ? | ? | - | - | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| 0 - In addition to addressing the_need for strategic distribution and logistics uses, make provision for new strategic distribution and logistics development in areas that have good access to road and rail infrastructure. | 0 | ++ | ++ | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | ++ | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |

CONCLUSIONS

The appraisal of alternative approaches to Policy 1 has highlighted that all options would have a positive impact on several of the objectives, particularly those that related to employment land. Due to the uncertainty around sites, a criteria based approach to delivering strategic warehousing and logistics scores less well than the identification of sites but this could be addressed by appropriate mitigation, namely setting clear criteria the minimise negative impacts.

All options relating to new office development score well, particularly those that focus new development in the City Centre, SUEs and Toton. Mitigation should be put in place to address potential flooding issues.

In terms of the four options that refer to sites, the assessment indicates that all options would have a generally positive impact. Promoting sites including Toton and Radcliffe on Soar power station scores particularly well, given the nature of those sites, when compared to a more general option of promoting a wide range of sites for small and medium enterprises. Retaining poor quality employment sites scores less well than redeveloping these sites, particularly in terms of the impact on community safety and air quality.

In relation to distribution and logistics, both options are scored the same. However, option L, meeting all identified need for logistics, would have greater positive impact on the delivery employment land. In terms of where logistics development is located, whilst both options N and O have the potential to locate new development in areas served by existing infrastructure option O would better meet the needs of logistics development by potentially being located away from the city centre (minimising impact on pollution and flood risk) and benefitting from good access to the road and rail network.

POLICY 6: NOTTINGHAM CITY CENTRE

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Is it a realistic alternative? |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Retain the scope of the policy established | Yes |
| through the existing Aligned Core Strategy. | |
| Increasing retail and leisure floorspace, mixed | |
| use redevelopment and expansion of Broad | |
| Marsh and Victoria Centres, safeguarding | |
| shopping frontages (ensuring primary | |
| frontages are predominantly retail), | |
| integrating new retail into these frontages. | |
| The Strategies also encourage the | |
| development of offices, new hotels, and | |
| creating an inclusive and safe City Centre | |
| which is the focus for public transport and | |
| sustainable transport modes. | |
| Amend the existing policy to allow for greater | Yes |
| flexibility in uses, encouraging a mix of non- | |
| retail uses including on the shopping | |
| frontages. | |
| Do not have a policy | No |

POLICY 6: NOTTINGHAM CITY CENTRE

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Retain the scope of the policy established through the existing Aligned Core Strategy. Increasing retail and leisure floorspace, mixed use redevelopment and expansion of the Broad Marsh and Victoria Centres, safeguarding shopping frontages (ensuring primary frontages are predominantly retail), integrating new retail into these frontages. The Strategies also encourage the development of offices, new hotels, and creating an inclusive and safe City Centre which is the focus for public transport and sustainable transport modes.
- B Amend the existing policy to allow for greater flexibility in uses, encouraging a mix of non-retail uses including on the shopping frontages.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|----|---|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | ++ | There is more potential for housing if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | ++ | There is more potential for employment if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? | + | ++ | There is more potential for employment if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| | T | | | - | - |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---|----|------------------------------|---|
| support the use of | Will it provide the required | | | | |
| new technologies. | infrastructure? | | | | |
| 4. Shopping | Will it encourage the vitality of | + | ++ | There is more potential | |
| Centres | the city centre, town centre, | | | for more vitality if a | |
| Increase the | district centre or local centre? | | | greater diversity of uses is | |
| vitality and | | | | encouraged | |
| viability of existing | | | | | |
| shopping centres. | | | | | |
| 5. Health and | Will it reduce health | 0 | + | There is more potential | |
| Well Being | inequalities? | | | for health uses if a greater | |
| To improve health | Will it improve access to health | | | diversity of uses is | |
| and well-being | services? | | | encouraged | |
| and reduce health | Will it increase the opportunities | | | | |
| inequalities. | for recreational physical | | | | |
| | activity? | | | | |
| | Will it provide new open space | | | | |
| | or improve the quality of existing | | | | |
| | open space? | | | | |
| | Will it improve access to local | | | | |
| | food growing opportunities? | | | | |
| 6. Community | Will it reduce crime and the fear | + | ++ | Increasing diversity of | |
| Safety | of crime? | | | uses, including residential | |
| To improve | Will it contribute to a safe | | | development will increase | |
| community safety, | secure built environment? | | | activities throughout the | |
| reduce crime and | | | | day and during the night. | |
| the fear of crime. | | | | This will increase security. | |
| 7. Social | Will it protect and enhance | + | ++ | There is more potential | |
| Inclusion | existing cultural assets? | | | for social inclusion if a | |
| To promote and | Will it improve access to, | | | greater diversity of uses is | |
| support the | encourage engagement with | | | encouraged | |
| development and | | | | | |

| growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | | | | |
|--|---|---|----|---|--|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + | ++ | There is more potential to reduce journeys by private car if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + | ++ | There is more potential for new uses and regeneration of brownfield land if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | + | ++ | There is more potential for open space e.g. a 'green heart' if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged. There is more potential for linked trips and reduced use of the car if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |
|--|---|---|----|--|--|
| 11. Pollution and | Will it increase levels of air, | 0 | + | There is more potential | |
| Air Quality | noise and other types of | | | for open space e.g. a | |
| To manage air quality and | pollution? | | | 'green heart' if a greater diversity of uses is | |

| | T | l . | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----|---|----------------------------|--|
| minimise the risk | | | | encouraged. There is | |
| posed by air, | | | | more potential for linked | |
| noise and other | | | | trips and reduced use of | |
| types of pollution. | | | | the car if a greater | |
| | | | | diversity of uses is | |
| | | | | encouraged. | |
| 12. Flooding and | Will it minimise or mitigate flood | 0 | 0 | | |
| Water Quality | risk? | | | | |
| To minimise the | Will it reduce existing levels of | | | | |
| risk of flooding | flood risk? | | | | |
| and to conserve | Will it improve water quality? | | | | |
| and improve | Will it conserve water? | | | | |
| water quality. | Will it improve or help to | | | | |
| | promote water efficiency? | | | | |
| | Will it cause a deterioration of | | | | |
| | Water Framework Directive | | | | |
| | (WFD) status or potential of | | | | |
| | onsite watercourses? | | | | |
| 13. Natural | Will it help protect and improve | 0 | + | There is more potential | |
| Environment, | biodiversity and avoid harm to | | | for open space e.g. a | |
| Biodiversity, | protected species? | | | 'green heart' if a greater | |
| Blue-Green | Will it allow for biodiversity net | | | diversity of uses is | |
| Infrastructure | gains? | | | encouraged. | |
| To increase | Will it conserve and enhance | | | onoodragod. | |
| biodiversity levels | | | | | |
| and protect and | the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance | | | | |
| enhance blue- | | | | | |
| green | woodland cover and | | | | |
| infrastructure and | management? | | | | |
| the natural | Will it provide new open space | | | | |
| environment. | or green space? | | | | |
| CHAILOTHIOTIC. | | | | | |

| | Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | 0 | | |
| Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? | + | ‡ | There is more potential for the reuse of buildings if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged. | |

| Wi reg Wi su: |
|---|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. Wi continuous safeguarding minerals and wi safeguarding minerals and waste. |

SUMMARY TABLE

| | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|---|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Retain the scope of the policy established through the existing Aligned Core Strategy. Increasing retail and leisure floorspace, mixed use redevelopment and expansion of the Broad Marsh and Victoria Centres, safeguarding shopping frontages (ensuring primary frontages are predominantly retail), integrating new retail into these frontages. The Strategies also encourage the development of offices, new hotels, and creating an inclusive and safe City Centre which is the focus for public transport and sustainable transport modes. | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 |
| Amend the existing policy to allow for greater flexibility in uses, encouraging a mix of non-retail uses including on the shopping frontages. | ++ | ++ | ++ | + + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | + | 0 | ++ | 0 |

CONCLUSIONS

Allowing for greater flexibility in uses, encouraging a mix of non-retail uses including on the shopping frontages will increase diversity. This will also improve the vitality and viability of the City Centre.

A broader mix is likely to increase the potential for more housing and jobs

Greater diversity is likely to increase linked trips and reduce the use of the car

POLICY 7: ROLE OF TOWN AND DISTRICT CENTRES

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Is it a realistic alternative? |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Amend the existing policy to allow for greater | Yes |
| flexibility in uses, encouraging a mix of non- | |
| retail uses | |
| Retain the scope of the policy established in | No |
| the Aligned Core Strategies and review | |
| whether out of town retail parks should be | |
| included in the retail network and hierarchy. | |
| Do not have a policy. | No |
| Do include a policy which states that Centres | No |
| should only focus on shopping/retail uses. | |

POLICY 7: ROLE OF TOWN AND DISTRICT CENTRES

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

A - Amend the existing policy to allow for greater flexibility in uses, encouraging a mix of non-retail uses.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | There is more potential for housing if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | There is more potential for employment if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? | + | There is more potential for employment if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ++ | There is more potential for vibrancy and vitality if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |
|--|---|----|--|--|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | There is more potential for health uses if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and | + | There is more potential for social inclusion if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + | There is more potential for linked trips and reduced use of the car if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + | There is more potential for reuse of brownfield land if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? | + | There is more potential for linked trips and reduced use of the car if a greater diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| | T | | | 1 |
|------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|---|
| encourage nature- | Will it support the development of | | | |
| based solutions to | community energy systems? | | | |
| climate change. | Will it ensure that buildings are able | | | |
| | to deal with future changes in | | | |
| | climate? | | | |
| | Will it reduce greenhouse gas | | | |
| | emissions or promote | | | |
| | sequestration of carbon? | | | |
| | Will it increase the resilience of | | | |
| | biodiversity to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it help people adapt to climate | | | |
| | change? | | | |
| | Will it maintain or increase the | | | |
| | provision of ecosystem services on | | | |
| | which local people depend, | | | |
| | including water, food, and | | | |
| | materials, now and under future | | | |
| | climates? | | | |
| | Will it lead to the displacement of | | | |
| | emissions to another location? | | | |
| 11. Pollution and | Will it increase levels of air, noise | + | There is more potential for | |
| Air Quality | and other types of pollution? | | linked trips and reduced | |
| To manage air | | | use of the car if a greater | |
| quality and minimise | | | diversity of uses is | |
| the risk posed by air, | | | encouraged | |
| noise and other | | | | |
| types of pollution. | | - | | |
| 12. Flooding and | Will it minimise or mitigate flood | 0 | | |
| Water Quality | risk? | | | |
| To minimise the risk | Will it reduce existing levels of flood | | | |
| of flooding and to | risk? | | | |
| conserve and | | | | |

| improve water quality. | Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | 0 | | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | | |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and | + | There is more potential for reuse of buildings if a | |

| To protect and | distinctiveness of the townscape or | | greater diversity of uses is | |
|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|
| enhance the | settlement character? | | encouraged | |
| townscape character | Will it conserve and enhance | | encouraged | |
| and enhancing the | | | | |
| place through good | designated and non-designated | | | |
| design. To conserve | heritage assets and their setting? | | | |
| | Will it provide better opportunities | | | |
| designated and non- | for people to access and | | | |
| designated heritage | understand local heritage and to | | | |
| assets and their | participate in cultural activities? | | | |
| setting and provide | Will it protect or improve access | | | |
| better opportunities | and enjoyment of the historic | | | |
| for people to enjoy | environment? | | | |
| culture and heritage. | Will it contribute to the better | | | |
| | management of heritage assets | | | |
| | and tackle heritage at risk? | | | |
| | Will it foster heritage-led | | | |
| | regeneration? | | | |
| | Will it promote heritage based | | | |
| | sustainable tourism? | | | |
| 16. Natural | Will it lead to reduced consumption | 0 | | |
| Resources and | of raw materials? | | | |
| Waste Management | Will it promote the use of | | | |
| To prudently | sustainable design, materials and | | | |
| manage the natural | construction techniques? | | | |
| resources of the | Will it result in additional waste? | | | |
| area including soils, | Will it reduce hazardous waste? | | | |
| safeguarding | Will it protect the best and most | | | |
| minerals and waste. | versatile (BMV) agricultural land? | | | |
| | Will it prevent the loss of greenfield | | | |
| | land to development? | | | |
| | lana to dovolopinont: | | | |

SUMMARY TABLE

| | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Amend the existing policy to allow for greater flexibility in uses, encouraging a mix of non-retail uses | + | + | + | ‡ | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 |

Conclusions

Allowing for greater flexibility in uses, encouraging a mix of non-retail uses will increase diversity. This will also improve the vitality and viability of the centres.

A broader mix is likely to increase the potential for more housing and jobs

Greater diversity is likely to increase linked trips and reduce the use of the car

POLICY 8: HOUSING SIZE, MIX AND CHOICE

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Reasonable Alternative? |
|---|--|
| Mix of sizes and types | Trougeriable / Internative i |
| Retain the scope of the policy within the Aligned Core Strategies. Developments should provide a mix of housing tenures, types and sizes. Consideration should also be given to the needs of elderly residents. The mix of housing should be determined by local evidence, including housing needs assessments. Do not have prescriptive % requirements for open market house sizes and types. | Yes. |
| Include prescriptive % house size and type requirements for both affordable housing and open market housing. | Yes. |
| Do not have a policy | No. Paragraph 63 requires the size, type and tenure of housing needed for different groups in the community to be assessed and reflected in planning policies. |
| Affordable housing | |
| Retain the policy approach within the Rushcliffe Core Strategy and do not set tenure percentages for affordable housing in policy and defer this to subsequent plans. Include an overall % target for affordable housing for each housing submarket area. | Yes. Paragraph 62 requires policies to reflect the need for affordable housing. |
| Include an overall % target for affordable housing for each local authority area. | Yes. Paragraph 62 requires policies to reflect the need for affordable housing. |
| Set tenure % target for affordable housing in policy at a housing submarket area. | Yes. Paragraph 62 requires policies to reflect the need for affordable housing. Paragraph 63 requires policies to specify the type of affordable housing required. |
| Set tenure percentages for affordable housing in policy at an authority level. | Yes. Paragraph 62 requires policies to reflect the need for affordable housing. Paragraph 63 requires policies to specify the type of affordable housing required. |
| Standards | |
| Defer requirements for wheelchair accessible and adaptable homes to subsequent plans. | Yes. Councils can pursue requirements as part of their subsequent plans. |
| Include a requirement for wheelchair accessible and adaptable homes. | Yes. Paragraph 130 requires policies to ensure developments have a high standard of amenity for existing and future users, which can be secured using the |

| Options | Reasonable Alternative? |
|---|---|
| • | Government's optional technical standards for accessible and adaptable housing, where this would address an identified need for such properties. |
| Do not include policy requirement for nationally described space standards and defer to subsequent plans. | Yes. Councils can pursue requirements as part of their subsequent plans. |
| Include policy requirement for nationally described space standards. | Yes. Paragraph 130 requires policies to ensure developments have a high standard of amenity for existing and future users, which can be secured through the use of the nationally described space standard, where the need for an internal space standard can be justified. |

POLICY 8: HOUSING SIZE, MIX AND CHOICE

MIX OF SIZES AND TYPES

- A Retain the scope of the policy within the Aligned Core Strategies. Developments should provide a mix of housing tenures, types and sizes. Consideration should also be given to the needs of elderly residents. The mix of housing should be determined by local evidence, including housing needs assessments.
- B Do not have prescriptive % requirements for open market house sizes and types.
- C Include prescriptive % house size and type requirements for both affordable housing and open market housing.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|---|----|--|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | ? | ++ | Option A would deliver a wide mix of housing, specifying appropriate housing for specific locations and requiring consideration for the elderly. This increases the range of housing delivered in the plan area and ensures the houses built in the plan area meet the residents' needs, which can all contribute to reducing homelessness. The effects of Option B on housing are unknown. | The detailed design of future planning applications should ensure a range of homes are delivered to meet the housing needs of the plan area. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | Option C would prescribe requirements which would result in the mix of housing meeting the housing needs of the plan area, ensuring a range of housing is delivered, which can contribute to reducing homelessness. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to employment and jobs. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | ? | + | Option A indicates the type of housing for the city centre, the centre's fringes and other areas. This delivers a housing mix which is appropriate for the location, ensuring employees of local businesses can live in a home appropriate for their needs. | The detailed design of future planning applications should ensure a range of homes are delivered to meet the housing needs of the plan area. |
| | | | | | Option Cs prescriptive approach would deliver a range of house sizes and types in the plan area, which would similarly deliver appropriate housing for employees of local | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| • | | | | | businesses in the plan area. The effects of Option B on economic structure and innovation are unknown. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + | ? | ? | Options A specifies the type of housing appropriate for the city centre. Providing the right housing mix will increase the number of residents living in the centre, which can increase footfall, improving the vitality of the centre. The effects of Option B and Option C on shopping centres are unknown. | The detailed design of future planning applications should ensure a range of homes are delivered to meet the housing needs of the plan area. |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for health and well being. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | ? | + | The effects of Option B on social inclusion are unknown. Option A and C, whilst not meeting any of the criteria questions, will impact the overarching objective of social inclusion. Option A would deliver a wide mix of housing, specifying appropriate housing for specific locations and requiring consideration for the elderly. This increases the range of housing delivered in the plan area and ensures the houses built in the plan area meet the residents' needs, improving social inclusion and reducing deprivation in the plan area. Option Cs prescriptive approach would deliver a range of house sizes and types in the plan area, similarly meeting the needs of residents in the plan area, improving social | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| Ţ. | | | | | inclusion and reducing deprivation in the plan area. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for transport. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implication for brownfield land. | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature- based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on energy and climate change. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| | Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to pollution and air quality. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to pollution and air quality. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the natural environment, biodiversity, or blue-green infrastructure. | |
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the landscape. | |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and nondesignated heritage assets and their setting and provide | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the built and historic environment. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy has no implications to natural resources and waste management. | |

POLICY 8: HOUSING SIZE, MIX AND CHOICE

AFFORDABLE HOUSING

- D Retain the policy approach within the Rushcliffe Core Strategy and do not set tenure percentages for affordable housing in policy and defer this to subsequent plans. Include an overall % target for affordable housing for each housing submarket area.
- E Include an overall % target for affordable housing for each local authority area.
- F Set tenure % target for affordable housing in policy at a housing submarket area.
- G Set tenure percentages for affordable housing in policy at an authority level.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | D | Ε | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|----|----|----|--|---|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | Options A and B will deliver affordable housing in the plan area, increasing the affordability of housing for all social groups and contributing towards reducing homelessness. Options C and D will deliver a mix of affordable housing tenures in the plan area, increasing the range of affordable housing for all social groups, which can ensure the homes are fit for the affordable housing need of the residents. | The detailed design of future planning applications should ensure a range of affordable homes are delivered to meet the housing needs of the plan area. |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for employment and jobs. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Options A and B will deliver affordable housing within the plan area, which will provide graduates with affordable properties to purchase, enabling them to live and work within the plan area. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|----|----|----|----|--|------------|
| support the use of new technologies. | Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | | | | Options C and D will deliver a mixed tenure of affordable properties which will provide graduates different types of affordable properties to purchase which suit their situation. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | ? | ? | ? | ? | The effects of all the options on health and wellbeing are unknown. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | Whilst the policy options do not directly meet ant of the criteria questions, all the options will impact the | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | residents' satisfaction in community activities? • Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? • Will it provide for the educational needs? | | | | | overarching objective of social inclusion. Options A and B will deliver affordable housing within the plan area, increasing residents' opportunity to purchase a house, improving social inclusion and reducing deprivation in the plan area. Options C and D will deliver a mix of affordable housing tenures, providing different routes into home ownership, increasing residents' opportunities to purchase a home, improving social inclusion. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for transport. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to brownfield land. | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on energy and climate change. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on pollution and air quality. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on flooding and water quality. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the natural environment, biodiversity and blue-green infrastructure. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| | Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | | | | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on landscape. | |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non- designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the built and historic environment. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | D | Е | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to natural resources and waste management. | |

POLICY 8: HOUSING SIZE, MIX AND CHOICE

STANDARDS

- H Defer consideration of requirements for wheelchair accessible and adaptable homes to subsequent plans.
- I Include a requirement for wheelchair accessible and adaptable homes.
- J Do not include policy requirement for nationally described space standards and deferring consideration to subsequent plans.
- K Include policy requirement for nationally described space standards.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Н | I | J | K | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | | | | The effects of Option A and Option C are unknown on housing. Options B and D would increase the range of housing to meet the varying needs of the people within the plan area. | The detailed design of future planning applications should ensure a range of housing types and sizes are delivered to meet the needs of the plan area. |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for employment and jobs. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Н | ı | J | K | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|----|---|---|---|--|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for economic structure and innovation. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing | ? | ++ | ? | + | The policy will have no implications for shopping centres. The effects of Option A and Option C are unknown on health and well being. Option B will greatly reduce health inequalities as it will deliver accessible and adaptable homes, | The detailed design of future planning applications should ensure a range of housing types and sizes are delivered to meet the needs of the plan area. |
| | open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | | | | increasing the number of homes appropriate for older and disabled people. Option D will reduce health inequalities as it will require housing to be of a certain size, ensuring | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Н | I | J | K | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|----|---|----|--|------------|
| | | | | | | appropriate living space for residents. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | ? | ++ | ? | ++ | The effects of Option A and Option C are unknown on social inclusion. Options B and D, whilst not meeting any of the criteria questions, will significantly impact the overarching objective of social inclusion. Option B will greatly improve residents' social inclusion by delivering homes that are accessible and adaptable, enabling residents who have a need to live within society in a home that meets their requirement. Option D will greatly improve resident's social inclusion by delivering homes of an appropriate size, ensuring houses do | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Н | I | J | K | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| | | | | | | not provide cramped living conditions, which can reduce deprivation in the plan area. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for transport. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to brownfield land. | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to energy and climate change. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Н | | J | K | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| | Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on pollution and air quality. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on flooding and water quality. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Н | I | J | K | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| - | (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | | | | | | _ |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the natural environment, biodiversity and blue-green infrastructure. | |
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on landscape. | |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non- | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the built and historic environment. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Н | I | J | K | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to natural resources and waste management. | |

SUMMARY TABLE

| Policy 8: Housing Size, Mix and Choice | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|---|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Mix of Size and Types | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A - Retain the scope of the policy within the Aligned Core Strategies. Developments should provide a mix of housing tenures, types and sizes, with two or more-bedroom flats in the city centre, innovative family housing on the centre's fringes. Elsewhere there should be a broader mix of housing. Consideration should also be given to the needs of elderly residents. The mix of housing should be determined by local evidence, including housing needs assessments. | ++ | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B - Do not have prescriptive % requirements for open market house sizes and types. | ? | 0 | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C - Include prescriptive % house size and type requirements for both affordable housing and open market housing. | ++ | 0 | + | ? | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Affordable Housing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D - Retain the policy approach within the Rushcliffe Core Strategy and do not set tenure | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | 0 | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Policy 8: Housing Size, Mix and Choice | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| percentages for affordable housing in policy and defer this to subsequent plans. Include an overall % target for affordable housing for each housing submarket area. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E - Include an overall % target for affordable housing for each local authority area. | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | 0 | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| F - Set tenure % target for affordable housing in policy at a housing submarket area. | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | 0 | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G - Set tenure percentages for affordable housing in policy at an authority level. | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | 0 | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Standards | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| H - Defer requirements for wheelchair accessible and adaptable homes to subsequent plans. | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I - Include a requirement for wheelchair accessible and adaptable homes. | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | ++ | 0 | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| J - Do not include policy requirement for nationally described space standards and defer to subsequent plans. | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| K - Include policy requirement for nationally described space standards. | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

CONCLUSION

The appraisal of alternative approaches for Policy 8: Housing Size, Mix and Choice has highlighted the benefits the options will bring to the objectives they affected. None of the options scored a minor or major negative against any objective.

Regarding Options A-C which dealt with approaches to a Mix of Size and Types, Options A and C scored major positives against the Housing objective, which is to be expected given the nature of the policy. Options A and C also scored a minor positive against objective 3 and 7. Option A scored a minor positive against objective 4. However, the impact of Option C on objective 4 was assessed to be unknown as it is uncertain how Option C would impact shopping centres. The impact of Option B on objective 1, 3, 4 and 7 was assessed to be unknown as it is uncertain how having a prescriptive requirement would impact the objectives. For the remaining objectives, Option B was assessed to have no impact.

Options D-G, which assessed the approaches to Affordable Housing, scored the same across all 16 objectives. The options scored major positives against the Housing and Social Inclusion objectives which is to be expected given the nature of the policy options. The impact of the options against the health and well being objective was assessed to be unknown, as it is uncertain what the impact of the policy options will be. The options did not impact any of the other objectives.

Options H-K assessed the approaches to Standards. Options H and J considered deferring requirements for wheelchair accessible and adaptable homes and nationally described space standards to subsequent plans. The impact of these two options against objective 1, 5 and 7 was assessed to be unknown since there would be no certainty until the subsequent plans were adopted. For the remaining objectives, option H and J were assessed to have no impact. Options I and K would include a requirement for wheelchair accessible and adaptable homes and nationally described space standards. Both options scored major positives against objective 7 and minor positives against objective 1 as they would both significantly improve social inclusion and increase the range of housing provided. Option I scored a major positive against objective 5 health and well being as it would significantly reduce health inequalities. Option K scored a minor positive against objective 5 health and well being as it was assessed to reduce health inequalities, but not to the same extent as Option I.

POLICY 9: GYPSIES, TRAVELLERS AND TRAVELLING SHOWPEOPLE IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| • | Reasonable Alternative? |
|--|----------------------------|
| Retain the scope of the policy within the Aligned Core Strategies which include pitch requirements that meet identified need. Defer any allocation of sites to future plan preparation and include a criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications. | Yes |
| Do not include a policy and defer consideration to future plans. | Yes |

Sustainability Appraisal Scoring Table

The table below provides guide on how to provide a score for each SA objective.

| Major | Minor | Uncertain (?) | Minor negative | Major negative |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| positive | positive | or | - | |
| ++ | + | No impact (0) | | |
| The policy would have | The policy would have | Unknown effect or the | The policy would have | The policy would have |
| a significant positive | a minor positive impact | | a minor negative impact | a significant negative |
| impact on one or more | on at least one of the | implications for the | on at least one of the | impact on one or more |
| of the policy criteria | policy criteria | objective | policy criteria | of the policy criteria |
| questions or a minor | questions | | questions | questions or a minor |
| positive impact on a | | | | negative impact on a |
| significant number of | | | | significant number of |
| the questions | | | | the questions |

POLICY 9: GYPSIES, TRAVELLERS AND TRAVELLING SHOWPEOPLE

- A Retain the scope of the policy within the Aligned Core Strategies which include pitch requirements that meet identified need. Defer any allocation of sites to future plan preparation and include a criteria-based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications.
- B Do not include a policy and defer consideration to future plans.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|-----|---|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + + | ? | As option A relates to the policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it would set a pitch requirement which would meet the needs of gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have uncertain implications for the objective. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | 0 | As both options focus on policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it is considered that they would have no implications for the objective given the small scale of the need. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | As both options focus on policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it is considered that they would have no implications for the objective given the small scale of the need. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | 0 | As both options focus on policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it is considered that they would have no implications for the objective given the smal scale of the need. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|------------|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | ? | It is considered that Option A would have a positive approach. As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have uncertain implications for the objective. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | ? | It is considered that given the small scale of need Option A would have no implications for the objective. As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have uncertain implications for the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|--|------------|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | ? | As option A relates to the policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it would help to provide for needs of specific groups, increasing opportunities for improving access to community and educational facilities As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have uncertain implications for the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | | ? | It is considered there is a small scale of need. As option A relates to the policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it is considered that the option (which includes any allocations of sites and criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an uncertain effect for the objective. As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have uncertain implications for the objective. | For option A (criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications), ensure that new sites are located close to existing transport infrastructure or a new transport network is established to minimise the impact on the environment. Ensure measures to encourage alternative modes of transport are in place and good accessibility to services and facilities. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 9. Brownfield | Will it make efficient use of | ? | ? | It is considered that there | For option A (criteria based |
| Land | brownfield land? | | | is a small scale of need. As | policy approach for |
| | Will the development minimise | | | option A relates to the | identification of sites |
| To make efficient | the impact on the biodiversity | | | policy approach regarding | through planning |
| use of brownfield | interests of the site? | | | provision for gypsies, | applications), prioritise new |
| land and recognise | | | | travellers and travelling | sites in the urban area and |
| biodiversity value | | | | showpeople, it is | on previously developed |
| where appropriate. | | | | considered that the option | land in the Green Belt. |
| | | | | (which includes any | |
| | | | | allocations of sites and | |
| | | | | criteria based policy | |
| | | | | approach for identification | |
| | | | | of sites through planning | |
| | | | | applications) has an | |
| | | | | uncertain effect for the | |
| | | | | objective. | |
| | | | | As option B relates to no | |
| | | | | policy approach for Part 1 | |
| | | | | Local Plan it is considered | |
| | | | | that the option would have | |
| | | | | uncertain implications for | |
| | | | | the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | 0 | ? | The scale of the need is small. As option A relates to the policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it is considered that the option (which includes any allocations of sites and criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has no effect for the objective. As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have uncertain implications for the objective. | For option A (criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications), include a criterion relating to energy and climate change in order to reduce energy usage. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 11. Pollution and | Will it increase levels of air, | 0 | ? | It is considered that there | For option A (criteria based |
| Air Quality | noise and other types of | | | is a small scale of need. As | policy approach for |
| | pollution? | | | option A relates to the | identification of sites |
| To manage air | | | | policy approach regarding | through planning |
| quality and | | | | provision for gypsies, | applications), include a |
| minimise the risk | | | | travellers and travelling | criterion relating to |
| posed by air, noise | | | | showpeople, it is | pollution and air quality. |
| and other types of | | | | considered that the option | |
| pollution. | | | | (which includes any | |
| | | | | allocations of sites and | |
| | | | | criteria based policy | |
| | | | | approach for identification | |
| | | | | of sites through planning | |
| | | | | applications) has no effect | |
| | | | | for the objective. | |
| | | | | As option B relates to no | |
| | | | | policy approach for Part 1 | |
| | | | | Local Plan it is considered | |
| | | | | that the option would have | |
| | | | | uncertain implications for | |
| | | | | the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | ? | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. As option A relates to the policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it is considered that the option (which includes any allocations of sites and criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an no effect for the objective. As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have unknown implications for the objective. | For option A(criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications), include a criterion relating to flooding and water quality for new sites, in particular to ensure new development is suitable located outside of flood risk areas, conserve water and avoid impact of new development upon water quality. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ? | ? | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. As option A relates to the policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it is considered that the option (which includes any allocations of sites and criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an unknown effect for the objective. As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have uncertain implications for the objective. | For option A (criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications), include a criterion to provide the protection and enhancement of biodiversity, protected species and blue-green infrastructure. Need to ensure that increased access to existing open space or blue-green infrastructure does not cause harm to biodiversity if access is greater than the capacity that can be supported without disturbance to wildlife or damage to habitats. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | ? | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. As option A relates to the policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it is considered that the option (which includes any allocations of sites and criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an uncertain effect for the objective. | For option A (criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications), include a criterion to avoid new sites within sensitive landscape character areas. |
| | | | | As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have uncertain implications for the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| SA objectives 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the | Policy criteria questions Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance | ? | ? | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. As option A relates to the policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling | For option A (criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications), include a criterion to protect heritage |
| townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | showpeople, it is considered that the option (which includes any allocations of sites and criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an uncertain effect for the objective. As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have uncertain implications for the objective. | assets from new sites and include opportunities for enhancement of the historic environment. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently | Policy criteria questions Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? | ? | ? | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. As option A relates to the policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople, it is | Mitigation For option A (criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications), include a criterion to ensure the use of sustainable design, |
| manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | | | considered that the option (which includes any allocations of sites and criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an uncertain effect for the objective. As option B relates to no policy approach for Part 1 Local Plan it is considered that the option would have uncertain implications for the objective. | materials and construction techniques on new sites and avoid loss of best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land to development. |

SUMMARY TABLE

| Policy 9: Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, | ape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----|------------------------------------|--|
| Retain the scope of the policy within the Aligned Core Strategies which include pitch requirements that meet identified need. Defer any allocation of sites to future plan preparation and include a criteria-based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications. | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Do not include a policy and defer consideration to future plans. | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |

CONCLUSION

The appraisal of alternative approaches to this Policy has highlighted that Option A has some positive benefits against three of the objectives.

Option A is likely to have a major positive impact on the housing objective. Option A is also likely to have a positive impact on both the health & well bring and social inclusion objectives.

The impact of Option B on several of the objectives is less certain than Option A as it does not include a policy and defers consideration to future plans.

In conclusion, Option A would comprise a more sustainable strategy.

POLICY 10: DESIGN AND ENHANCING LOCAL IDENTITY

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Reasonable Alternative? |
|--|-------------------------|
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy that requires development to have regard to its local context including landscape and heritage and notes that design requirements including provision for design codes will be set through subsequent plans and SPDs. | Yes |
| Do not have a policy | No |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy that requires development to have regard to its local context including landscape and heritage and notes that design requirements including provision for design codes will be set through subsequent plans and Supplementary Plans but also includes a requirement to accord with existing standards, such as: | Yes |
| National Design Guide BREEM standards for commercial building 'Living with Beauty' 'Transport for New Homes Charter' 'Habinteg' guidance Building for Life Building for a Healthy Life RIBA's 'Homes for All Ages' Natural England's 'Green Infrastructure Guidance' 'The SuDS Manual' 'Passivhaus Standard' CPRE's design guides 'Lifetime Homes' Design review panels | |

POLICY 10: DESIGN AND ENHANCING LOCAL IDENTITY

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

A - Assess policy approach that requires development to have regard to its local context including landscape and heritage and sets design requirements including provision for design codes through subsequent plans and Supplementary Plans.

B - Assess policy approach as per the above but that also includes a requirement to accord with existing standards, such as:

- National Design Guide
- BREEM standards for commercial building
- 'Living with Beauty'
- 'Transport for New Homes Charter'
- 'Habinteg' guidance
- · Building for Life
- · Building for a Healthy Life
- RIBA's 'Homes for All Ages'
- Natural England's 'Green Infrastructure Guidance'
- 'The SuDS Manual'
- 'Passivhaus Standard'
- CPRE's design guides
- · 'Lifetime Homes'
- Design review panels

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | The impact of both options (which focuses only on landscape, heritage and design) is neutral. | Further strengthen option B by including a requirement to accord with those specific standards that relate to the provision of a range of housing. |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | 0 | Both options will have a neutral effect on this objective. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | + | Both options would encourage high quality design to encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area. | Strengthen option B by including a requirement to accord with those specific standards that relate to design of office/employment premises. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ? | ? | For both options, high quality design may improve the vitality of shopping centres, but only where new development takes place so the overall impact is uncertain. | Option B could be further strengthened by including a requirement to accord with those specific standards that relate to shopping centres. |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | 0 | 0 | The impact of both options is neutral. | Strengthen option B by including a requirement to accord with those specific standards that improve accessibility to health services and accessibility to/provision of recreational facilities/open space. |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | + | + | The impact of both options is to reduce crime through encouraging good design. | To further strengthen opportunities to improve community safety for option B, include a requirement to accord with those specific standards that reduce opportunities for crime, such as 'secured by design' |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | 0 | 0 | Both options will have a neutral effect on this objective. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | 0 | 0 | The impact of both options is neutral. | To further strengthen Option B and increase opportunities for making efficient use of existing transport infrastructure, include a requirement to accord with those specific standards that support active travel. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | 0 | The impact of both options is neutral. | To further strengthen Option B and minimise impact on biodiversity, include a requirement to accord with those specific standards that minimize the impact on biodiversity. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | + | + | The general policy approach covers landscape, heritage and design, which is likely to address energy and climate change. Both options will therefore have a positive impact. | Policies within the Strategic Plan should refer to informal planning guidance as well as SPDs. To further strengthen Option B, include a requirement to accord with those specific standards that relate to low carbon issues. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | 0 | 0 | The impact of both options is neutral. | To further strengthen Option B, include a requirement to accord with those specific standards that relate to pollution. In particular to encourage on site GI which can help improve air quality by absorbing particulate matter. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | 0 | The impact of both options is neutral | To further strengthen Option B, include a requirement to accord with those specific standards that relate to flooding. In particular, responding to the effects of climate change and integrating on-site GI to help attenuate surface water run-off. |

| SA objectives F | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? | 0 | 0 | The impact of both Options is neutral. | To further strengthen Option B, include a requirement that BGI should be embedded within development requirements. This should optimize opportunities to deliver environmental net-gain, active travel, recreational open space and the other benefits that BGI brings. Priority habitats should be created, connected protected and enhanced to improve the ecological network. Include a requirement to accord with those specific standards that relate to GI/BI. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | + | + | The general policy approach covers landscape, heritage and design, which is likely to address energy and climate change. Both options will therefore have a positive impact. | Further strengthen Option B by including a requirement to accord with those specific standards that relate to landscape character and protect important views and vistas, to positively impact on visual amenity. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | + | + | The general policy approach is to improve landscape, heritage and design. Both options will therefore have a positive impact. heritage | Include a requirement to accord with those specific standards that protect and enhance the built and historic environment, in particular to maintain local character and protect the setting of heritage assets. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|---|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | 0 | The impact of option A is neutral. | Option B could be further strengthened by including a requirement to accord with those specific standards that promote the use of sustainable design. |

SUMMARY TABLE

| Policy 10 – Design | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|---|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy that requires development to have regard to its local context including landscape and heritage and notes that design requirements including provision for design codes will be set through subsequent plans and SPDs. | 0 | 0 | + | ? | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategy that requires development to have regard to its local context including landscape and heritage and notes that design requirements including provision for design codes will be set through subsequent plans and SPDs but also includes a requirement to accord with existing standards. | 0 | 0 | + | ? | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 |

CONCLUSION

The appraisal of alternative approaches to Policy 10 has highlighted that both options would have a positive impact on many of the objectives that relate to design. Option B provide for reference being included in the policy to existing standards and the impact of this on each objective depends on the precise standards referred to.

POLICY 11: THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Reasonable Alternative? |
|--|---|
| Retain policy within the Aligned Core Strategies. This policy conserves and enhances historic environment and assets, requiring decisions have regarding to wider social, cultural, economic and environmental objectives. Policy identifies a wide range of historic assets and features which should be conserved and enhanced. It also sets out a number of approaches that assist in the protection and enjoyment of the historic environment. | Yes. |
| Do not have a policy | No. Paragraph 196 requires Local Plans to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. |
| Recognise the role of heritage led regeneration. | Yes. |

POLICY 11: THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Retain policy within the Aligned Core Strategies. This policy conserves and enhances historic environment and assets, requiring decisions have regarding to wider social, cultural, economic and environmental objectives. Policy identifies a wide range of historic assets and features which should be conserved and enhanced. It also sets out a number of approaches that assist in the protection and enjoyment of the historic environment.
- B Recognise the role of heritage led regeneration.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | This policy will have no implications for housing. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | 0 | Option A would conserve and enhance the historic environment and assets, which could increase the assets contribution towards tourism and increase the employment opportunities generated from this. Option B will have no impact on employment and jobs. | The detailed design of future applications should ensure the historic environment is conserved and enhanced. |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | Both options will have no impact on economic structure and innovation. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | 0 | Option A would improve and protect heritage assets, including historic parks and gardens, which could improve the quality of existing historic open spaces. Option B will have no impact on health and well being. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | + | Option A will conserve and enhance the historic environment and heritage assets, and seeks to improve the public's enjoyment of the historic environment. This will improve the existing cultural assets in the plan area. Option B recognises the role of heritage led regeneration, which can encourage the redevelopment of an area whilst enhancing the existing | The detailed design of future applications should ensure the historic environment is conserved and enhanced. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | cultural assets in the plan area. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for transport. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + | + | Both policy options support the appropriate redevelopment of the historic environment and assets, making efficient use of brownfield land. | The detailed design of future applications should ensure the historic environment is conserved and enhanced. |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to energy and climate change. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| | Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on pollution and air quality. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on flooding and water quality. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? | + | 0 | Option A will improve and protect heritage assets, including historic parks and | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|---|--|------------|
| Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | gardens, improving the quality of existing open spaces and providing opportunities to improve blue-green infrastructure. Option B will have no impact on the natural environment, biodiversity and blue-green infrastructure. | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | + | 0 | Option A will conserve and enhance heritage assets, which can strengthen the local landscape character of a settlement. Option B will have no impact on the landscape. | |
| To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and nondesignated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? | ++ | + | Option A will conserve and enhance heritage assets, which can strengthen the local character of a settlement. The option encourages the enjoyment of the historic environment and will deliver opportunities to increase the resident's accessibility to the historic environment and assets which can improve their | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|------------|
| to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? | | | understanding of the heritage in the plan area. | |
| | Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? | | | Option B will specifically foster heritage-led regeneration. | |
| | Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no impact on natural resources and waste management. | |

SUMMARY TABLE

| Policy 11: The Historic Environment | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Retain policy within the Aligned Core Strategies. This policy conserves and enhances historic environment and assets, requiring decisions have regarding to wider social, cultural, economic and environmental objectives. Policy identifies a wide range of historic assets and features which should be conserved and enhanced. It also sets out a number of approaches that assist in the protection and enjoyment of the historic environment. | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | ++ | 0 |
| Recognise the role of heritage led regeneration. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 |

CONCLUSIONS

The appraisal of the two alternative approaches to Policy 11: The Historic Environment has highlighted the benefits of both options against the objective of the Built and Historic Environment, with Option A scoring a major positive and Option B a minor positive.

The appraisal identified that Option A, which would retain the current policy approach, scored minor positives against six objectives and scored one major positive against the objective of the Built and Historic Environment. Option A would have no impact against the remaining objectives. This suggests that if the current policy was adopted, it would have a wide and beneficial impact.

The appraisal identified that Option B, which recognised the role of heritage led regeneration, scored minor positives against three objectives, which included the objective relating to the Built and Historic Environment. It was found that Option B would have no impact against the rest of the objectives. This suggests that Option B would have a limited, but beneficial impact if included within the policy approach for Policy 11.

In conclusion, retaining the current approach whilst recognising the role of heritage led regeneration would comprise a sustainable strategy for the Historic Environment.

POLICY 12: LOCAL SERVICES AND HEALTHY LIFESTYLES

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Is it a realistic alternative? |
|--|---|
| Retain policy approach within Aligned Core Strategies that seeks to support new or extended community facilities and sets out location criteria. | Yes |
| Do not have a policy | No - Paragraph 88 of the NPPF states that planning policies should enable the retention and development of accessible local services and community facilities and paragraph 96 refers to planning policies supporting healthy lifestyles, for example through the provision of safe and accessible green infrastructure, sports facilities and local shops. |
| Retain policy approach within Aligned Core Strategies but include more extensive policy in relation to 'Healthy Lifestyles'. | Yes |
| Retain policy approach within Aligned Core Strategies but make the policy more restrictive in relation to the loss of community facilities. | Yes |

POLICY 12: LOCAL SERVICES AND HEALTHY LIFESTYLES

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Retain policy approach within Aligned Core Strategies that seeks to support new or extended community facilities and sets out location criteria.
- B Retain policy approach within Aligned Core Strategies but include more extensive policy in relation to 'Healthy Lifestyles'.
- C Retain policy approach within Aligned Core Strategies but make the policy more restrictive in relation to the loss of community facilities.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | 0 | 0 | It is considered that the options would have no implications for the objective. | |
| 2. Employment and | Will it improve the diversity | + | + | + | It is considered that all | Support proposals which |
| Jobs To greate | and quality of jobs? • Will it reduce | | | | options are minor | protect or propose |
| To create | | | | | positives as they will | additional jobs and |
| employment | unemployment? | | | | either protect or support | employment opportunities. |
| opportunities. | Will it improve rural | | | | existing facilities which | |
| | productivity in terms of | | | | provide jobs. For option | |
| | employment opportunities? | | | | B, it is uncertain what | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|----|----|---|------------|
| | | | | | additional impact may result from having a more extensive focus on healthy lifestyles. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | + | + | All options would either support new and extended facilities or protect existing facilities which is a minor positive as it would support the provision of buildings required by these facilities. For option B, it is uncertain what additional impact may result from having a more extensive focus on healthy lifestyles. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ++ | ++ | ++ | Existing policy states all community facilities should be located within city centre, town centres or other centres. All options are considered to have a major positive impact as they would support community facilities in these locations. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|----|---|--|--|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | ++ | + | All options would have at least a minor positive impact upon this objective, although option B would potentially have a major positive impact upon the objective, through the focus on 'healthy lifestyles'. | opportunities to promote the enhancement of health |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | ? | ? | ? | The impact on community safety is considered to be uncertain for all options although community facilities can help to contribute to a secure built environment depending on the type of facility. | Ensure that new or extended facilities consider crime in their design. |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | | ++ | | All options would be likely to have a major positive impact upon the objective as existing cultural assets including community facilities would be likely to be protected and enhanced. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|----|----|--|--|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ++ | ++ | ++ | Existing policy states all community facilities should be located within city centre, town centres or other centres and be in locations accessible by a range of sustainable transport modes suitable to the scale and function of the facility and be located alongside or shared with other local community facilities. Options A and C would increase or protect access to local facilities and therefore reduce the need to travel, including by private car. For option B, it is likely that a focus on healthy lifestyles may also include reducing the need to travel by car. | Seek to ensure that facilities can be accessible by alternative (non-private car) modes of transport, particularly active travel measures which may have additional health benefits. |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | ? | ? | ? | It is considered that the options would have an unknown impact upon the objective. All options may focus community facilities on existing sites but this may impact the location of other uses (e.g. housing). | Support existing and new facilities which maximise the use of brownfield land. |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change | Will it result in additional energy use? | ? | ? | + | It is considered that Options A and B would | Need a policy relating to energy and climate change |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | | have an unknown impact upon the objective as it depends on the type and location of any new or extended facilities. Option C would seek to retain existing facilities and buildings which would reduce energy use associated with the construction of new buildings. | order to reduce energy usage. Support on-site renewable energy |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | + | + | + | Existing policy states all community facilities should be located within city centre, town centres or other centres and be in locations accessible by a range of sustainable | Need to consider air quality in relation to healthy lifestyles. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| | | | | | transport modes suitable to the scale and function of the facility and be located alongside or shared with other local community facilities. All options would be likely to have a positive impact upon the objective as the protection and enhancement of community facilities would reduce the need to travel, thereby contributing to reduction in many types of pollution. For Option B, a focus on health is also likely to include consideration of air quality. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ? | ? | ? | It is considered that all options would have an unknown impact upon the objective. | Need a policy relating to flooding and water quality for new development, in particular to ensure new development is suitably located outside of flood risk areas, conserves water and avoids impact of new development upon water quality. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and | ? | | 0 | It is considered that option C would have no implications for the objective. Option B may encourage and improve the blue-green infrastructure network through enhancing accessibility. Option A may have an impact on the natural environment depending on the type and location of any new or extended facilities. | For all options, need to ensure that increased access to existing open space or blue-green infrastructure does not cause harm to biodiversity if access is greater than the capacity that can be supported without disturbance to wildlife or damage to habitats. |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | ? | О | For Options A and B, it is considered the impact is uncertain as it depends on the type and location of any extended or new facilities. | Ensure new or extended facilities consider landscape and visual amenity. |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non- designated heritage | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to | + | + | + | All options would be likely to have a positive impact upon the objective through the protection and enhancement of any local heritage assets and facilities to help people access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? • Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? • Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? • Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? • Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? | ? | ? | ? | It is considered that all options would have an unknown impact upon the objective. Option C, which protects existing facilities, may reduce the impact on the consumption of raw materials and waste resulting from having to build replacement facilities. For Options A and B, new and extended facilities may have an impact on natural resources depending on their type and location. | materials and construction techniques. |

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

| Policy 12: Local Services and Healthy Lifestyles | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|---|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Option A – Retain existing ACS approach | 0 | + | + | ++ | + | ? | ++ | ++ | ? | ? | + | ? | ? | ? | + | ? |
| Option B – Include more extensive policy in relation to Healthy Lifestyles | 0 | + | + | ++ | ++ | ? | ++ | ++ | ? | ? | + | ? | ? | ? | + | ? |
| Option C – Make policy more restrictive in relation to loss of community facilities | 0 | + | + | ++ | + | ? | ++ | ++ | ? | + | + | ? | 0 | 0 | + | ? |

CONCLUSION

The appraisal of alternative approaches for Policy 12: Local Services and Healthy Lifestyles has highlighted that all of the options have a number of positive impacts, with no negative impacts being identified.

All options had a neutral impact on Objective 1 and a minor positive impact in relation to Objectives 2 and 3, with the potential to either protect or create jobs being highlighted.

All options had a major positive in relation to Objective 4 as the protection or enhancement of community facilities will help to protect the vitality and viability of shopping centres.

For Objective 5, the focus of Option B on healthy lifestyles was considered to be a major positive, with Options A and C scoring minor positives. All options had a major positive for Objective 7, with the importance of local services for social cohesion being highlighted.

For Objective 8, all options scored a major positive with references made to the location of services within existing centres which are primarily well served by public transport and therefore reduce the need to travel by car.

For Objective 10, Option C scored a minor positive due to the increased emphasis on protecting existing facilities and therefore reducing the need to build new facilities elsewhere. For Options A and B it was considered that the impact would depend on the location and type of facilities.

For Objective 11, all Options scored a minor positive as protecting or enhancing local services was considered to reduce the need to travel by car due to the location of existing services.

For Objectives 13 and 14, the impact for Options A and B was considered to be uncertain as the impact of any new services and facilities may have an impact, depending on the type and location of development. For Option C, it was considered to be neutral as a focus on preserving existing facilities would not have an impact on these Objectives.

For Objective 15, all Options would have a minor positive impact with specific reference made to the protection and enhancement of any local heritage assets and facilities to help people access and understand local heritage.

The impact on Objectives 6, 9,12 and 16 were uncertain for all options as it would depend on the type and location of the services and facilities.

POLICY 13: CULTURE, TOURISM AND SPORT

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | ls it a realistic alternative? |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Retain the approach within the existing Policy 13 by directing national and regional facilities towards Nottingham City Centre and locally important facilities towards town and district centres. New sporting facilities must complement existing facilities in the southeast of the main urban area. Existing facilities will be protected. | Yes |
| Retain protections of existing facilities. Do not however identify a hierarchy of leisure facilities (national, regional and local) and their preferred locations. Establish a more flexible approach to the location of facilities and set plan wide criteria that should be applied to all cultural, tourism and sporting facilities. | |
| Do not have a policy | No – It is a NPPF requirement |

POLICY 13: CULTURE, TOURISM AND SPORT

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Retain the approach within the existing Policy 13 by directing national and regional facilities towards Nottingham City Centre and locally important facilities towards town and district centres. New sporting facilities must complement existing facilities in the southeast of the main urban area. Existing facilities will be protected.
- B Retain protections of existing facilities. Do not however identify a hierarchy of leisure facilities (national, regional and local) and their preferred locations. Establish a more flexible approach to the location of facilities and set plan wide criteria that should be applied to all cultural, tourism and sporting facilities.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | As both options focus on policy approach for culture, tourism and sporting facilities, it is considered that they would have no implications for the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | + | Both options would be likely to have a minor positive impact on the objective as additional support for the culture / leisure / sport sectors may generate additional jobs / diversity of jobs and reduce unemployment, although the extent of this is unknown. Option B may result in fewer employment opportunities due to the removal of support for large-scale sporting facilities although support for a greater number of types of development, such as accommodation for tourists may still result in a minor positive, through the provision of additional employment opportunities. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | As both options focus on policy approach for culture, tourism and sporting facilities, it is considered that they would have no implications for the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|----|--|---|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + | ++ | Both options are likely to lead to an improvement of the vitality of the city centre and town and district centres as a result of supporting the creation and improvement of culture, tourism and sporting facilities within or adjoining the city centre, town or district centres. It is noted that option A could be less supportive of major facilities of national or regional importance (for sport) | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | ++ | Both options are likely to result in a positive impact on the objective including by increasing the opportunities for recreational physical activity and potentially also the enhancement of the quality of existing open space or blue-green infrastructure. It is noted that option A could be less supportive of major facilities of national or regional importance (for sport). | For both options, opportunities to promote the enhancement of blue-green infrastructure should be encouraged. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|-----|-----|--|------------|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | ? | ? | The protection and improvement of culture, tourism and sporting facilities within the city centre and town and district centres may indirectly lead to a reduction in antisocial behaviour / crime, by providing disadvantaged groups with greater opportunities for recreation. However overall the impact of both options upon the objective is unknown. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + + | + + | Both options would result in a major positive impact upon the objective, as they will facilitate the protection and enhancement of existing cultural assets including culture, tourism and sporting facilities as well as improving access to and encouraging community activities. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + | + | Both options are likely to have at least a minor positive impact, as locating new facilities within or adjoining the city centre, town or district centres is likely to ensure that such facilities are able to be accessed via modes of transport other than the private car. It is recognised that tourist accommodation outside the centres would not reduce journeys undertaken by private car and no accessibility to services and facilities. | For option B, ensure that new development is located close to existing transport infrastructure or a new transport network is established to minimise the impact on the environment. Ensure measures to encourage alternative modes of transport are in place and good accessibility to services and facilities. |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | ? | ? | It is considered that both options would have an unknown impact upon the objective. | Support existing and new facilities which maximise the use of brownfield land. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | ? | ? | It is considered that both options would have an unknown impact upon the objective. It is likely that additional development will result in additional energy usage. | Need a policy relating to energy and climate change for new development in order to reduce energy usage. Support on-site renewable energy generation where appropriate. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | ? | ? | It is considered that both options would have an unknown impact upon the objective. | Need a policy relating to pollution and air quality for new development. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ? | ? | It is considered that both options would have an unknown impact upon the objective. | Need a policy relating to flooding and water quality for new development, in particular to ensure new development is suitably located outside of flood risk areas, conserve water and avoid impact of new development upon water quality. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|---|---|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | + | + | Both options could result in a minor positive impact upon the objective through the protection or enhancement of open space or blue-green infrastructure which are linked to cultural and sport facilities. | For both options, need to ensure that increased access to existing open space or blue-green infrastructure does not cause harm to biodiversity if access is greater than the capacity that can be supported without disturbance to wildlife or damage to habitats. |
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ?- | | It is considered that both options would have an unknown impact upon the objective. | Avoid new development within sensitive landscape character areas. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|-----|-----|---|--|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | (+ | 1 + | Both options are likely to have a positive impact upon the built and historic environment objective as they will support the conservation and enhancement of designated and non-designated heritage assets and their settings, for example at Nottingham Castle. Both options will also provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities. Both options will also protect or improve access to and enjoyment of the historic environment. Would promote heritage based sustainable tourism. | Protect heritage assets and include opportunities for enhancement of the historic environment. |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ? | ? | It is considered that the impact of both options is unknown. | Ensure the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques in new development and avoid loss of best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land to development. |

SUMMARY TABLE

| | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Retain the approach within the existing Policy 13 by directing national and regional facilities towards Nottingham City Centre and locally important facilities towards town and district centres. New sporting facilities must complement existing facilities in the southeast of the main urban area. Existing facilities will be protected. | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | ? | ++ | + | ? | ? | ? | ? | + | ? | + | ? |
| Retain protections of existing facilities. Do not however identify a hierarchy of leisure facilities (national, regional and local) and their preferred locations. Establish a more flexible approach to the location of facilities and set plan wide criteria that should be applied to all cultural, tourism and sporting facilities. | 0 | + | 0 | ++ | ++ | ? | ++ | + | ? | ? | ? | ? | + | ? | + | ? |

The appraisal of alternative approaches to this Policy has highlighted some positive benefits against several of the objectives.

Both options are likely to lead to an improvement of the vitality of the city centre and town and district centres as a result of supporting the creation and improvement of culture, tourism and sporting facilities within or adjoining the city centre, town or district centres. It is noted that option A could be less supportive of major facilities of national or regional importance (for sport).

Both options are likely to result in a positive impact on the objective including by increasing the opportunities for recreational physical activity and potentially also the enhancement of the quality of existing open space or blue-green infrastructure. It is noted that option A could be less supportive of major facilities of national or regional importance (for sport).

In conclusion, Option B could be more supportive of major facilities of national or regional importance (for sport).

POLICY 14: MANAGING TRAVEL DEMAND

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Reasonable Alternative? |
|--|---|
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategies which requires development is accessible by sustainable transport. A hierarchical approach requires: reductions in travel by private car; improvements to sustainable transport are provided early; optimisation of the existing highway network to prioritise sustainable transport; and management measures then highways capacity enhancement if sustainable transport measures are not adequate. | Yes. |
| Retain policy that requires developments are accessible by sustainable transport (public transport and wider cycle and pedestrian routes). Make the policy more prescriptive, requiring measures which restrict the use of the private cars for local journeys, prioritise sustainable transport, including pedestrian and cycle friendly streets, and promote the principles that underpin 'low traffic' and 20 minute neighbourhoods. | Yes. |
| Do not have a policy. | No. Paragraph 108 requires transport issues to be considered from the earliest stages of plan making so that the potential impacts of development on transport networks can be addressed. |

POLICY 14: MANAGING TRAVEL DEMAND

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategies which requires development is accessible by sustainable transport. A hierarchical approach requires: reductions in travel by private car; improvements to sustainable transport are provided early; optimisation of the existing highway network to prioritise sustainable transport; and management measures then highways capacity enhancement if sustainable transport measures are not adequate.
- B Retain policy that requires developments are accessible by sustainable transport (public transport and wider cycle and pedestrian routes). Make the policy more prescriptive, requiring measures which restrict the use of the private cars for local journeys, prioritise sustainable transport, including pedestrian and cycle friendly streets, and promote the principles that underpin 'low traffic' and 20 minute neighbourhoods.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for housing. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | + | Both policy options require development to be accessible by sustainable transport, with option A achieving this through a hierarchical approach and | The detailed design of future applications should incorporate walking and cycling routes into and out of the site. Legal agreements or planning conditions should be |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | option B delivering this through prescriptive measures. Both policy options will improve residents' ability to travel to work by reducing the reliance on the private car, which can reduce barriers to employment. | used to secure contributions towards sustainable transport. |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for economic structure and innovation. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + | + | Both policy options will require new developments to deliver sustainable transport which will increase residents' ability to access shopping centres without the use of the private car, improving the centres vitality by increasing footfall. | The detailed design of future applications should incorporate walking and cycling routes into and out of the site. Legal agreements or planning conditions should be used to secure contributions towards sustainable transport. |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? | + | + | Both policy options will require new developments to deliver sustainable transport which will improve residents' ability to physically access health services, particularly | The detailed design of future applications should incorporate walking and cycling routes into and out of the site. Legal agreements or planning conditions should be |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|----|--|--|
| | Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | | those who do not have access or cannot access a private car. | used to secure contributions towards sustainable transport. |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | + | Both policy options will require new developments to deliver sustainable transport which will improve residents' ability to access and engage with community activities by reducing reliance on the private car, improving social capital and inclusion. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ++ | ++ | Both policy options require development to be accessible by sustainable transport, with option A achieving this through a hierarchical approach and option B delivering this through prescriptive measures. Both policy options would lead to a reduction in journeys undertaken in the | The detailed design of future applications should incorporate walking and cycling routes into and out of the site. Legal agreements or planning conditions should be used to secure contributions towards sustainable transport. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|------------|
| | | | | private car as alternate modes of transport would be encouraged. This will subsequently minimise the impact the transport network has on the environment as it would reduce the carbon emissions released by the private car. | |
| | | | | Both policy options could utilise the existing transport infrastructure and enhance it to support sustainable transport such as appropriate cycle and bus lanes. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on brownfield land. | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature- based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? | + | + | Both policy options require development to be accessible by sustainable transport which will reduce greenhouse gas emissions through a reduction in the use of the private car. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality | Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | + | + | Both policy options require development to be | |
| To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | | | | accessible by sustainable transport, which will result in a reduction in travel by the private car, which will reduce air pollution. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on flooding and water quality. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|--|------------|
| | status or potential of onsite watercourses? | | | | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on the natural environment and blue and green infrastructure. | |
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on landscape. | |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and nondesignated heritage assets and their setting | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the built and historic environment. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|--|------------|
| and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | + | + | Both policy options require development to be accessible by sustainable transport, which would reduce the use of the private car, reducing the consumption of raw materials. | |

SUMMARY TABLE

| Policy 14: Managing Travel Demand | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategies which requires development is accessible by sustainable transport. A hierarchical approach requires: reductions in travel by private car; improvements to sustainable transport are provided early; optimisation of the existing highway network to prioritise sustainable transport; and management measures then highways capacity enhancement if sustainable transport measures are not adequate. | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | ++ | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + |
| Retain policy that requires developments are accessible by sustainable transport (public transport and wider cycle and pedestrian routes). Make the policy more prescriptive, requiring measures which restrict the use of the private cars for local journeys, prioritise sustainable transport, including pedestrian and cycle friendly streets, and promote the principles that underpin 'low traffic' and 20 minute neighbourhoods. | | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | ++ | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + |

CONCLUSIONS

The appraisal of the two alternative approaches for Policy 14: Managing Travel Demand scored the same against the 16 objectives. Both options propose to retain the existing policy approach to require development to be accessible by sustainable transport, but Option A would achieve this through a hierarchical approach and Option B would achieve this through prescriptive measures.

Neither Option A nor Option B scored negatively against any of the objectives. Both options scored a major positive against the transport objective, scored 7 minor positives, and for the remaining objectives it was assessed that the two options would have no impact.

In conclusion, both policy options would have a beneficial impact upon not only the transport objective, but a number of other objectives. Options would secure a sustainable strategy for managing travel demand.

POLICY 15: TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITIES IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Reasonable Alternative |
|---|---|
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategies which requires new infrastructure to deliver the principles of Policy 3, Policy 14 and the priorities of | Yes. |
| the Local Transport Plan. Infrastructure should encourage non-private car modes of transport. The policy should identify a list of specific infrastructure essential to the delivery of the Strategic Plan. | |
| Do not have a policy | No. Paragraph 108 requires transport issues to be considered from the earliest stages of plan making so that opportunities from existing or proposed transport infrastructure are realised. |

POLICY 15: TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITIES

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

A - Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategies which requires new infrastructure to deliver the principles of Policy 3, Policy 14 and the priorities of the Local Transport Plan. Infrastructure should encourage non-private car modes of transport. The policy should identify a list of specific infrastructure essential to the delivery of the Strategic Plan.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | The policy option will deliver transport infrastructure that is essential to delivering the Strategic Plan, including transport infrastructure to support the proposed housing allocations. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | The policy option will deliver essential transport infrastructure. This will improve residents' ability to travel to work due to the delivery of infrastructure for the private car and non-private car modes of transport, which can reduce barriers to employment. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on economic structure and innovation. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + | The policy option will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport which will increase residents' ability to access shopping centres, improving the centres vitality by increasing footfall. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | The policy option will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport which will increase residents' ability to access health services. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|--|--|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | The policy option will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport which will improve residents' ability to access community activities. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ++ | The policy option will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport which will enhance the existing transport infrastructure and increase the accessibility of services and facilities in the area. The policy option will encourage non-private car modes of transport which will reduce journeys undertaken by the private car and minimise the impact of car use on the environment by improving walking and cycling infrastructure. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on brownfield land. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | - | The policy option will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport. This will include the delivery of infrastructure for walking and cycling, which will reduce greenhouse gas emissions. However, it will also deliver infrastructure for the private and non-private car and buses, which all contribute towards greenhouse gas emissions. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | - | The policy option will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport. This will include the delivery of infrastructure for walking and cycling, which will contribute to reducing air pollution. However, it will also deliver | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| | | | infrastructure for the private and non-private car and buses, which all contribute to air pollution. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on flooding and water quality. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on the natural environment and blue green infrastructure. | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on landscape. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on the built and historic environment. | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on natural resources and waste management. | |

SUMMARY TABLE

| Policy 15: Transport Infrastructure Priorities | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategies which requires new infrastructure to deliver the principles of Policy 3, Policy 14 and the priorities of the Local Transport Plan. Infrastructure should encourage non-private car modes of transport. The policy should identify a list of specific infrastructure essential to the delivery of the Strategic Plan. | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | ++ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

CONCLUSIONS

The appraisal of retaining the policy approach for Policy 15: Transport Infrastructure Priorities has highlighted the benefits for multiple objectives, with the option being scored major positive for transport, and minor positive for five other objectives. However, the option scored minor negative against the Energy and Climate Change objective and the Pollution and Air Quality objective. This recognises that whilst the policy does encourage the delivery of infrastructure for sustainable modes of transport, the option will also support the delivery of infrastructure for the private car, which will negatively impact these two objectives.

POLICY 16: BLUE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE AND LANDSCAPE

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Reasonable Alternative? |
|--|---|
| Blue and Green Infrastructure | |
| Do not have a policy. | No. Paragraph 180 states that planning policies should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment. |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategies which identifies current network of primary blue and green infrastructure corridors. Prioritises enhancement in around strategic development. | Yes. |
| Develop a wider network than currently identified (informed by an updated BGI Strategy). | Yes. |
| Set out strategic BGI priorities for development plans and separate criteria for development proposals. Include mitigation hierarchy to address harm to assets and weighting that should be applied to the benefits and harm of a proposal that affects an asset or network. | Yes. |
| Include a policy to encourage 'urban greening' through larger gardens, wider tree lined streets, community orchards, requirement for more green space etc. | Yes. |
| Include a policy to set targets for % increase in tree canopy cover for each authority. | Yes. |
| Landscape | |
| Do not have a policy. | No. Paragraph 180 requires planning policies to protect and enhance valued landscapes. |
| Retain current approach where landscape character is protected in line with recommendations in the Landscape Character Assessment. Corridors and assets should be multi-functional, and these functions are listed. | Yes. |
| Include policy on landscape character, identifying valued landscapes | Yes. |

POLICY 16: BLUE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE AND LANDSCAPE

BLUE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategies which identifies current network of primary blue and green infrastructure corridors. Prioritises enhancement in around strategic development.
- B Develop a wider network than currently identified (informed by an updated BGI Strategy).
- C Set out strategic BGI priorities for development plans and separate criteria for development proposals. Include mitigation hierarchy to address harm to assets and weighting that should be applied to the benefits and harm of a proposal that affects an asset or network.
- D Include a policy to encourage 'urban greening' through larger gardens, wider tree lined streets, community orchards, requirement for more green space etc.
- E Include a policy to set targets for % increase in tree canopy cover for each authority.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This policy will have no implications for housing. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This policy will have no implications for employment and jobs. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This policy will have no implications on economic structure and innovation. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | This policy will have no implications for shopping centres. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | E | Commentary | Mitigation |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Increase the vitality | | | | | | | | |
| and viability of existing | | | | | | | | |
| shopping centres. | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Health and Well Being | Will it reduce health inequalities? | + | ? | + | + | + | Option A delivers enhancements to the | Mitigation will be sought as part of the |
| To improve health and | Will it improve access to health | | | | | | identified blue and | detailed design of a |
| well being and reduce | services? | | | | | | green infrastructure | development. |
| health inequalities. | Will it increase the opportunities | | | | | | corridors. Option B will | a conspine in |
| | for recreational physical | | | | | | develop a wider | |
| | activity? | | | | | | network and deliver | |
| | Will it provide new open space | | | | | | further enhancements. | |
| | or improve the quality of | | | | | | Both options will improve the quality of | |
| | existing open space? | | | | | | the existing open | |
| | Will it improve access to local food growing appartunities? | | | | | | space. | |
| | food growing opportunities? | | | | | | opace. | |
| | | | | | | | Option C will set out | |
| | | | | | | | blue and green | |
| | | | | | | | infrastructure priorities | |
| | | | | | | | for development plans | |
| | | | | | | | and applications, which | |
| | | | | | | | will improve the existing network and will deliver | |
| | | | | | | | enhancements. | |
| | | | | | | | Option D delivers new | |
| | | | | | | | open space and will | |
| | | | | | | | improve existing open | |
| | | | | | | | space through urban | |
| | | | | | | | greening. | |
| | | | | | | | Option E will increase | |
| | | | | | | | tree canopies in each | |
| | | | | | | | authority, which will | |
| | | | | | | | improve the quality of | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | | the existing open space. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on social inclusion. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for transport. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + | ? | + | 0 | 0 | Option A delivers enhancements to the identified blue and green infrastructure corridors. Option B will | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|---|----|---|---|--|--|
| value where appropriate. | | | | | | | develop a wider network and deliver further enhancements. Both options will minimise the impact of the development on the biodiversity of the site. | |
| | | | | | | | Option C includes criteria for development proposals to ensure the delivery of blue and green infrastructure strategic priorities. This will minimise the impact of the development on the biodiversity of the site. Option D and E will not have implications to | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? | ++ | ? | ++ | + | + | brownfield land. Options A, B and C will increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change by protecting and enhancing blue and green infrastructure, increasing the habitats for nature to exist in and delivering infrastructure which can | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|---|----|----|----|--|--|
| | Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | | | | reduce greenhouse gases. Options D and E will reduce greenhouse emissions by delivering infrastructure that will contribute to a reduction in carbon such as trees. | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | ++ | ? | ++ | ++ | ++ | All options will deliver an increase in blue and green infrastructure which can improve air quality and reduce pollution. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive | ++ | ? | ++ | + | + | Options A and B will deliver enhancements to green and blue infrastructure, which can support flood risk mitigation, reduce existing flood risk and improve the quality of water. Option C will set out BGI priorities which will | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|---|----|----|----|--|--|
| | (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | | | | | | deliver enhancements to green and blue infrastructure. This will ensure that any harm to the network will be mitigated. This will contribute to mitigating and reducing flood risk and can improve water quality and WFD status. Options D and E can contribute to mitigating flood risk by improving water storage and dispersing the water naturally. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ++ | ? | ++ | ++ | ++ | All policy options will protect and enhance retained habitats or create new habitats, allowing for biodiversity net gains. All policy options will help to protect landscapes and open space and blue and green corridors and networks. Options D and E will enhance woodland cover. | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|
| 14. LandscapeTo protect and enhance the landscape character.15. Built and Historic Environment | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the landscape. The policy will have no implications to the built | |
| To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | | | | and historic environment. | |
| 16. Natural | Will it lead to reduced | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no | |
| Resources and Waste | consumption of raw materials? | | | | | | implications on natural | |
| Management To prudently manage | Will it promote the use of | | | | | | resources and waste | |
| the natural resources | sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? | | | | | | management. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------------|------------|
| of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | | | | | | | |

POLICY 16: BLUE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE AND LANDSCAPE

LANDSCAPE

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- F Retain current approach where landscape character is protected in line with recommendations in the Landscape Character Assessment. Corridors and assets should be multi-functional, and these functions are listed.
- G Include policy on landscape character, identifying valued landscapes.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for housing. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on employment and jobs. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on economic structure and innovation. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|------------|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for health and well being. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on social inclusion. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications for transport. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on brownfield land. | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on energy and climate change. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|--|------------|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk | Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on pollution and air quality. | |
| posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | + | ? | Option A will encourage landscapes to be multifunctional, which can include providing areas to mitigate flood risk as part of the landscape. The implications of Option B on flood and water quality are unknown. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|---|--|------------|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ++ | ? | Option A will protect landscape character and will encourage multifunctional landscapes, which can improve the quality of existing open spaces and improve blue and green infrastructure networks. The implications of Option B on flood and water quality are unknown. | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | + | ? | Option A will have a positive impact on the visual amenity of the plan area through the enhancement of the blue and green infrastructure network. The implications of Option B on landscape is unknown. | |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and | + | ? | Option A will conserve and enhance the landscape character | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | F | G | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|------------|
| To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? • Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? • Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? • Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? • Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? • Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? • Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | which could benefit the built and historic environment by protecting the landscape setting of historic assets and the heritage of settlements. The implications of Option B on the built and historic environment are unknown. | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | 0 | The policy will have no implications on natural resources and waste management. | |

SUMMARY TABLE

| Policy 16: Blue and Green Infrastructure and Landscape | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Blue and Green Infrastructure | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Retain policy approach within the Aligned Core Strategies which identifies current network of primary blue and green infrastructure corridors. Prioritises enhancement in around strategic development. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Develop a wider network than currently identified (informed by an updated BGI Strategy). | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Set out strategic BGI priorities for development plans and separate criteria for development proposals. Include mitigation hierarchy to address harm to assets and weighting that should be applied to the benefits and harm of a proposal that affects an asset or network. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Include a policy to encourage 'urban greening' through larger gardens, wider tree lined streets, community orchards, requirement for more green space etc. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | ++ | + | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Policy 16: Blue and Green Infrastructure and Landscape | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|---|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Include a policy to set targets for % increase in tree canopy cover for each authority. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | ++ | + | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Landscape | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Retain current approach where landscape character is protected in line with recommendations in the Landscape Character Assessment. Corridors and assets should be multi-functional, and these functions are listed. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | ++ | + | + | 0 |
| Include policy on landscape character, identifying valued landscapes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 |

CONCLUSIONS

The alternative approaches for Policy 16: Blue and Green Infrastructure and Landscape scored positively against the objectives they affected. Where an option did not score positively against an objective, it was either assessed to have no impact upon the objective or the impact of the option on the objective was unknown.

When considering the Blue and Green Infrastructure Options A-E, Options A and C-E scored major positive against the Pollution and Air Quality objective and the Natural Environment, Biodiversity and BGI objective. This is not surprising given the nature of the policy and its options. Options A and C scored more positives than Options D-E. However, Options A and C had a wider scope to positively benefit more objectives, whereas Options D-E provided more focused policy options, narrowing the scope to positively benefit other objectives.

The impact of Option B against some of the objectives was assessed to be unknown given that the proposed wider blue and green infrastructure network is unknown, and therefore the impact of the option on the objectives is unknown.

When considering the Landscape Options F-G, the two options had no impact upon twelve of the objectives. Option F scored positively against objectives 12-15 as the option complements the environmental objectives. However, against objectives 12-15 the impact of Option G was assessed to be unknown given that the proposed wider landscape network is unknown and therefore the impact of the option on the objectives is unknown.

POLICY 17: BIODIVERSITY

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Is it a realistic alternative? |
|---|---|
| Retain policy which sets out how biodiversity will be increased over the plan period. This will be achieved by protecting and enhancing networks or habitats and species; avoiding the fragmentation of the blue and green infrastructure network; providing biodiversity features on site; supporting management of new and created habitats; apply the mitigation hierarchy. Designated sites will be protected and development on non-designated sites will only be permitted where harm outweighs the benefits. | Yes |
| Do not have a policy | No. Paragraph 174 requires planning policies contribute and enhance the natural and local environment by minimising impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity, including by establishing coherent ecological networks that are more resilient to current and future pressures |
| Retain the broad policy approaches within the Aligned Core Strategy but identify ecological networks within the plan and establish policy protections where development may affect these networks. | Yes. Required within paragraph 174. |
| Do not specify a biodiversity net gain amount. | Yes. Legislation requires (from January 2024) a minimum net-gain of 10%. |
| Set 10% minimum biodiversity net gain and allow subsequent plans the option to set higher % biodiversity net gain amount. | Yes. Neither environmental legislation nor national planning policy prevent local authorities setting a greater net gain within their local plans. Provided it is justified. |
| Set minimum biodiversity net-gain of between 10 -20% and allow subsequent plans the option to set higher % net-gain. | Yes. Neither environmental legislation nor national planning policy prevent local authorities setting a greater net gain within their local plans. Provided it is justified. |

POLICY 17: BIODIVERSITY

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

- A Retain policy which sets out how biodiversity will be increased over the plan period. This will be achieved by: protecting and enhancing networks or habitats and species; avoiding the fragmentation of the blue and green infrastructure network; providing biodiversity features on site; supporting management of new and created habitats; apply the mitigation hierarchy. Designated sites will be protected and development on non-designated sites will only be permitted where harm outweighs the benefits.
- B Retain the broad policy approaches within the Aligned Core Strategy but identify ecological networks within the plan and establish policy protections where development may affect these networks.
- C Do not specify a biodiversity net gain amount.
- D Set 10% minimum biodiversity net gain and allow subsequent plans the option to set higher % biodiversity net gain amount.
- E Set minimum biodiversity net-gain of between 10- 20% and allow subsequent plans the option to set higher % net-gain.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | - | Options A and B set out the strategic policy policies that will protect and enhance biodiversity. Impacts on this objective will depend on development sites and their biodiversity value. Their effects are therefore uncertain. Option C will be neutral as biodiversity net gain of 10% is mandatory. Option D's effects are uncertain as these will depend on whether subsequent plans set a higher % of net gain. Option E may affect the developable area of development sites and their viability. This may affect their deliverability and/or the ability to provide affordable housing contributions. | Sites selected should have lower biodiversity value to reduce the amount of net-gain. Plan wide viability appraisals must ensure that, if an increased biodiversity net-gain is justified, deliverability of sites and affordable housing contributions are not adversely affected. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | | Like their effects on the housing objective above, the effects of Options A and B will depend on the development sites. Option C would be neutral as legislation requires a mandatory 10% net gain in biodiversity. Option D is unknown as subsequent plans will determine whether an increase in biodiversity net gain is justified. | Sites selected should avoid adversely affecting biodiversity assets and have lower biodiversity value to reduce the amount of net-gain. Plan wide viability appraisals must ensure that, if an increased biodiversity net-gain is justified, deliverability of employment sites is not adversely affected. |
| | | | | | | | Option E may affect the developable area of development sites and their viability. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | | Like their effects on the employment and housing objectives above, the effects of Options A and B will depend on the selected development sites. Option C will have a neutral effect as 10% net gain is a mandatory requirement. The effects of option D would be uncertain as subsequent plans will determine whether an increase in the mandatory net-gain is required. An increase in biodiversity net-gain is likely to effect viability of sites and reduce contributions for other infrastructure. Consequently, it may have a major negative effect on this objective. | Sites selected should avoid adversely affecting biodiversity assets and have lower biodiversity value to reduce the amount of net-gain. Plan wide viability appraisals must ensure that, if an increased biodiversity net-gain is justified, deliverability of employment sites is not adversely affected. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | O | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | - | Options A and B will have a neutral effect on this Objective as developments within shopping centres they are unlikely to affect biodiversity assets or the wider network. The effects of option D would also be uncertain as subsequent plans will determine whether an increase in the mandatory net-gain is required. | Plan wide viability appraisals must ensure that, if an increased biodiversity net-gain is justified, deliverability of development within centres are not adversely affected. |
| | | | | | | | The requirement to provide an increase in net-gain would affect the viability of some developments within the centres. Delivery on site may also be constrained by absence of opportunities within town centres to deliver biodiversity net-gain. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|----|---|---|----|---|------------|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | ++ | 0 | ? | ++ | Options A and B would both protect, create, and enhance biodiversity and natural greenspaces. However, option B would identify and protect the wider ecological networks, that include a numerous range and number of sites and their connections. Option C would be neutral as national policy would determine net-gain. The effects of option D would also be uncertain as subsequent plans will determine whether an increase in the mandatory net-gain is required. Option E would provide more natural green space. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Ε | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | All options will have a neutral effect on this objective as the reduction in crime and fear of crime, and the contribution to a safe and secure environment will depend on the layout and design of new developments. | Design and green infrastructure strategies should consider the design and layout of green infrastructure and measures that reduce crime or the fear of crime. Setting the context for subsequent development plans and supplementary planning documents. |

| 7. Social | Will it protect and enhance | + | + | 0 | ? | + | Both options A and B | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|--|
| Inclusion | existing cultural assets? | + | + | | | | would, through the | |
| To promote and | Will it improve access to, | | | | | | protection, creation, | |
| support the | encourage engagement with | 1 | | | | | and enhancement of | |
| development and | and residents' satisfaction in | | | | | | habitats that | |
| growth of social | community activities? | | | | | | complement cultural | |
| capital and to | Will it increase the number | | | | | | assets. Valued natural | |
| improve social | of facilities e.g. shops, | | | | | | greenspaces such as | |
| inclusion and to | community centres? | | | | | | Wollaton Park and the | |
| close the gap | Will it provide for the | | | | | | Attenborough Nature | |
| between the most | educational needs? | | | | | | Reserve are | |
| deprived areas | | | | | | | themselves cultural | |
| within the plan | | | | | | | assets. | |
| area. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | They also provide | |
| | | | | | | | opportunities for | |
| | | | | | | | community and | |
| | | | | | | | educational activities. | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Regarding net-gain | |
| | | | | | | | (Options C to D), the | |
| | | | | | | | delivery of more priority | |
| | | | | | | | habitats will bring | |
| | | | | | | | benefits for social | |
| | | | | | | | inclusion. Options C will | |
| | | | | | | | have a neutral effect as | |
| | | | | | | | 10% net-gain is | |
| | | | | | | | mandatory. Option D is | |
| | | | | | | | unknown as any | |
| | | | | | | | addition net-gain is | |
| | | | | | | | deferred to subsequent | |
| | | | | | | | plans. | |
| | | | | | | | Option E would deliver | |
| | | | | | | | more and/or higher | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | quality priority habitats. | |
| | | | | | | | Improving social | |
| | | | | | | | capital. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + | + | 0 | ? | + | Delivered alongside transport infrastructure, the creation, protection, and enhancement of biodiversity would assist the minimisation of transport's impacts on the environment. Tree planting for example would reduce noise and pollution levels. A network of multifunctional green spaces that enhance biodiversity could also deliver active travel transport infrastructure. Options C would have a neutral effect as 10% is mandatory. Option D's effects are unknown as any increase in net-gain will be set within subsequent plans. Option E would deliver more priority habitats and therefore greater benefits as set out above. | |

| _ | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 9. Brownfield | • | Will it make efficient use of | + | + | 0 | ? | + | The protection and | The Strategic Plan |
| Land | | brownfield land? | | | | | | enhancement of | should make it clear that |
| To make efficient | • | Will the development | | | | | | biodiversity is likely to | some priority habitats |
| use of brownfield | | minimise the impact on the | | | | | | direct development (in | and species may exist |
| land and recognise | | biodiversity interests of the | | | | | | accordance with the | within brownfield land |
| biodiversity value | | site? | | | | | | mitigation hierarchy) | and these should |
| where appropriate. | | | | | | | | towards less sensitive | protected and enhanced |
| | | | | | | | | sites. These are likely | in line with their |
| | | | | | | | | to be more brownfield. | ecological importance. |
| | | | | | | | | Some brownfield land | |
| | | | | | | | | will, due to their rarer | |
| | | | | | | | | ground conditions, may | |
| | | | | | | | | contain priority or | |
| | | | | | | | | protected species and | |
| | | | | | | | | these should be | |
| | | | | | | | | protected in | |
| | | | | | | | | accordance with their | |
| | | | | | | | | ecological value. | |
| | | | | | | | | occiogical raide: | |
| | | | | | | | | Options C would have | |
| | | | | | | | | a neutral effect as 10% | |
| | | | | | | | | is mandatory. | |
| | | | | | | | | io manadiory. | |
| | | | | | | | | Option D's effects are | |
| | | | | | | | | unknown as any | |
| | | | | | | | | increase in net-gain will | |
| | | | | | | | | be set within | |
| | | | | | | | | subsequent plans. | |
| | | | | | | | | Subsequent plans. | |
| | | | | | | | | Option E would help | |
| | | | | | | | | steer development | |
| | | | | | | | | away from higher | |
| | | | | | | | | quality habitats which | |
| | | | | | | | | will require even higher | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | quality, or more priority | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | habitats to achieve | |
| | | | | | | | greater net-gain. | |

| | | | | | | _ | |
|--|--|-----|-----|---|---|-----|--|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | + + | + + | 0 | ? | + + | Options A and B seek to increase biodiversity, through the protection and enhancement of a network of habitats. This will assist the resilience of biodiversity to climate change, provide opportunities for carbon sequestration and increase ecosystem services. Option B would score higher if it were possible as this will identify those networks. Options C would have a neutral effect as 10% is mandatory. Option D's effects are unknown as any increase in net-gain will be set within subsequent plans Option E would increase natural habitats and as such would increase biodiversity's resilience to climate change, |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 44 Pollution and | | | | 0 | | | help people adapt to climate change and increase ecosystems services. | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air noise, and other types of pollution? | + | + | 0 | ? | + | The natural environment provides numerous ecosystem services that reduce pollution, including cleaning air and water and provided noise barriers. Options A and B would therefore have a minor positive effect. As with the objectives above, Option C would be neutral as national legislation requires 10% net-gain. Option D's effect are uncertain as it defers any increase above 10% to subsequent plans. Option E would deliver more or higher quality habitats, with greater benefits | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|-----|-----|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | + + | + + | 0 | ? | + | Options A and B will help minimise flood risk through the protection and creation of multifunctional BGI. They will also help conserve water and improve its quality. Regarding net-gain Option C is neutral as mandatory 10% will be legally required. Option D is unknown as it defers any possible increase to subsequent plans. Option E will increase areas of natural greenspace that will assist mitigate flood risks. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | O | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|----|---|---|----|---|------------|
| 13. Natural Environment, | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and | ++ | ++ | 0 | ? | ++ | Options A and B will both protect and | |
| Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure | avoid harm to protected species?Will it allow for biodiversity | | | | | | enhance biodiversity and the BGI network. Consequently, they will | |
| To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance bluegreen infrastructure | net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and | | | | | | have a major positive effect on this objective. The identification of the ecological network (Option B) however, will | |
| and the natural environment. | management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | | | | bring greater benefits. Option C would not increase net-gains beyond those required by legislation. Consequently, its effects are neutral. | |
| | | | | | | | Option D is unknown as it defers any increase in net gain to subsequent plans. | |
| | | | | | | | Option E would have a major positive effect as it would potentially achieve net gain above national legislation. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Е | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|-----|-----|---|---|---|--|--|
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | + + | + + | 0 | ? | + | The protection and enhancement of biodiversity will have positive benefits for landscapes. Options A and B are therefore major positives. The identification of the ecological network (Option B) however, will bring greater benefits. As with Objective 15 above, Option C is, for the same reasons, neutral and Option D is unknown. | Habitats created should be appropriate to the landscape. |
| | | | | | | | Option E would bring benefits to landscape as it will deliver more habitats. | |

| 15. Built and | • | Will it respect, maintain, and | + | + | 0 | ? | + | The protection and |
|--------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|
| Historic | | strengthen the local | + | + | · | - | | enhancement of |
| Environment | | character and | | | | | | biodiversity, as required |
| To protect and | | distinctiveness of the | | | | | | in Options A and B will |
| enhance the | | townscape or settlement | | | | | | have major positive |
| townscape | | character? | | | | | | effects on this objective |
| character and | • | Will it conserve and enhance | | | | | | as it will, where |
| enhancing the | | designated and non- | | | | | | appropriate, help |
| place through good | | designated heritage assets | | | | | | conserve and enhance |
| design. To | | and their setting? | | | | | | heritage assets and |
| conserve | • | Will it provide better | | | | | | their settings. |
| designated and | | opportunities for people to | | | | | | |
| non-designated | | access and understand local | | | | | | They will also help |
| heritage assets | | heritage and to participate in | | | | | | maintain and |
| and their setting | | cultural activities? | | | | | | strengthen local |
| and provide better | • | Will it protect or improve | | | | | | character and |
| opportunities for | | access and enjoyment of the | | | | | | distinctiveness. |
| people to enjoy | | historic environment? | | | | | | |
| culture and | • | Will it contribute to the better | | | | | | Option C will have a |
| heritage. | | management of heritage | | | | | | neutral effect as 10% |
| | | assets and tackle heritage at | | | | | | net-gain will be |
| | | risk? | | | | | | delivered in accordance |
| | • | Will it foster heritage-led | | | | | | with national legislation. |
| | | regeneration? | | | | | | |
| | • | Will it promote heritage | | | | | | Option D's effects are |
| | | based sustainable tourism? | | | | | | unknown as any |
| | | | | | | | | increase in net gain |
| | | | | | | | | and potential benefits |
| | | | | | | | | to this objective are |
| | | | | | | | | deferred to subsequent |
| | | | | | | | | plans. |
| | | | | | | | | An increase in habitats |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | generated because of |
| | | | | | | | | between 10- 20% net- |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | В | С | D | Ε | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|-----|----|---|---|----|--|------------|
| - | | | | | | | gain could have benefits for heritage conservation, as these could conserve heritage assets and their setting. | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | + + | ++ | 0 | ? | ++ | Options A, B and E will contribute to the delivery of sustainable design as they will encourage the inclusion of priority habitats on sites. These habitats will also assist the delivery of SuDS, mitigate pollution and effects of climate change. They will also assist in the reduction of greenfield loss. Options C and D are either neutral or unknown as Option C defers to national policy and therefore is neutral and option D defers to subsequent plans and is therefore unknown. | |

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

| Policy 17: Biodiversity | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|---|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| A - Retain policy which sets out how biodiversity will be increased over the plan period. This will be achieved by protecting and enhancing networks or habitats and species; avoiding the fragmentation of the blue and green infrastructure network; providing biodiversity features on site; supporting management of new and created habitats; apply the mitigation hierarchy. Designated sites will be protected and development on non-designated sites will only be permitted where harm outweighs the benefits. | ? | ? | ? | 0 | + | 0 | ++ | + | + | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| B - Retain the broad policy approaches within the Aligned Core Strategy but identify ecological networks within the plan and establish policy protections where development may affect these networks. | ? | ? | ? | 0 | ++ | 0 | ++ | + | + | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| C - Do not specify a biodiversity net gain amount. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| D - Set 10% minimum biodiversity net gain and allow subsequent plans the option to set higher % biodiversity net gain amount. | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| E - Set minimum biodiversity net-gain of 10-20% and allow subsequent plans the option to set higher % net-gain. | - | - | | - | ++ | 0 | + | + | + | ++ | + | + | ++ | + | + | ++ |

CONCLUSIONS

The biodiversity policy options cover two themes, firstly the retention of the existing policy and identification of ecological networks (Options A or B) and delivery of biodiversity net-gain (Options C, D or E).

Regarding whether to retain the current policy (Option A) or include the identification of ecological networks, both scored the same against the SA Objectives. However, as it would assist the delivery of a connected network of natural greenspace, the identification of ecological network did have a major positive effect on health and wellbeing rather than just minor positive (Option A).

The appraisal of options for delivering net-gain clearly shows that Option C (not specifying a biodiversity net gain requirement) would have a neutral impact as the Government has legislated a requirement for 10% in biodiversity net-gain and it will be required without a policy on net-gain.

Option D's effects (allowing subsequent plans to set a higher % net-gain) are unknown as subsequent plans may or may not set a greater net-gain requirement.

The appraisal of Option E (setting a minimum net-gain of between 10-20%) did however enable conclusions to be made regarding its effects on the SA objectives. It is likely that it would have negative effects on the delivery of housing and economic objectives as it could affect viability. However, this is tested in the plan wide viability appraisal and will depend on the sites selected (sites with priority habitats will require more net gain to offset any harm to these habitats). Conversely, Option E does have positive effects on all the other objectives, including health and wellbeing, transport, brownfield land, pollution, flooding, natural environment, landscape, built environment and natural resources.

POLICY 18: INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS

IDENTIFICATION OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

| Options | Is it a realistic alternative? |
|--|--|
| Assess a policy which sets out key infrastructure requirements and sets out funding mechanisms for key infrastructure required which will include transport infrastructure, public transport, health, education, open space and training and employment measures (This would be a merging of existing Core Strategies policies 18 and 19). | Yes |
| Do not have a policy | No - the NPPF states that Local Plans need to ensure sufficient provision is made for infrastructure and should set out the contributions expected from development. |

POLICY 18: INFRASTRUCTURE AND DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS (MERGER OF POLICY 18 AND 19)

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES FOR APPRAISAL:

A - Assess a policy which sets out key infrastructure requirements and sets out funding mechanisms for key infrastructure required which will include transport infrastructure, public transport, health, education, open space and training and employment measures (This would be a merging of existing Core Strategies policies 18 and 19).

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | The option would help to ensure that the infrastructure required for housing, such as transport, schools and health facilities, is identified. The option incorporates developer contributions and funding mechanisms which would increase the certainty of these being delivered. | Ensure that infrastructure requirements can be funded without making development unviable. |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | The option incorporates developer contributions and funding mechanisms, may require additional training and employment measures. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? | + | The option would help to ensure that the infrastructure required to support the modern economic structure, such as transport | Ensure that infrastructure requirements can be funded without making development unviable. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|------------|
| To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | infrastructure, is funded and delivered. Incorporating developer contributions and funding mechanisms would increase the certainty of these being delivered. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ? | The impact upon the objective is uncertain, although developer contributions and new transport infrastructure, may support existing shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | The option would help to ensure that new health services, opportunities for recreation and new open space or blue-green infrastructure are funded and delivered. Incorporating developer contributions and funding mechanisms, would increase the certainty of these being delivered. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | ? | The impact of the policy is uncertain but may include enhancements to the public realm which may help to contribute to ensuring a safe and secure built environment. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|---|---|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | ++ | The option would help to ensure that existing cultural assets and community facilities are protected and enhanced and that new educational facilities are funded and delivered, allowing for a more holistic approach. It would also identify the infrastructure required which may include community facilities. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ++ | developer contributions fund public transport infrastructure which | Ensure priority is given to improving existing transport infrastructure and for measures which reduce journeys undertaken by private car. |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | ? | It is considered that the option | Consider providing infrastructure on brownfield land where feasible. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| biodiversity value where appropriate. | | | sites but this may impact the location of other uses (e.g. housing). | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | ? | The option may help to fund and deliver community energy systems and indirectly increase the use of renewable energy. Measures may also help to reduce journeys by private cars to developments. | Ensure new infrastructure seeks to limit additional energy use and is provided in energy efficient buildings. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|--|--|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | + | The option will help to deliver public transport infrastructure, including public transport, footpaths and cycleways, which would help to reduce levels of air, noise and other types of pollution, by reducing the number of journeys made by the private car. | Ensure priority is given to measures which seek to reduce air, noise and other types of pollution. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | + | The option will help to deliver environmental improvements and blue-green infrastructure which may reduce flood risk. | Ensure consideration is given to minimising flood risk when new infrastructure is provided. |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? | ++ | The option would help to ensure that the existing natural environment, including biodiversity and blue-green infrastructure is protected and enhanced and that new opportunities for open space and blue-green infrastructure networks are funded and delivered. | Need to ensure that increased access to existing open space or blue-green infrastructure does not cause harm to biodiversity if access is greater than the capacity that can be supported without disturbance to wildlife or damage to habitats. Other forms of infrastructure need to protect the natural environment, biodiversity and blue-green infrastructure. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | The impact upon the objective is uncertain as it depends on the type and location of the infrastructure. | Ensure that new infrastructure takes into consideration landscape character. |
| Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and nondesignated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | + | , 5 | Include opportunities for enhancement of the historic environment. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Α | Commentary | Mitigation |
|-----------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 16. Natural | Will it lead to reduced | ? | The impact upon the objective is | Ensure new infrastructure seeks to |
| Resources and | consumption of raw materials? | | uncertain. | minimise the use of raw materials |
| Waste | Will it promote the use of | | | and promotes sustainable design. |
| Management | sustainable design, materials | | | |
| To prudently manage | • | | | |
| the natural resources | Will it result in additional | | | |
| of the area including | waste? | | | |
| soils, safeguarding | Will it reduce hazardous | | | |
| minerals and waste. | waste? | | | |
| | Will it protect the best and | | | |
| | most versatile (BMV) agricultural | | | |
| | land? | | | |
| | Will it prevent the loss of | | | |
| | greenfield land to development? | | | |

SUMMARY TABLE

| Policy 18: Infrastructure And Developer Contributions | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Option A - Policy sets out key infrastructure requirements and sets out funding mechanisms for key infrastructure | + | + | + | ? | ‡ | ? | ++ | ++ | ? | ? | + | + | + | ? | + | ? |

CONCLUSIONS

Only one option was considered as part of the appraisal. The Option scored minor positives in relation to Objectives 1,2 and 3 with importance of providing infrastructure and setting requirements for developer contributions being highlighted in relation to housing, employment and economic development.

The impact on Objective 4 was uncertain as it would depend on the type and location of infrastructure in respect of whether it would impact shopping centres. Objectives 6, 9 10, 14 and 16 were also considered to be uncertain.

Objective 5 was a major positive as it would help to ensure that new health services, opportunities for recreation and new open space or blue-green infrastructure are funded and delivered.

Objective 7 was also a major positive due to the benefits to community facilities and educational facilities which help to support social inclusion.

Objective 8 was a major positive as identifying transport priorities would help to ensure that developer contributions fund public transport infrastructure which would increase accessibility to services and facilities.

The impact on Objective 11 was a minor positive due to the potential of delivering public transport infrastructure, including footpaths and cycleways, which would help to reduce levels of air, noise and other types of pollution, by reducing the number of journeys made by the private car.

Objective 12 also scored a minor positive as the Option it would help to deliver eenvironmental improvements and blue-green infrastructure which may reduce flood risk.

Objective 13 was a major positive as it would ensure that new opportunities for open space and blue-green infrastructure networks are funded and delivered.

Objective 15 was a minor positive as it could provide funding to increase the access to, and enjoyment, of the historic environment, for example through contributions to cultural facilities.

FINAL POLICIES

An assessment has been undertaken on the final policies contained within the Regulation 19 Publication Version of the Greater Nottingham Strategic Plan.

Site Specific Policies have been assessed separately under the site specific SA Framework.

| | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | (0 | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----|------------------------------------|--|
| Policy 1: Climate Change | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ++ | + | ++ | + | 0 | + | ++ |
| Policy 2: The Spatial Strategy | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | + | ++ | ++ | + | ? | ? | ++ | + | ? | + |
| Policy 3: Housing Target | ++ | + | + | + | 0 | ? | + | - | ? | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | ? | - |
| Policy 4: The Green Belt | ++ | ? | ++ | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | ? | + | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Policy 5: Employment Provision | 0 | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | ? | ? | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Policy 6: Nottingham City Centre | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | + | 0 | ++ | 0 |
| Policy 7: Role of Town and Local Centres | + | + | + | ++ | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 |

| | 1. Housing | 2. Employment and jobs | 3. Economic structure and innovation | 4. Shopping centres | 5. Health and well-being | 6. Community safety | 7. Social inclusion | 8. Transport | 9. Brownfield land | 10. Energy and climate change | 11. Pollution and air quality | 12. Flooding and water quality | 13. Natural environment, biodiversity and BGI | 14. Landscape | 15. Built and historic environment | 16. Natural resources and waste management |
|--|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Policy 8: Housing Size, Mix and Choice | ++ | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Policy 9: Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople | ++ | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | ? | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Policy 10: Design and Enhancing Local Identity | 0 | 0 | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | ++ | ++ | ? |
| Policy 11: The Historic Environment | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | ++ | 0 |
| Policy 12: Local Services and Healthy Lifestyles | 0 | + | + | ++ | ++ | ? | ++ | ++ | ? | + | ++ | ? | 0 | ? | + | ? |
| Policy 13: Culture, Tourism and Sport | 0 | + | 0 | ++ | ++ | ? | ++ | + | ? | ? | ? | ? | + | ? | + | ? |
| Policy 14: Managing Travel Demand | 0 | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | ++ | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + |
| Policy 15: Transport Infrastructure Priorities | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | ++ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + |
| Policy 16: Blue And Green Infrastructure, Parks and Open Space | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | 0 |
| Policy 17: Biodiversity | ? | ? | ? | 0 | ++ | 0 | ++ | + | + | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| Policy 18: Developer Contributions for Infrastructure | + | + | + | ? | ++ | ? | ++ | ++ | ? | ? | + | + | ++ | ? | + | ? |

POLICY 1: CLIMATE CHANGE

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | The policy will not impact on the number of homes delivered by is likely to reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes through exceeding Building Reg. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on employment and jobs. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? | 0 | The policy will not have an impact on providing the physical conditions | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|------------|
| To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | for a modern economic structure. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | The policy will not have an impact on the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? | + | The policy has the potential to reduce health inequalities by enabling new development to adapt to climate change and be more energy efficient. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|------------|
| | Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |
| reduce crime and the fear of crime. | | | | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | 0 | The policy is unlikely to directly impact on social inclusion. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|---|------------|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for transport. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | The policy will have no implication for brownfield land. | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature- | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? | ++ | The policy will positively impact on energy and climate change through improving energy efficiency of new buildings and ensuring that buildings are able to | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|------------|
| based solutions to climate change. | Will it support the development of community energy systems? | | deal with future changes in climate. | |
| | Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? | | | |
| | Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? | | | |
| | Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it help people adapt to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? | | | |
| | Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | + | The policy is likely to have a positive impact on pollution due to increased energy | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|--|------------|
| To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | | | efficiency and use of sustainably sourced materials. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ++ | The policy is likely to minimise the risk of flooding. The policy reflects the ACS policy which supports the precautionary principle, takes a sequential approach to allocations and applies the sequential test and requires use of SuDS. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? | + | By promoting sustainable development, the policy will have a positive impact on this criterion. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and | Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance | | | |
| the natural environment. | Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? | | | |
| | Will it provide new open space or green space? | | | |
| | Will it improve the quality of existing open space? | | | |
| | Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | |
| 14. Landscape | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the | |
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | | landscape. | |
| 15. Built and Historic | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character | + | The policy encourages the use of sustainably | |
| Environment | and distinctiveness of the | | sourced materials which | |
| To protect and enhance the | townscape or settlement character? | | are more likely to respect local character and distinctiveness. | |
| townscape character and enhancing the place through good | Will it conserve and enhance designated and non- | | นเรนเทษเทษายรร. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|--|------------|
| design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? | ++ | The policy promotes the effective use of sustainable sourced materials and minimising waste including hazardous waste. The policy also promotes the use of sustainable design. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Commentary | Mitigation |
|----------------------------------|--|------------|------------|
| safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it reduce hazardous waste? | | |
| | Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? | | |
| | Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | | |

POLICY 2: THE SPATIAL STRATEGY

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|-----|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + + | The policy addresses the strategic distribution of development across the plan area, including housing and will ensure housing is delivered based on a clear hierarchy, focusing the location of new housing growth within and adjoining the main built up area and having regard to existing and proposed transport infrastructure. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + + | The policy addresses the strategic distribution of development across the plan area, including employment. It includes a clear focus on maximising the economic development potential of key sites. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|-----|---|------------|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | The policy seeks to direct the location of new employment, retail and other types of development growth within and adjoining the main built up area which would have regard to existing and proposed transport infrastructure. It also includes a specific focus on economic development. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + + | The policy will deliver development within the main built-up area and key settlements, of which contain city, district, and local centres. It also encourages the creation of sustainable communities including local services and facilities. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | Prioritising new development that protects and enhances blue and green infrastructure will have health and wellbeing benefits as this will provide accessible open space, including natural greenspace, recreational areas, sports pitches, and active travel routes. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | The policy will have a neutral effect on this objective. | Ensure developments consider crime and safety in their design and layout, providing safe communities. |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | The policy will direct development towards the main urban area where most deprived areas are located. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|-----|--|------------|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + | The policy would utilise existing transport infrastructure within urban areas, minimise impacts on the environment and reduce journeys undertaken by private cars. The policy also seeks to ensure that walking, cycling and public transport infrastructure connects new development to local community services. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + + | Whilst the policy would still result in the use of greenfield land to deliver its housing and employment targets, it would make the most efficient use of brownfield land within the urban area with the main built up area being prioritised. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | + | The policy seeks to minimise the need to travel through an approach to sustainable development. It also seeks to ensure blue and green infrastructure opportunities are maximised which can help to increase resilience to climate change. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | ? | The policy would increase development within the Nottingham Urban Area Agglomeration zone. However, the focus on creating sustainable communities and on noncar modes of transport and the promotion of BGI will help to mitigate some issues arising. | Ensure development provides local services and facilities, and the provision of non-car based transport infrastructure to these facilities and those outside the site. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ? | Whilst the policy would direct development to locations within or adjacent to the main urban area where there are areas of within Flood Zones 2 and 3 (primarily within the Trent Valley), the effects remain uncertain as elevated areas outside these flood zones could provide locations for development. The provision of BGI would also provide land for flood water storage and improvements in water quality. | Ensure new development is suitably located outside of flood risk areas, conserve water and avoid impact of new development upon water quality. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|-----|---|------------|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | + + | The policy includes a focus on maximising opportunities to enhance blue and green infrastructure and incorporate it into new development. | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | + | The policy would focus development within urban townscapes where it would be easier to accommodate visually. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | ? | It depends on the locations and proposals of new development in relation to existing designated and non-designated heritage assets (including Scheduled Monuments, Registered Parks and Gardens, Listed Buildings, Conservation Areas, locally interest buildings and archaeology) and whether the proposals would respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character. There may be opportunities for heritage led regeneration projects to include housing. | Development should address the protection and enhancement of local character, distinctiveness and heritage assets |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|--|------------|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | + | The policy would direct development towards the main built up area where primarily there are more brownfield sites and less agricultural land. | |

POLICY 3: HOUSING TARGET

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | The policy seeks to ensure that the housing needs are met through setting appropriate housing targets. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | The policy seeks to ensure that there is sufficient housing to match job growth and that these houses are provided in appropriate locations. It also includes mixed use sites. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | The plan seeks to ensure there are sufficient sites for housing which will help to protect employment sites. It also helps to provide housing for employees, including graduates. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + | The policy reflects policy 2 in prioritising sites within and then adjoining the main built up area. This will encourage residents to use existing shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | 0 | The policy focuses on housing delivery. Strategic scale development could deliver health facilities and provide comprehensive green infrastructure. | Informed by the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, policies in the strategic plan should deliver sufficient community facilities. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | ? | The impact will depend on the location, design, and layout of developments. Consequently, their effects are unknown and uncertain. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | The delivery of strategic sites will increase the likelihood of delivering community facilities as part of developments. It also reflects Policy 2, prioritising sites within and then adjacent to the main built up area which will help new communities to integrate with existing communities. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimises the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | - | The housing requirements will lead to an increase in traffic. However, the location of the strategic sites focuses on sites which have, or have the potential to, use and enhance existing transport infrastructure. Securing these enhancements as part of the delivery of sites. | Informed by Transport Modelling and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, site specific development requirements should be secured as part of planning permissions to ensure appropriate transport infrastructure is delivered. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | ? | Sites within the main built up area are prioritised, which includes some brownfield land. However, a number of greenfield sites are also allocated. The impact is therefore uncertain. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | ? | The effects upon this objective will depend on other factors, notably policies which seek to manage the energy use of new development. | Secure reductions in emissions and the mitigation of causes and effects of climate change as part of future planning permissions, in line with policy. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | ? | The effects upon this objective will depend on other factors, notably policies which seek to manage air, noise and other types of pollution. | Ensure appropriate air, noise and pollution mitigation is incorporated as part of future developments. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | Flood risk has been considered as part of the site selection process. The majority of sites lie outside of flood risk areas or require flood mitigation measures. A sequential approach has also been followed whilst selecting sites. | Development should incorporate SuDS, flood mitigation and water efficiency measures. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ? | Development will be required to provide biodiversity net gain and new open space. New residents may increase demand on existing green and blue infrastructure but also provides an opportunity to enhance these areas. | Development should optimise opportunities to deliver environmental net-gain, active travel, recreational open space and the other benefits that BGI brings. |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | Development will have an impact on the landscape. However, the sites are primarily within or adjacent to the main built up area which will allow development to largely preserve the character. | Landscape should be considered as part of the design and layout of future development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | ? | Effects of these options on the built and historic environment will depend primarily on the final layout and design of sites. | Ensure development preserves the built and historic environment through appropriate design. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | - | The housing targets will lead to increased consumption and additional waste compared with a lower housing target. Some sites also include agricultural land. | Use sustainable materials, design and construction techniques. |

POLICY 4: THE GREEN BELT

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|---|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | housing for all social | ++ | The policy reviews the Green Belt boundary to meet development needs and provides the mechanism to review Green Belt boundaries as part of future plans to meet development requirements which would include meeting housing need. It also identifies safeguarded land. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | ? | The policy would have an uncertain impact on this objective. It would depend on the type and location of development. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? | ++ | The policy reviews the Green Belt boundary to meet development needs and provides the mechanism to review Green Belt boundaries as part of future plans to meet | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|------------|
| conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | development requirements which would include meeting economic/ employment need. It also identifies safeguarded land. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ? | The policy would have an uncertain impact on this objective. It would depend on the type of development and whether this resulted in benefits to existing shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | The impact would be uncertain as it depends on the type and location of development. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | The policy would have no implications for the objective. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | 0 | The policy would have no implications for the objective | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? | ? | The policy would have an uncertain impact on this objective. It would depend on the type of development. However, the locations where Green Belt has been removed does provide opportunities to use transport infrastructure. | Ensure development links in with existing transport infrastructure. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|--|------------|
| improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | The policy includes Green Belt release on sites which include brownfield land. | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? | The policy would have an uncertain impact on this objective. It depends on the type and location of the development. | , , , |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|--|---|
| | Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | The policy would have an uncertain impact on this objective. Development may increase air, noise and other types of pollution but this depends on the type and location and the mitigation measures. | Incorporate measures which reduce air pollution. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? | depends on the final layout of the | Avoid flood risk areas or ensure suitable mitigation is incorporated. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|------------------------------|
| 13. Natural | Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? Will it help protect and | | There would be an uncertain | Avoid important areas |
| Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | • | | of ecology and biodiversity. |
| 14. Landscape | Does it respect or | ? | There would be an uncertain | Ensure development |
| To protect and enhance the | preserve identified landscape character? | | impact on this objective. Removing land from the Green Belt for | is designed to |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------|
| landscape character. | Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | development may have an impact on landscape but this depends on the final form of the development. | minimise impact on landscape. |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | There would be an uncertain impact on this objective. Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns is one of the five purposes of the Green Belt and should be taken into account as part of reviewing Green Belt boundaries or designating additional safeguarded land. However, more development in town and cities may also have an impact on the built and historic environment. | • |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ? | It is considered that the policy would have an uncertain impact on this objective as it would depend on the type of development. | Avoid areas of best and most versatile land. |

POLICY 5: EMPLOYMENT PROVISION

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|---|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | This policy will have no implications for housing as delivering land for employment and offices is unlikely to directly influence the housing stock. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | ++ | The policy encourages economic growth and allocates strategic sites across the plan area, which would create new jobs, improving the diversity and quality of jobs. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|----|---|------------|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | # | The policy encourages economic growth and allocates strategic sites across the plan area, which would create new jobs, improving the diversity and quality of jobs. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ++ | The policy will deliver development in sustainable locations including the City Centre and in SUEs and therefore be likely to support the vitality and viability of existing and proposed shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and | Will it reduce health inequalities?Will it improve access to health services? | 0 | The policy is unlikely to impact on health and well being. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|------------|
| reduce health inequalities. | Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? | + | The policy seeks to retain existing employment sites that support less-skilled jobs in and near deprived areas or have the potential to provide start up or grow-on space. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|------------|
| | Will it provide for the educational needs? | | | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + | The policy focuses new development on the city centre which is well served by existing transport infrastructure and in locations that are accessible by non-car modes of transport. However, there will be an impact on the highway network from additional site allocations for employment. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + | The policy focuses new development on the City Centre which has potential to make use of brownfield land within the urban area. | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? | ? | The policy seeks to provide new employment in areas which will assist regeneration. There is a particular emphasis on the | |

| resource and and use of renewable energy? based | e and knowledge economy which omprise low carbon | |
|--|--|--|
| Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | - | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | ? | The policy will have no implications on pollution and air quality. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | The policy directs development to locations within the main urban area, significant areas of which are within Flood Zone 3 (although protected by flood defenses) and at identified strategic sites. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? | ? | The policy relates to the broad location of new development. Whilst locations within the main built up area would be less | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|----------------------------------|
| To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | likely to contain woo or green space, level biodiversity could sti high depending on the nature of the site. | els of III be |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? The policy relates to broad location of new development. Whilst locations within the relationship built up area would be likely to contain wood or green space, level biodiversity could stip high depending on the nature go the site. | w est main pe less edland els of |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement | ? | The policy relates to the broad location of new development. All locations have the | |
| To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and nondesignated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities | character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? | | potential to impact on the townscapes and the setting of settlements depending on the site selected. | |
| culture and heritage. | people to enjoy | | | |
| | Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|------------|
| Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ? | The policy directs development to locations within the main urban area which is unlikely to comprise the best and most versatile agricultural land and the loss of greenfield land. For other locations it will depend on the locations of development. | |

POLICY 6: NOTTINGHAM CITY CENTRE

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|-----|---|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | There is potential for more housing if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + + | There is potential for more employment if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? | + + | There is potential for employment if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|------------|
| support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + | There is potential for more vitality if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | There is potential for health uses if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. 7. Social | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? Will it protect and enhance | + | There is potential for | |
| Inclusion To promote and support the development and | existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with | + | social inclusion if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|-----|---|------------|
| growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ++ | There is potential to reduce journeys by private car if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + + | There is potential for new uses and regeneration of brownfield land if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|--|------------|
| where | | | | |
| appropriate. | | | | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | ++ | There is potential for open space eg a 'green heart' if a diversity of uses is encouraged. There is potential for linked trips and reduced use of the car if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|--|------------|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | + | There is potential for open space eg a 'green heart' if a diversity of uses is encouraged. There is potential for linked trips and reduced use of the car if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? | + | There is potential for open space eg a 'green heart' if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|---|------------|
| infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | | |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? | ++ | There is potential for the reuse of buildings if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|------------|------------|
| culture and heritage. | Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | | |

POLICY 7: ROLE OF TOWN AND LOCAL CENTRES

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | There is potential for housing if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | There is potential for employment if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | There is potential for employment if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|---|------------|
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ++ | There is potential for vibrancy and vitality if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | There is potential for health uses if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? | + | There is potential for social inclusion if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|------------|
| between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it provide for the educational needs? | | | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. 9. Brownfield Land | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + | There is potential for linked trips and reduced use of the car if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + | There is potential for reuse of brownfield land if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? | + | There is potential for linked trips and reduced use of the car if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|------------|
| | Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | + | There is potential for linked trips and reduced use of the car if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? | 0 | | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| improve water quality. | Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | | | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | 0 | | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | | |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? | + | There is potential for reuse of buildings if a diversity of uses is encouraged | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|------------|------------|
| townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | <u> </u> | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | | |

POLICY 8: HOUSING SIZE, MIX AND CHOICE

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|--|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | The policy will deliver a wide mix of housing, specifying appropriate housing for specific locations and requiring consideration for the elderly. This increases the range of housing delivered in the plan area and ensures the houses built in the plan area meet the residents' needs, which can all contribute to reducing homelessness. | The detailed design of future planning applications should ensure a range of homes are delivered to meet the housing needs of the plan area. |
| | | | The policy will deliver a mix of affordable housing tenures in the plan area, increasing the range of affordable housing for all social groups, which can ensure the homes are fit for the affordable housing need of the residents. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 2. Employment and | Will it improve the diversity and | 0 | The policy will have no | |
| Jobs To create employment opportunities. | will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | U | implications to employment and jobs. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? | + | The policy indicates the type of housing for the city centre, the centre's | The detailed design of future planning applications should ensure |
| To provide the physical conditions for a modern | Will it provide business/university clusters? | | fringes and other areas. This delivers a housing mix which is appropriate | a range of homes are delivered to meet the housing needs of the plan |
| economic structure including infrastructure to | Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? | | for the location, ensuring employees of local businesses can live in a | area. |
| support the use of new technologies. | Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? | | home appropriate for their needs. | |
| | Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | | |
| 4. Shopping Centres | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + | The policy specifies the type of housing appropriate for the city | The detailed design of future planning applications should ensure |
| Increase the vitality and viability of | district centre or local centre? | | centre. Providing the | a range of homes are |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| existing shopping centres. | | | right housing mix will increase the number of residents living in the centre, which can increase footfall, improving the vitality of the centre. | delivered to meet the housing needs of the plan area. |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | The policy will reduce health inequalities as it will deliver accessible and adaptable homes, increasing the number of homes appropriate for older and disabled people. | The detailed design of future planning applications should ensure a range of housing types and sizes are delivered to meet the needs of the plan area. |
| 6. Community Safety | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|--|---|
| To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. 7. Social Inclusion | Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? Will it protect and enhance | ++ | Whilst not meeting any of | The detailed design of |
| To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and ennance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | | the criteria questions, the policy will impact the overarching objective of social inclusion. The policy will deliver a wide mix of housing, specifying appropriate housing for specific locations and requiring consideration for the elderly. This increases the range of housing delivered in the plan area and ensures the houses built in the plan area meet the residents' needs, improving social inclusion and reducing deprivation in the plan area. | future planning applications should ensure a range of housing types and sizes are delivered to meet the needs of the plan area. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| | | | The policy will deliver a mix of affordable housing within the plan area, providing different routes into home ownership increasing residents' opportunity to purchase a house, improving social inclusion and reducing deprivation in the plan area. | |
| | | | The policy will greatly improve residents' social inclusion by delivering homes that are accessible and adaptable, enabling residents who have a need to live within society in a home that meets their requirement. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for transport. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|------------|
| transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | | | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | The policy will have no implication for brownfield land. | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on energy and climate change. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|--|------------|
| | Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? | | | |
| | Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? | | | |
| | Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it help people adapt to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? | | | |
| of emis | Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | 0 | The policy will have no implications to pollution and air quality. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| noise and other types of pollution. | | | | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | The policy will have no implications to pollution and air quality. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the natural environment, biodiversity, or blue-green infrastructure. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|------------|
| the natural environment. | Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? | | | |
| | Will it provide new open space or green space? | | | |
| | Will it improve the quality of existing open space? | | | |
| | Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | |
| 14. Landscape | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the | |
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | | landscape. | |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the | 0 | The policy will have no implications to the built and historic environment. | |
| To protect and enhance the | townscape or settlement character? | | | |
| townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non- designated heritage | Will it conserve and enhance designated and non- designated heritage assets and their setting? | | | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|------------|
| assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? | 0 | The policy will have no implications to natural resources and waste management. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|
| | Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | | |

POLICY 9: GYPSIES, TRAVELLERS AND TRAVELLING SHOWPEOPLE

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | The policy approach regarding provision for gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople would set a pitch requirement which would meet the needs of gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | It is considered that the policy would have no implications for the objective given the small scale of the need. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | It is considered that the policy would have no implications for the objective given the small scale of the need | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | It is considered that the policy would have no implications for the objective given the small scale of the need. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | It is considered that the Policy would have a positive approach. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|------------|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | It is considered that given the small scale of need the policy would have no implications for the objective. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | The Policy would help to provide for needs of specific groups, increasing opportunities for improving access to community and educational facilities | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ? | It is considered there is a small scale of need. it is considered that the policy (which includes a criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an uncertain effect for the objective. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | ? | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. It is considered that the policy (which includes a criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an uncertain effect for the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|------------|
| To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | 0 | The scale of the need is small. it is considered that the policy (which includes criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has no effect for the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | 0 | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. It is considered that the policy (which includes criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has no effect for the objective. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. It is considered that the policy (which includes criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an no effect for the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ? | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. It is considered that the policy (which includes any criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an unknown effect for the objective. | |
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. It is considered that the policy (which includes criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an uncertain effect for the objective. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|------------|
| SA objectives 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local | ? | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. It is considered that the policy (which includes criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an uncertain effect for the objective. | Mitigation |
| designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | heritage and to participate in cultural activities? | | | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|------------|
| SA objectives 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Policy criteria questions Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? | ? | It is considered that there is a small scale of need. It is considered that the policy (which includes criteria based policy approach for identification of sites through planning applications) has an uncertain effect for the objective. | Mitigation |
| waste. | Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | | | |

POLICY 10: DESIGN AND ENHANCING LOCAL IDENTITY

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for the number of new homes built but will help to improve the quality of new housing. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for the delivery of jobs but may make jobs more attractive if they are located in well design buildings that are well connected to sustainable transport solutions. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|----|--|------------|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ++ | The policy will improve the attractiveness of land and buildings and may encourage graduates to live and work in the area. The policy will improve access to infrastructure, including BGI and sustainable transport solutions as well as on site services and facilities. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + | The policy may improve vitality and viability by improving the attractiveness of new development, incorporating walking and cycling routes into and out of the site and securing contributions towards sustainable transport. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being | Will it reduce health inequalities? | + | The policy will have a minor impact on health and well being through | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | improving access to multi functional blue and green infrastructure. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | + | The policy helps to reduce opportunities for crime and the fear of crime and promote safer living environments. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? | + | The policy will require new developments to deliver sustainable transport which will improve residents' ability to access and engage with community activities by reducing reliance on the private car, improving | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | | social capital and inclusion. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + | The policy will improve accessibility to infrastructure including BGI and sustainable transport solutions. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + | The policy will have no implications on the use of brownfield land but will encourage the incorporation of multi function BGI and biodiversity opportunities. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|--|------------|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change | Will it result in additional energy use? | + | The policy will not have a direct impact on energy | |
| To minimise energy usage and to develop | Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? | | use, but looks to encourage the incorporation of | |
| low carbon energy resource and encourage nature- | Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? | | biodiversity and improved access to BGI. | |
| based solutions to climate change. | Will it support the development of community energy systems? | | | |
| | Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? | | | |
| | Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? | | | |
| | Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it help people adapt to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and | | | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| | materials, now and under future climates? • Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | + | The policy will require development to be accessible by sustainable transport, which will result in a reduction in travel by the private car, which will reduce air pollution. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive | 0 | The policy will have no direct implications on flooding and water quality. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|--|------------|
| | (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | | | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | + | The policy will encourage the incorporation of multi function BGI and other biodiversity opportunities which integrate with and enhance existing networks. | |
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ++ | The policy will take account of important views and vista, including of both townscape and landscape. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|--|------------|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | ++ | The policy will have a positive impact on the built and historic environment, requiring new development to use materials and styles that contribute to the character of the local area, preserving important townscape views and the setting of heritage assets. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|--|------------|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ? | The policy will not have a direct impact on natural resources, although the policy requires proposals to perform highly against design codes and | |

POLICY 11: THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | This policy will have no implications for housing. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | This policy will conserve and enhance the historic environment and assets, which could increase the assets contribution towards tourism and increase the employment opportunities generated from this. | The detailed design of future applications should ensure the historic environment is conserved and enhanced. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? | 0 | The policy will have no impact on economic structure and innovation. | |
| To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required | | | |
| | infrastructure? | | | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? | + | The policy will improve and protect heritage assets, including historic parks and gardens, which could improve the quality of existing historic open spaces. | The detailed design of future applications should ensure the historic environment is conserved and enhanced. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | The policy will conserve and enhance the historic environment and heritage assets, and seeks to improve the public's enjoyment of the historic environment. This will improve the existing cultural assets in the plan area. | The detailed design of future applications should ensure the historic environment is conserved and enhanced. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for transport. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + | The policy will support the appropriate redevelopment of the historic environment and assets, making efficient use of brownfield land. | The detailed design of future applications should ensure the historic environment is conserved and enhanced. |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature- | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? | 0 | The policy will have no implications to energy and climate change. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|------------|
| based solutions to climate change. | Will it support the development of community energy systems? | | | |
| | Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? | | | |
| | Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? | | | |
| | Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it help people adapt to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? | | | |
| | Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on pollution and air quality. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | | | | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on flooding and water quality. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? | + | The policy will improve and protect heritage assets, including historic parks and gardens, improving the quality of existing open spaces and providing opportunities to | The detailed design of future applications should ensure the historic environment is conserved and enhanced. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|---|--|
| enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | improve blue-green infrastructure. | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | + | The policy will conserve and enhance heritage assets, which can strengthen the local landscape character of a settlement. | The detailed design of future applications should ensure the historic environment is conserved and enhanced. |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? | ++ | The policy will conserve and enhance heritage assets, which can strengthen the local character of a settlement. The policy encourages the | The detailed design of future applications should ensure the historic environment is conserved and enhanced. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|------------|
| townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | enjoyment of the historic environment and will deliver opportunities to increase the resident's accessibility to the historic environment and assets which can improve their understanding of the heritage in the plan area. | |
| 16. Natural | Will it lead to reduced | 0 | The policy will have no | |
| Resources and | consumption of raw materials? | | impact on natural | |
| Waste Management | Will it promote the use of | | resources and waste | |
| To prudently manage | sustainable design, materials | | management. | |
| the natural resources of the area including | and construction techniques? | | | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------------|--|------------|------------|
| soils, safeguarding | Will it result in additional waste? | | |
| minerals and waste. | Will it reduce hazardous waste? | | |
| | Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? | | |
| | Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | | |

POLICY 12: LOCAL SERVICES AND HEALTHY LIFESTYLES

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 1. Housing | | | It is considered that the policy | |
| To ensure that the | and affordability of housing for | | would have no implications for | |
| housing stock | all social groups? | | the objective. | |
| meets the housing | Will it provide sufficient | | | |
| needs, including | pitches and plots for gypsies | | | |
| gypsies, travellers | and travellers and travelling | | | |
| and travelling | showpeople? | | | |
| showpeople. | Will it reduce | | | |
| | homelessness? | | | |
| | Will it reduce the number | | | |
| | of unfit/vacant homes? | | | |
| | Will it provide the required | | | |
| | infrastructure? | | | |
| 2. Employment | Will it improve the diversity | + | It is considered that the policy | |
| and Jobs | and quality of jobs? | | will either protect or support | |
| To create | Will it reduce | | existing facilities which provide | |
| employment | unemployment? | | jobs. | |
| opportunities. | Will it improve rural | | | |
| | productivity in terms of | | | |
| | employment opportunities? | | | |
| 3. Economic | Will it provide land and | + | The policy supports new and | |
| Structure and | buildings of a type required by | | extended facilities or protects | |
| Innovation | businesses? | | existing facilities which is a | |
| To provide the | Will it provide | | minor positive as it would | |
| physical conditions | business/university clusters? | | support the provision of | |
| for a modern | Will it create jobs in high | | buildings required by these | |
| economic structure | knowledge sectors? | | facilities. | |
| including | | | | |
| infrastructure to | | | | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|----|--|------------|
| support the use of new technologies. | Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ++ | The policy focuses community facilities within city centre, town centres or other centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | The policy supports and protects community facilities which includes health facilities. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | | The impact on community safety is considered to be uncertain although community facilities can help to contribute to a secure built environment depending on the type of facility. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|---|------------|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | | The policy would have a major positive impact upon the objective as existing cultural assets including community facilities would be likely to be protected and enhanced. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ++ | The policy states all community facilities should be located within city centre, town centres or other centres and be in locations accessible by a range of sustainable transport modes suitable to the scale and function of the facility and be located alongside or shared with other local community facilities. The policy would increase or protect access to local facilities and therefore reduce the need to travel, including by private car. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? | ? | The policy focuses community facilities on existing sites but | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|----------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| To make efficient | Will the development | | this may impact the location of | |
| use of brownfield | minimise the impact on the | | other uses (e.g. housing). | |
| land and recognise | biodiversity interests of the | | | |
| biodiversity value | site? | | | |
| where appropriate. | | | | |
| 10. Energy and | Will it result in additional | + | The policy would seek to retain | - |
| Climate Change | energy use? | | existing facilities and buildings | |
| To minimise energy | | | which would reduce energy use | |
| usage and to | efficiency of new buildings? | | associated with the construction | |
| develop low carbon | Will it support the | | of new buildings. | |
| energy resource | generation and use of | | | |
| and encourage | renewable energy? | | | |
| nature-based | Will it support the | | | |
| solutions to climate | development of community | | | |
| change. | energy systems? | | | |
| | Will it ensure that buildings | | | |
| | are able to deal with future | | | |
| | changes in climate? | | | |
| | Will it reduce greenhouse | | | |
| | gas emissions or promote | | | |
| | sequestration of carbon? | | | |
| | Will it increase the | | | |
| | resilience of biodiversity to | | | |
| | climate change? | | | |
| | • Will it help people adapt to | | | |
| | climate change? | | | |
| | Will it maintain or increase | | | |
| | the provision of ecosystem | | | |
| | services on which local | | | |
| | people depend, including | | | |
| | water, food, and materials, | | | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | now and under future climates? • Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? • Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | + | The policy states all community facilities should be located within city centre, town centres or other centres and be in locations accessible by a range of sustainable transport modes suitable to the scale and function of the facility and be located alongside or shared with other local community facilities. The policy would be likely to have a positive impact upon the objective as the protection and enhancement of community facilities would reduce the need to travel, thereby contributing to | |
| | | | reduction in many types of pollution. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? | | There would be an uncertain impact on this objective as it would depend on the location of the community facilities. | Avoid areas at high risk of flood risk. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | | | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | 0 | The policy would not be an impact on this objective. | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | The policy would have an uncertain impact on this objective as it would depend on the location and type of any new facilities. | Ensure design and layout takes into account landscape character. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 15. Built and | Will it respect, maintain | + | The policy would have a | |
| Historic | and strengthen the local | | positive impact upon the | |
| Environment | character and distinctiveness | | objective through the protection | |
| To protect and | of the townscape or | | and enhancement of any local | |
| enhance the | settlement character? | | heritage assets and facilities to | |
| townscape | Will it conserve and | | help people access and | |
| character and | enhance designated and non- | | understand local heritage and | |
| enhancing the | designated heritage assets | | to participate in cultural | |
| place through good | and their setting? | | activities. | |
| design. To | Will it provide better | | | |
| conserve | opportunities for people to | | | |
| designated and | access and understand local | | | |
| non-designated | heritage and to participate in | | | |
| heritage assets and | cultural activities? | | | |
| their setting and | Will it protect or improve | | | |
| provide better | access and enjoyment of the | | | |
| opportunities for | historic environment? | | | |
| people to enjoy | Will it contribute to the | | | |
| culture and | better management of | | | |
| heritage. | heritage assets and tackle | | | |
| | heritage at risk? | | | |
| | Will it foster heritage-led | | | |
| | regeneration? | | | |
| | Will it promote heritage | | | |
| | based sustainable tourism? | | | |
| 16. Natural | Will it lead to reduced | ? | The policy would have an | |
| Resources and | consumption of raw | | unknown impact upon the | |
| Waste | materials? | | objective. However, it is noted | |
| Management | Will it promote the use of | | that the policy, which protects | |
| To prudently | sustainable design, materials | | existing facilities, may reduce | |
| manage the natural | and construction techniques? | | the impact on the consumption | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|------------|
| resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | of raw materials and waste resulting from having to build replacement facilities. | |

POLICY 13: CULTURE, TOURISM AND SPORT

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | As the policy focuses on policy approach for culture, tourism and sporting facilities, it is considered that it would have no implications for the objective. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | The policy would be likely to have a minor positive impact on the objective as additional support for the culture / leisure / sport sectors may generate additional jobs / diversity of jobs and reduce unemployment, although the extent of this is unknown | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|-----|---|------------|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | As the focus of the policy is an approach for culture, tourism and sporting facilities, it is considered that it would have no implications for the objective. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + + | The policy is likely to lead to an improvement of the vitality of the city centre and town and district centres as a result of supporting the creation and improvement of culture, tourism and sporting facilities within or adjoining the city centre, town or district centres. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|-----|--|---|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + + | The policy is likely to result in a positive impact on the objective including by increasing the opportunities for recreational physical activity and potentially also the enhancement of the quality of existing open space or blue-green infrastructure. | Opportunities to promote the enhancement of blue-green infrastructure should be encouraged. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|--|------------|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | ? | The protection and improvement of culture, tourism and sporting facilities within the city centre and town and district centres may indirectly lead to a reduction in antisocial behaviour / crime, by providing disadvantaged groups with greater opportunities for recreation. However overall the impact of the policy upon the objective is unknown. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|---|------------|
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | ++ | The policy would result in a major positive impact upon the objective, as it will facilitate the protection and enhancement of existing cultural assets including culture, tourism and sporting facilities as well as improving access to and encouraging community activities. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + | The policy is likely to have at least a minor positive impact, as locating new facilities within or adjoining the city centre, town or district centres is likely to ensure that such facilities are able to be accessed via modes of transport other than the private car. It is recognised that tourist accommodation outside the centres would not reduce journeys undertaken by private car and no accessibility to services and facilities. | Ensure that new development is located close to existing transport infrastructure or a new transport network is established to minimise the impact on the environment. Ensure measures to encourage alternative modes of transport are in place and good accessibility to services and facilities. |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | ? | It is considered that the policy would have an unknown impact upon the objective. | Support existing and new facilities which maximise the use of brownfield land. |

| 10. Energy and |
|-----------------------|
| Climate Change |

To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change.

- Will it result in additional energy use?
- Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings?
- Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy?
- Will it support the development of community energy systems?
- Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate?
- Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon?
- Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change?
- Will it help people adapt to climate change?
- Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates?

? It is considered that the policy would have an unknown impact upon the objective. It is likely that additional development will result in additional energy usage.

Need a policy relating to energy and climate change for new development in order to reduce energy usage.

Support on-site renewable energy generation where appropriate.

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| | Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | ? | It is considered that the policy would have an unknown impact upon the objective. | Need a policy relating to pollution and air quality for new development. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ? | It is considered that the policy would have an unknown impact upon the objective. | Need a policy relating to flooding and water quality for new development, in particular to ensure new development is suitably located outside of flood risk areas, conserve water and avoid impact of new development upon water quality. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | + | The policy could result in a minor positive impact upon the objective through the protection or enhancement of open space or bluegreen infrastructure which are linked to cultural and sport facilities. | Need to ensure that increased access to existing open space or blue-green infrastructure does not cause harm to biodiversity if access is greater than the capacity that can be supported without disturbance to wildlife or damage to habitats. |
| To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | It is considered that the policy would have an unknown impact upon the objective. | Avoid new development within sensitive landscape character areas. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and nondesignated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | + | The policy is likely to have a positive impact upon the built and historic environment objective as tit will support the conservation and enhancement of designated and non-designated heritage assets and their settings, for example at Nottingham Castle. The policy will also provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities. The policy will also protect or improve access to and enjoyment of the historic environment. Would promote heritage based sustainable tourism. | Protect heritage assets and include opportunities for enhancement of the historic environment. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ? | It is considered that the impact of the policy is unknown. | Ensure the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques in new development and avoid loss of best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land to development. |

POLICY 14: MANAGING TRAVEL DEMAND

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for housing. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | The policy will require development to be accessible by sustainable transport, achieving this through a hierarchical approach. The policy will improve residents' ability to travel to work by reducing the reliance on the private car, which can | The detailed design of future applications should incorporate walking and cycling routes into and out of the site. Legal agreements or planning conditions should be used to secure contributions towards sustainable transport. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | | reduce barriers to employment. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for economic structure and innovation. | |
| To provide the physical conditions | Will it provide business/university clusters? | | | |
| for a modern economic structure including | Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? | | | |
| infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? | | | |
| | Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | | |
| 4. Shopping Centres | Will it encourage the vitality of | + | The policy will require new | The detailed design of |
| Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | | developments to deliver sustainable transport which will increase residents' ability to access shopping centres without the use of the private car, improving the centres vitality by increasing footfall. | future applications should incorporate walking and cycling routes into and out of the site. Legal agreements or planning conditions should be used to secure contributions towards sustainable transport. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | The policy will require new developments to deliver sustainable transport which will improve residents' ability to physically access health services, particularly those who do not or cannot access a private car. | The detailed design of future applications should incorporate walking and cycling routes into and out of the site. Legal agreements or planning conditions should be used to secure contributions towards sustainable transport. |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? | + | The policy will require new developments to deliver sustainable transport which will improve residents' ability to access and engage with community activities by reducing reliance on the private car, improving | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|---|--|
| the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | | social capital and inclusion. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ++ | The policy will require development to be accessible by sustainable transport. The policy will lead to a reduction in journeys undertaken in the private car as alternate modes of transport will be encouraged. This will subsequently minimise the impact the transport network has on the environment as it will reduce the carbon emissions released by the private car. | The detailed design of future applications should incorporate walking and cycling routes into and out of the site. Legal agreements or planning conditions should be used to secure contributions towards sustainable transport. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| | | | The policy could utilise the existing transport infrastructure and enhance it to support sustainable transport such as appropriate cycle and bus lanes. | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on brownfield land. | |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? | + | The policy will require development to be accessible by sustainable transport which will reduce greenhouse gas emissions through a reduction in the use of the private car. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|--|------------|
| | Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? | | | |
| | Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it help people adapt to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? | | | |
| | Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | + | The policy will require development to be accessible by sustainable transport, which will result in a reduction in travel by | |
| posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | | | the private car, which will reduce air pollution. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on flooding and water quality. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on the natural environment and blue and green infrastructure. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|------------|
| | Will it provide new open space or green space? | | | |
| | Will it improve the quality of existing open space? | | | |
| | Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | |
| 14. Landscape | Does it respect or preserve | 0 | The policy will have no | |
| To protect and | identified landscape character? | | implications on landscape. | |
| enhance the | Does it have a positive impact | | | |
| landscape character. | on visual amenity? | | | |
| 15. Built and | Will it respect, maintain and | 0 | The policy will have no | |
| Historic Environment | strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the | | implications to the built and historic environment. | |
| To protect and enhance the | townscape or settlement character? | | | |
| townscape character and enhancing the place through good | Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their | | | |
| design. To conserve | setting? | | | |
| designated and non- designated heritage | Will it provide better | | | |
| assets and their | opportunities for people to | | | |
| setting and provide | access and understand local | | | |
| better opportunities | | | | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? | + | The policy will require development to be accessible by sustainable transport, which would reduce the use of the private car, reducing the consumption of raw materials. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|
| | Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | | |

POLICY 15: TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE PRIORITIES

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | + | The policy will deliver transport infrastructure that is essential to delivering the Strategic Plan, including transport infrastructure to support the proposed housing allocations. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | + | The policy will deliver essential transport infrastructure. This will improve residents' ability to travel to work due to the delivery of infrastructure for the private car and non-private car modes of transport, which can reduce barriers to employment. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on economic structure and innovation. | Mitigation will be identified as |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | + | The policy will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport which will increase residents' ability to access shopping centres, improving the centres vitality by increasing footfall. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? | + | The policy will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport which will increase residents' | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | ability to access health services. | |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | + | The policy will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport which will improve residents' ability to access community activities. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|--|--|
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | ++ | The policy will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport which will enhance the existing transport infrastructure and increase the accessibility of services and facilities in the area. The policy will encourage non-private car modes of transport which will reduce journeys undertaken by the private car and minimise the impact of car use on the environment by improving walking and cycling infrastructure. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on brownfield land. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| | materials, now and under future climates? • Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | - | The policy will deliver essential infrastructure for cars and non-private car modes of transport. This will include the delivery of infrastructure for walking and cycling, which will contribute to reducing air pollution. However, it will also deliver infrastructure for the private and non-private car and buses, which all contribute to air pollution. | Mitigation will be identified as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on flooding and water quality. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| 13. Natural Environment, | Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to | 0 | The policy will have no implications on the natural | |
| Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | environment and blue green infrastructure. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|------------|
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on landscape. | |
| To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on the built and historic environment. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|------------|
| | Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on natural resources and waste management. | |

POLICY 16: BLUE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE, PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| 1. Housing To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs, including gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. | Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? Will it provide sufficient pitches and plots for gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople? Will it reduce homelessness? Will it reduce the number of unfit/vacant homes? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for housing. | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs To create employment opportunities. | Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? Will it reduce unemployment? Will it improve rural productivity in terms of employment opportunities? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on employment and jobs. | |
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on economic structure and innovation. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | + | The policy will deliver enhancements to the identified blue and green infrastructure corridors and will set out blue and green infrastructure priorities for development plans and applications, which will improve the existing network and will deliver enhancements. | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|---|------------|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on community safety. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on social inclusion. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? | 0 | The policy will have no implications for transport. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|----|---|---|
| improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | | | |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + | The policy will minimise the impact of the development on the biodiversity of the site through the delivery of enhancements to the identified blue and green infrastructure corridors and by delivering the blue and green infrastructure strategic priorities. | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? | ++ | The policy will increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change by protecting and enhancing blue and green infrastructure, increasing the habitats for nature to exist in and delivering infrastructure which can reduce greenhouse gases. | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|---|---|
| | Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it help people adapt to climate change? | | | |
| | Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? | | | |
| | Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | | | |
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | ++ | The policy will deliver an increase in blue and green infrastructure which will improve air quality and reduce pollution. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? | ++ | The policy will set out BGI priorities and deliver enhancements to green and blue infrastructure, which can support flood risk mitigation, reduce existing flood risk and improve the quality of water. The policy will also ensure that any harm to the network will be mitigated. This will | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|--|--|
| | Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | | contribute to mitigating and reducing flood risk and can improve water quality and WFD status. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? | ++ | The policy will protect and enhance retained habitats or create new habitats, allowing for biodiversity net gains. The policy will protect landscape character and open space and blue and green corridors and networks, and will encourage multifunctional landscapes, which can improve the quality of existing open spaces and improve blue and green infrastructure networks. | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | + | The policy will have a positive impact on the visual amenity of the plan area through the enhancement of the blue and green infrastructure network. | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and nondesignated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? | + | The policy will conserve and enhance the landscape character which could benefit the built and historic environment by protecting the landscape setting of historic assets and the heritage of settlements. | Mitigation will be sought as part of the detailed design of a development. |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|------------|
| | Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | 0 | The policy will have no implications on natural resources and waste management. | |

POLICY 17: BIODIVERSITY

| it increase the range and rdability of housing for all ial groups? it provide sufficient pitches | | The policy will protect and enhance biodiversity. Impacts on this objective | |
|--|--|---|--|
| plots for gypsies and ellers and travelling wpeople? it reduce homelessness? it reduce the number of t/vacant homes? it provide the required astructure? | | will depend on development sites and their biodiversity value. Their effects are therefore uncertain. The policy will achieve BNG of between 10-20%. The viability of going beyond 10% has also been tested. | |
| it improve the diversity and lity of jobs? it reduce unemployment? | | The effects of the policy will depend on the development sites. The policy will achieve BNG of between 10-20%. The viability of going beyond | |
| it lit | structure? t improve the diversity and ty of jobs? | t improve the diversity and ? ty of jobs? t reduce unemployment? t improve rural productivity | t improve the diversity and ty of jobs? The effects of the policy will depend on the development sites. The policy will achieve BNG of between |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|---|------------|
| 3. Economic Structure and Innovation To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies. | Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? Will it provide business/university clusters? Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors? Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | ? | The effects of the policy will depend on the development sites. The policy will achieve BNG of between 10-20%. The viability of going beyond 10% has also been tested. | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | 0 | The policy will have a neutral effect on this Objective as developments within shopping centres are unlikely to affect biodiversity assets or the wider network. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | ++ | The policy would both protect, create, and enhance biodiversity and natural greenspaces. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|--|------------|
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | 0 | The policy will have a neutral effect on this objective as the reduction in crime and fear of crime, and the contribution to a safe and secure environment will depend on the layout and design of new developments. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | ++ | The policy would support the protection, creation, and enhancement of habitats which also provide opportunities for community and educational activities. Regarding BNG, the delivery of more priority habitats will bring benefits for social inclusion. | |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | + | Delivered alongside transport infrastructure, the creation, protection, and enhancement of biodiversity would assist the minimisation of transport's impacts on the environment. Tree planting for example would reduce noise and pollution levels. A network of multifunctional green spaces that enhance biodiversity could also deliver active travel transport infrastructure. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|--|------------|
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | + | The protection and enhancement of biodiversity is likely to direct development (in accordance with the mitigation hierarchy) towards less sensitive sites. These are likely to be more brownfield. Some brownfield land will, due to their rarer ground conditions, may contain priority or protected species and these should be protected in accordance with their ecological value. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|-----|--|------------|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature-based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to another location? | + + | The policy seeks to increase biodiversity, through the protection and enhancement of a network of habitats. This will assist the resilience of biodiversity to climate change, provide opportunities for carbon sequestration and increase ecosystem services. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|----|---|------------|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise, and other types of pollution? | + | The policy supports the natural environment which provides numerous ecosystem services that reduce pollution, including cleaning air and water and provided noise barriers. | |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | ++ | The policy will help minimise flood risk through the protection and creation of multifunctional BGI. They will also help conserve water and improve its quality. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|--|------------|
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue- Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue-green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | ++ | The policy will both protect and enhance biodiversity and the BGI network. Consequently, they will have a major positive effect on this objective. | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ++ | The protection and enhancement of biodiversity will have positive benefits for landscapes. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|---|------------|
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and nondesignated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain, and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | ++ | The protection and enhancement of biodiversity, as required in the policy will have major positive effects on this objective as it will, where appropriate, help conserve and enhance heritage assets and their settings. This will also help maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|----|--|------------|
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ++ | The policy will contribute to the delivery of sustainable design as it will encourage the inclusion of priority habitats on sites. These habitats will also assist the delivery of SuDS, mitigate pollution and effects of climate change. | |

POLICY 18: DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------|
| 1. Housing | Will it increase the range | + | The policy would help to ensure that the | Contributions |
| To ensure that the housing stock | and affordability of housing for | | infrastructure required for housing, such | should be sought |
| meets the housing needs, | all social groups? | | as transport, schools and health | as part of the |
| including gypsies, travellers and | Will it provide sufficient | | facilities, is identified. The policy | detailed design of |
| travelling showpeople. | pitches and plots for gypsies | | incorporates developer contributions and | a development |
| | and travellers and travelling | | funding mechanisms which would | |
| | showpeople? | | increase the certainty of these being | |
| | Will it reduce | | delivered. | |
| | homelessness? | | | |
| | Will it reduce the number of | | | |
| | unfit/vacant homes? | | | |
| | Will it provide the required | | | |
| | infrastructure? | | | |
| 2. Employment and Jobs | Will it improve the diversity | + | The policy incorporates developer | Contributions |
| To create employment | and quality of jobs? | | | should be sought |
| opportunities. | Will it reduce | | may require additional training and | as part of the |
| | unemployment? | | employment measures. | detailed design of |
| | Will it improve rural | | | a development |
| | productivity in terms of | | | |
| | employment opportunities? | | | |
| 3. Economic Structure and | Will it provide land and | + | The policy would help to ensure that the | Contributions |
| Innovation | buildings of a type required by | | infrastructure required to support the | should be sought |
| To provide the physical conditions | | | modern economic structure, such as | as part of the |
| for a modern economic structure | Will it provide | | transport infrastructure, is funded and | detailed design of |
| including infrastructure to support | business/university clusters? | | delivered. Incorporating developer | a development |
| the use of new technologies. | Will it create jobs in high | | contributions and funding mechanisms | |
| | knowledge sectors? | | would increase the certainty of these | |
| | | | being delivered. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Will it encourage graduates to live and work within the plan area? Will it provide the required infrastructure? | | | |
| 4. Shopping Centres Increase the vitality and viability of existing shopping centres. | Will it encourage the vitality of the city centre, town centre, district centre or local centre? | ? | The impact upon the objective is uncertain, although developer contributions and new transport infrastructure, may support existing shopping centres. | |
| 5. Health and Well Being To improve health and well being and reduce health inequalities. | Will it reduce health inequalities? Will it improve access to health services? Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity? Will it provide new open space or improve the quality of existing open space? Will it improve access to local food growing opportunities? | | The policy would help to ensure that new health services, opportunities for recreation and new open space or bluegreen infrastructure are funded and delivered. Incorporating developer contributions and funding mechanisms, would increase the certainty of these being delivered. | Contributions should be sought as part of the detailed design of a development |
| 6. Community Safety To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime. | Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? Will it contribute to a safe secure built environment? | ? | The impact of the policy is uncertain but may include enhancements to the public realm which may help to contribute to ensuring a safe and secure built environment. | |
| 7. Social Inclusion To promote and support the development and growth of social | Will it protect and enhance existing cultural assets? | | The policy would help to ensure that existing cultural assets and community facilities are protected and enhanced | Contributions should be sought as part of the |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| capital and to improve social inclusion and to close the gap between the most deprived areas within the plan area. | Will it improve access to, encourage engagement with and residents' satisfaction in community activities? Will it increase the number of facilities e.g. shops, community centres? Will it provide for the educational needs? | and that new educational facilities are funded and delivered, allowing for a more holistic approach. It would also identify the infrastructure required which may include community facilities. | detailed design of a development |
| 8. Transport To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to improve travel choice and accessibility. | Will it use and enhance existing transport infrastructure? Will it help to develop a transport network that minimise the impact on the environment? Will it reduce journeys undertaken by private car by encouraging alternative modes of transport? Will it increase accessibility to services and facilities? | Identifying transport priorities would help to ensure that developer contributions fund public transport infrastructure which would increase accessibility to services and facilities. The policy provides scope to establish which facilities would be delivered through planning conditions and which should be met through financial contributions. There may be facilities, such as public transport services, as well as strategic infrastructure arising as a result of cumulative growth, that would be more efficiently delivered through developer contributions. | should be sought |
| 9. Brownfield Land To make efficient use of brownfield land and recognise biodiversity value where appropriate. | Will it make efficient use of brownfield land? Will the development minimise the impact on the biodiversity interests of the site? | It is considered that the policy would have an unknown impact upon the objective. The approach may focus infrastructure (e.g. health and education) on existing sites but this may impact the location of other uses (e.g. housing). | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 10. Energy and Climate Change To minimise energy usage and to develop low carbon energy resource and encourage nature- based solutions to climate change. | Will it result in additional energy use? Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy? Will it support the development of community energy systems? Will it ensure that buildings are able to deal with future changes in climate? Will it reduce greenhouse gas emissions or promote sequestration of carbon? Will it increase the resilience of biodiversity to climate change? Will it help people adapt to climate change? Will it maintain or increase the provision of ecosystem services on which local people depend, including water, food, and materials, now and under future climates? Will it lead to the displacement of emissions to | · | The policy may help to fund and deliver community energy systems and indirectly increase the use of renewable energy. Measures may also help to reduce journeys by private cars to developments. | Contributions should be sought as part of the detailed design of a development |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|--|----|---|--|
| 11. Pollution and Air Quality To manage air quality and minimise the risk posed by air, noise and other types of pollution. | Will it increase levels of air, noise and other types of pollution? | + | The policy will help to deliver public transport infrastructure, including public transport, footpaths and cycleways, which would help to reduce levels of air, noise and other types of pollution, by reducing the number of journeys made by the private car. | Contributions should be sought as part of the detailed design of a development |
| 12. Flooding and Water Quality To minimise the risk of flooding and to conserve and improve water quality. | Will it minimise or mitigate flood risk? Will it reduce existing levels of flood risk? Will it improve water quality? Will it conserve water? Will it improve or help to promote water efficiency? Will it cause a deterioration of Water Framework Directive (WFD) status or potential of onsite watercourses? | + | The policy will help to deliver environmental improvements and bluegreen infrastructure which may reduce flood risk. | |
| 13. Natural Environment, Biodiversity, Blue-Green Infrastructure To increase biodiversity levels and protect and enhance blue- green infrastructure and the natural environment. | Will it help protect and improve biodiversity and avoid harm to protected species? Will it allow for biodiversity net gains? Will it conserve and enhance the geological environment? Will it maintain and enhance woodland cover and management? | ++ | The policy would help to ensure that the existing natural environment, including biodiversity and blue-green infrastructure is protected and enhanced and that new opportunities for open space and bluegreen infrastructure networks are funded and delivered. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| | Will it provide new open space or green space? Will it improve the quality of existing open space? Will it encourage and protect or improve Green and/or Blue Infrastructure networks? | | | |
| 14. Landscape To protect and enhance the landscape character. | Does it respect or preserve identified landscape character? Does it have a positive impact on visual amenity? | ? | The impact upon the objective is uncertain as it depends on the type and location of the infrastructure. | Contributions should be sought as part of the detailed design of a development |
| 15. Built and Historic Environment To protect and enhance the townscape character and enhancing the place through good design. To conserve designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting and provide better opportunities for people to enjoy culture and heritage. | Will it respect, maintain and strengthen the local character and distinctiveness of the townscape or settlement character? Will it conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting? Will it provide better opportunities for people to access and understand local heritage and to participate in cultural activities? Will it protect or improve access and enjoyment of the historic environment? | + | The policy could potentially help to provide funding to increase the access to, and enjoyment, of the historic environment, for example through contributions to cultural facilities. | |

| SA objectives | Policy criteria questions | | Commentary | Mitigation |
|--|---|---|---|------------|
| | Will it contribute to the better management of heritage assets and tackle heritage at risk? Will it foster heritage-led regeneration? Will it promote heritage based sustainable tourism? | | | |
| 16. Natural Resources and Waste Management To prudently manage the natural resources of the area including soils, safeguarding minerals and waste. | Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? Will it result in additional waste? Will it reduce hazardous waste? Will it protect the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land? Will it prevent the loss of greenfield land to development? | ? | The impact upon the objective is uncertain. | |